Introduction

Does the Man Make the Motorcycle or the Motorcycle the Man?

Someone, or something, sowed the flaming desire in your young male heart to become a disciple of motor sport. . . . Your desire was initially borne of envy at the daily experience of seeing a friend race noisily by on his jalopy, while you must travel cumbersomely and slowly by foot. . . . The desire eats and gnaws and nourishes itself from thence on within your breast . . . Fills all of your senses and thoughts . . . Follows you in your dreams.

—Alexander Büttner, *Motorrad-Sport, -Verkehr und -Technik*

Loosely crafted in the form of a Bildungsroman, Alexander Büttner's short story from 1924 portrays a male protagonist in his journey from pedestrian to motorcyclist, culminating in his evolution into a "real man." Sparked by an irresistible desire, the "motorcyclist's development" is punctuated by acts of consumption, first by ordering catalogs, then in purchasing a motorcycle. Once a motorcycle owner, a number of accessories suddenly appear indispensable to the rookie motorcyclist. "You mount the bike and race through the city . . . and all the while you notice that a hundred things are missing that you absolutely need: a gruff horn, an odometer, a speedometer, and especially electric lights, and good tires . . . spare parts and a well-sprung pillion seat." Participation in consumer culture was a requirement for the overwhelmingly male owners of motor vehicles during the Weimar Republic. Yet most contemporary observations, as well as subsequent analyses, have consistently linked consumption to femininity, while studies of masculinity during the Weimar Republic have focused predominantly on militarized masculinity as the hegemonic form. Indeed, in Büttner's short story and in the discourse of motorcycling as a whole, proper masculinity was rhetorically disassociated from conspicuous consumption. The act of masculine consumption was concealed behind the twin pillars of modern manliness: production and possession. As the motorcyclist progresses through the stages from "novice" (intoxicated with speed until he crashes) to "connoisseur" (a gourmet of beauty in both landscapes.
and motorcycles), he is not depicted as merely consuming passively. Instead, the motorcyclist is portrayed as mastering time, space, and the machine. The emphasis is on acquiring skills and knowledge, rather than new gadgets or accessories.

While the “motorcyclist’s development” may appear idiosyncratic at first glance, the themes Büttner addresses are emblematic of modernity and masculinity in Germany during the first decades of the twentieth century. Although the dream world of mass automobility was in its infancy, increasingly affordable, domestically manufactured motorcycles swelled the ranks of German motorists. The number of two-wheelers, including two-stroke motorcycles with low engine capacity, steadily rose, surpassing the number of automobiles on German roads in 1926. By the end of the 1920s, with motor vehicle registrations totaling well over a million, motorcycles outnumbered automobiles by three to two. While individual automobile ownership continued to be a privilege of the upper classes, by the late 1920s the working classes were taking to motorcycling in droves. Skilled and unskilled laborers, alongside new white-collar workers so astutely analyzed by Siegfried Kracauer in *The Salaried Masses: Duty and Distraction in Weimar Germany*, were able to participate in motorization through buying mass-produced two-wheelers, sometimes making use of layaway plans or the extensive used-motorcycle market. This book explores how the everyday choices that men and women made expressed their desires to partake in the social and spatial mobility offered by motorization, and how their actions also produced and reflected anxieties about inhabiting an increasingly elastic and plastic world.

Possessing a dazzlingly seductive power over citizens of the new republic, motorcycles midwifed mass motorization in Germany. As one contemporary journalist put it, “it was then that the motor vehicle was ‘performed’, it became fashion, a pipe dream, a great craving and desire for bourgeois ownership among thousands from all social strata.” After World War I, the formal abolition of aristocratic privilege, the founding of a democratic republic grounded in a progressive constitution, and the granting of universal suffrage promised political and economic modernity for all German citizens. The degree to which a society was motorized was understood as an indicator of the ability of a society to fulfill the promises of consumer modernity, greater individual freedom, and increased mobility, both spatial and social. Above all in terms of how it altered both lived environments and social rhythms, motorization was a source of both enthrallment and anxiety. The dreams and fears generated by these freedoms are central to different interpretations of Weimar history, from those who celebrate it as a unique site of social
and cultural experimentation to those who demonize it as a precursor to the horrors of National Socialism.\textsuperscript{11}

Like their contemporaries around the globe, Germans during the Weimar Republic were fascinated by motorization and obsessed with technology, regardless of whether they embraced, condemned, or were ambivalent about these changes.\textsuperscript{12} On a par and in step with radio, cinema, fashion, and sports, the “emergent culture” of mass motorization was an important terrain on which complex struggles over changes in gender and class relationships were played out.\textsuperscript{13} In a 1929 photo-reportage titled “Motorcycle-Radio Weekend,” for example, the author, a white-collar worker, described a motorcycle weekend getaway with a female friend to a lake on the outskirts of Berlin. His female companion, Hilde, a “lady’s helper,” at one point exclaimed excitedly, “We’ll have the radio waves, the waves of the lake, and my brand new permanent wave!” Once the radio was set up, the couple tuned into the following variety program: Sports news of the day, followed by a popular love ballad, a jazz song (a so-called “black bottom” which caused Hilde to dance “a little jig”), a Spanish one-step, a segment in which an elderly lady discussed meat prices and infant care, and a report on a “rationalized beauty regimen.” The end of the story described how “the two sit in the sunshine in front of their tent and listen, listen. On the headphones, Hawaiian songs are broadcast from the Savoy Hotel in London and frogs croak industriously in the reeds close by.”\textsuperscript{14} Steeped in a culture of consumption and leisure, these weekenders epitomized the “new man” and “new woman”—futuristic ideal-types of masculinity and femininity of a globally scaled, consumer-driven industrial economy. Furthermore, their casual relationship signaled the rise of new forms of heterosociality and sexual exploration. Because motorization facilitated spatial, social, and sexual mobility and flexible everyday rhythms for Germans, it represented both a social threat and a social boon.

\textbf{Modernity, Mobility, Masculinity}

\textit{The Devil’s Wheels} explores how, in both tangible and intangible ways, motorization changed German society during the fifteen years of the Weimar Republic, visibly transforming cityscapes and landscapes, and intensifying and altering the patterns of circulation of people, goods, and capital.\textsuperscript{15} Although driving had not yet become routine for the masses, motorization was already influencing the experience of the everyday. As Kristin Ross has argued for the case of post—World War II France: “the centrality of the car . . . precedes the car’s becoming
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commonplace . . . As such the discourse, on the whole, is futuristic: anticipatory and preparatory in nature, fascinated or horrified, but generally permeated with anxieties.”¹⁶ In fantasy and reality, the process of motorization shaped social relations, and motor vehicles served as carriers of multiple social meanings. In this book, the category of modernity is employed to express interlinked processes of accelerated social and physical mobility. It follows in the tradition of historians who view it as superfluous if not misleading to speak of modernity without speaking of the profound contradictions that modernity produces.¹⁷

With the physical and social world in upheaval during the Weimar Republic, many Germans were overwhelmed by the sense that they were living through times of perpetual political instability and chronic economic crisis in a society untethered from long-standing social truths, stable categories, and predictable life trajectories. A convergence of historical events and social processes made gender relations appear particularly precarious during the Weimar Republic. The first years of the republic were marked by the defeat of the military and the loss of Germany’s overseas colonies. A series of political and social revolutions and counterrevolutions testified to the inherent instability of the republic. Following almost a decade of steadily rising inflation, the acute phase of hyperinflation in the early 1920s caused a massive redistribution of wealth. Creating new groups of the wealthy and the poor, hyperinflation partially eroded the social stratification that had defined imperial German society. During the period of so-called “stability,” the expansion of rationalized industrial production gave rise to new types of both white- and blue-collar work, and continued the decline of traditional craft industries. Profound structural changes unfolded in the context of social and political upheaval, and were reflected in rearticulated categories of both femininity and masculinity. Attempts to construct a stable form of modern manliness appropriate to the altered conditions represented one strategy to counter the perceived loss of traditional anchors of masculinity. The Devil’s Wheels shows that citizens of the nascent democracy did not react to the series of crises uniformly. Instead, contesting models for society and choices of lifestyle proliferated, if only briefly. When the World Economic Crisis hit Germany especially hard, it impacted all sectors of society, and politically polarized calls for alternate routes became more insistent.¹⁸

Philosophers, scholars, and theorists have marshaled motorized mobility to analyze changes in social and spatial constellations ever since the early days of motorization.¹⁹ In more recent years, cultural and social historians, sociologists, and geographers, united under the banner of mobility studies, have been building a growing body of
innovative interdisciplinary research around the concept of "automobility" and "automobilism."20 By examining how motorized traffic in everyday life transformed social and physical space in the United States and contributed to reinvigorating a national identity grounded in rugged republican selfhood, and by dissecting struggles over the governance of the new technology and its attendant infrastructures, scholars have demonstrated the high social and political stakes of automobility in the US.21 Fine-grained analyses of the intersections of class, gender, and motoring invoke the concept of "habitus" to show how the car both demarcated and blurred social distinctions.22 Mobility studies scholars have also probed identity formation within distinct user cultures, for example among "tinkerers" and early women motorists.23 Other studies that explore novelties such as speed, risk taking, and their complementarities, safety and encapsulation, add to our understanding not only of the "timings and spacings" of motorized societies, but also of the sensation of driving as an "embodied sensibility" and of transformations in the sensual and haptic experience of motorized travel.24 These scholars and many others have placed motorization and mobility firmly on the agenda of academic inquiry, and have created a rich body of evidence and analysis. By exploring the complex ways that motorization impacted the organization of everyday life, The Devil's Wheels engages with debates around the "social construction of technology," drawing on insights developed in previous studies of motorized mobility.25

With few exceptions, however, existing studies focus exclusively on the car and its uses, producers, and consumers.26 The tenacious grip of the automobile on the historiography of motorization in Germany, and more globally, both signals and perpetuates the ideological dominance of the model of motorization based on Ford's Model T.27 Thus, rather than reflect a normative bias towards a portrayal of economic and industrial development based on the exceptional situation of the United States (or of other settler colony settings including Canada, Australia, and South Africa), I narrate the emergence of mass motorization in Germany foremost from the vantage point and through the voices and actions of its primary objects and subjects—motorcyclists.28 Due to constraints on the economy during the Weimar Republic, the German automotive industry never successfully manufactured or marketed an equivalent of Ford's Model T, a fact that can be attributed partly to inadequate capital investment.29 Moreover, wartime inflation and the subsequent hyperinflation throttled the buying power of the middle classes. The uneven socioeconomic structure and the wealth gap influenced the pattern of demands, and contributed to the motorcycle industry gaining a competitive advantage.30
Faced with seemingly insurmountable economic and political challenges to producing a Volkswagen, the German industry and press championed the two-stroke motorcycle as “the people’s vehicle” due to its affordability, its German production, and its lack of tax and licensing restrictions. In contrast, in the United States and in France the automobile was by far the dominant form of individual motorized transport. Even in Great Britain, considered the “motherland of motorcycling” during the 1920s, the transition to four-wheeled motor vehicle occurred earlier, allowing the German motorcycle industry to take over England’s position as the global leader in motorcycle manufacturing by the end of the Weimar Republic. In Italy, by the end of the 1930s, there was only one-tenth the number of motorcyclists there was in Germany, despite Mussolini’s personal passion for motorcycling and the motor-obsessed aesthetics of the Futurists. Within the skewed competitive environment of the German automotive industry, motorcycle manufacturers took the lead in manufacturing affordable motor vehicles, partially through successfully adopting and implementing Fordist production techniques, such as the assembly line. Frank Steinbeck’s recent monograph delves into the details of how economic, political, and juridical factors coalesced to favor the expansion of motorcycling in Germany.

Yet the significance of the motorcycle cannot be completely understood through economic constraints or political interventions. Instead, as a “cultural commodity,” the motorcycle is saturated with meaning beyond “the thing itself.” Martin Heidegger employed the motorcycle as a heuristic device on numerous occasions to explain his phenomenological philosophy to students at the University of Freiburg. In order, for example, to elucidate the concepts of “hearkening,” or the difference between “entities” and “beings,” Heidegger invoked the motorcycle as a peculiar object.

How does it stand with Being? Can we see Being? We see beings—the chalk here. But do we see Being as we see color and light and dark? Or do we hear, smell, taste, or touch Being? We hear the motorcycle roaring along the street. We hear the grouse flying off through the mountain forest in its gliding flight. Yet really we are only hearing the noise of the motor’s rattling, the noise that the grouse causes. Furthermore, it is hard and unusual for us to describe the pure noise, because it is precisely not what we generally hear. We always hear more [than the mere noise].

Heidegger presented the motorcycle, an everyday object, as possessing a form of existential authenticity comparable to a wild bird, verging on acquiring a quality beyond its lifeless materiality.
Motorcyclist and mobility scholar Jeremy Packer argues that motorcycling offers a “phenomenological validation,” including its quality of appearing to provide an unmediated experience, “being in touch with the world around you, particularly the beauty of nature.” Time appears compressed, in terms of “having a heightened sense of being in the moment – being ’more alive.’” The authenticity of the experience is augmented through “knowing and understanding one’s machinery in a more intense and extensive fashion than the average motorist.” And performance is key—“looking and feeling tough; being noticed and creating a scene; and certainly, not least of all, experiencing the thrill of speed.”

In his classic essay on motorcycling as popular culture, John Alt writes that motorcycling fulfills “ambiguous social needs,” combining “sensuous experiences (ecstasy, exhilaration, virility) and conceptual images (freedom, individualism) which are denied in everyday life, but which can be rediscovered and relived through a particular assemblage of metal, rubber, and plastic.” Thus, as a “symbolic representation of the modern search for meaning and experience,” the motorcycle is often imbued with mythical and metaphysical qualities.

Given its symbolic baggage, focusing on motorcycling thus offers new grounds for exploring how gender and class intersected. New forms of mobility were discursively and materially linked to new forms of consuming, with consumer culture increasingly organizing “structures of meaning and feeling.” While scholars of technology and of mobility have shown the automobile to be gendered in complex ways, when analyzed at all, the motorcycle has been coded as decidedly and aggressively masculine. Furthermore, although a rich body of historical studies on consumption and gender during the Weimar Republic exists, it focuses almost exclusively on constructions and representations of femininity. Although mass consumption had not fully arrived in Weimar Germany, consuming exerted a growing influence on the formation of modern subjects, disrupting relationships between class and gender. Motorized mobility promised transformation, as Cotton Seiler and other scholars have argued, not only of women, but importantly also of men. Thus, in *The Devil’s Wheels* I hope to provide a contribution to understanding how consumption shaped not only constructions of modern femininity, but of modern masculinity as well.

Consuming, however, is never the only, or even the most significant act involved in producing and reproducing gender. Motorcycling masculinity combined consumption and production, aesthetics and technology. Multiple and relational, yet historically produced within concrete social contexts, masculinities (and femininities) are both “made” and “making.” During the Weimar Republic, economic and
political upheavals destabilized traditional sources of institutional and cultural power, threatening the smooth reproduction of "hegemonic masculinity" and thus challenging the gender order. Struggles over definitions of normative masculinity reveal conflicts over the organization and regulation of social relations, for example in heated debates on technology, sports, and delinquency. Motorcycling, in the sense of Judith Butler’s "doing and undoing gender," thus offers a site to explore enactments and embodiments of masculinities and femininities, of modern men and modern women. When viewed through the lens of motorcycling, often-contradictory repertoires and representations of gender, and masculinity in particular, were made available through the emerging mass consumer society. On the one hand, motorcycling created spaces for masculine self-fashioning beyond an occupational or confessional basis; on the other hand, motorcycling was a practice that generally served to disguise acts of masculine consumption under a veil of masculine-coded skilled labor or the conquest of space, time, and women. And, as an icon of female masculinity, the figure of the active female motorcyclist challenged conceptions of proper femininity.

In the hierarchy of transportation vehicles, when compared to automobiles, motorcycles were inferior status symbols. The chorus regularly denouncing Weimar Germany’s alternate path to motorization was large and loud. Industrial and political leaders, as well as everyday Germans, expressed negative attitudes towards motorcycles and motorcyclists, reflecting more general anxieties regarding the rise of the United States as an industrial powerhouse and the weakened position of Germany’s economy and society after the defeat in World War I. Whether phrased in terms of rationalization, conspicuous consumption, crass materialism, or masculinized women, unease over social changes was often framed as a critique of “Americanism.” To compensate for the motorcycle’s inadequacy as a marker of social class status and national wealth, an image of the motorcycle as a marker of “iron-hard” masculinity became increasingly dominant, in part promoted by the motoring industry and press, and in part self-ascribed by motorcyclists. Similar to the way in which Weimar contemporaries saw "silk stockings and permed hair" as the modern woman’s "weapons in the struggle for survival," the masculine motorcycling community evaluated qualities such as "risk-taking" and "weather-proofed" as necessary attributes for surviving the vicissitudes of modern life.

Nonetheless, the masculine world of motorcycling was a collective less adequately characterized by homogeneity than by its internal distinctions. Although motorcyclists in Germany shared particular experiences and practices, a range of masculine "ideal types" existed for
Weimar-era motorcyclists to draw upon.\textsuperscript{51} Indeed, in contrast to David Gartman’s proposal that the “cultural logic of car consumption” has progressed through the three stages of distinction, obscuration, and differentiation, I would argue that these three “logics” were at play simultaneously and in competition with each other within the motorcycling culture of the Weimar Republic. This reflected a more general expression of the lack of consensus around meaning-making though consumption.\textsuperscript{52} As the number of motorcyclists grew over the course of the 1920s, trade journals, clubs, and motorcyclists attempted to delimit the community by creating self-disciplining mechanisms and through circumscribing acceptable behavior. However, descriptions of motorcycle ideal types, such as the “Pure Unadulterated Sportsman,” the “Grease Monkey,” the “\textit{Halbstarke},” and the “Leather Jacket,” reveal deep schisms not only over which practices and behaviors were accepted as constituting appropriate masculinity within the motorcycling community internally, but also in the Weimar Republic in general.

\textit{The Devil’s Wheels} makes three discrete interventions in the historiography of the Weimar Republic—into the history of motorization, consumption, and gender. I do not limit my focus to militarized masculinity, nor do I look exclusively at femininity and consumption. Instead, the relationship of masculinity to consumption in a particularly masculine-coded milieu, motorcycling, is at the center of this investigation. By exploring representations and performances of gender and class in the “emergent culture” of motorization, and motorcycling in particular, I both extend and amend standard interpretations of class and gender in the Weimar Republic. With the destabilization both of class boundaries and the undermining of the naturalized equation of man as producer, consumption and leisure emerged as important practices in the construction and enactment of modern masculinity. The modern man participated in the emergent consumer society, and his masculinity was defined not only by what he produced, but also by what and how he consumed.

If “automobility” can be understood as a “forge of subjects,” then this study hopes to contribute to comparative discussions of modern mobility and the fashioning of modern masculinity under historically specific material and social conditions.\textsuperscript{53} In the United States, the case Cotten Seiler studied, driving an automobile facilitated a reconsolidation of republican individualism in the face of Taylorism and Fordism. Citizens of the newly minted Weimar Republic faced a different set of issues. Wracked with insecurities over the defeat in war and loss of status as an imperial power, they were filled with anxiety over the constant volatility of the economy and the tattered fabric of the nation.\textsuperscript{54} On the one
Introduction

hand, resentment over being excluded from full participation both in the ideal form of mass consumption symbolized by Henry Ford’s Model T and on the world power stage dominated political debates during the Weimar Republic. On the other hand, deep unease over an uncertain present and future existed alongside tentative pride over manifestations of Germany’s own modernity and great expectations for a technologically driven advancement of society. Exploring openings and obstacles, barricades and byways, The Devil’s Wheels inquires into the reworking of relationships between class, gender, production, and consumption through the emergent practice of motorcycling during a period of acutely perceived social, political, and economic instability.

Sourcing the Fuel of History

Writing a history of motorization that accounts for the economic, social, and cultural context required consulting a broad archive of materials. First, the motor vehicle industry and the motoring clubs were the institutional pillars that produced and promoted the process of motorization. Sources from, and about, prominent manufacturers during the Weimar Republic offered material on the organization of production and the workforce, engineering and design, marketing and sales strategies, and the role industrial organizations and cartels played in state decisions on regulating the new technology. Motoring clubs, such as the Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil-Club (ADAC) and the Deutscher Motorradfahrer-Verband (DMV), constituted an institutional factor crucial to popularizing motorization. Clubs, alongside industrial actors, exerted pressure on politicians to promote the progress of motorization and to forward the interests of motorists over other forms of transportation. On the consumption side, clubs published journals for their members, and offered insurance policies, maps, and international drivers’ placards. In addition, sometimes together with industry, they sponsored races and rides. Above all, they provided an organized space of (homo) social consumption for their members.

Grounding this study sociologically also meant compiling ownership statistics. Registration records provided raw data on the sex and occupation of motorists, which were essential for evaluating the class and gender composition of those who had access to motor vehicles. A legal framework was constructed at the state and local level, albeit haltingly and unevenly, to regulate the process of motorization. Legal records provided evidence of struggles over uses of public space and private property, and the task of managing the social risk of motorization.
Differences in legislation and enforcement varied according to the type of motor vehicle, testifying to the class and gender biases of the emerging legal structure. Political, institutional, and industrial archives provided important insights into how motor vehicles came to dominate individual transportation in industrial societies.

Records left by government and other official institutions cannot, however, adequately capture “non-rational, symbolic, social or psychological choices.” In order to grasp how imaginations and emergent practices reworked understandings of class and gender through the intertwined practices of consumption, production, technology, and aesthetics, it was necessary to consult an archive of less-official renderings of the process of motorization in Germany. As symbols of modernity, the car and the motorcycle (often featured with a sidecar) became increasingly present in film and graphic representations, and in print media that represented different sectors of society and political directions. Indeed, the bulk of sources analyzed in this book were produced through the initiative of private individuals participating in the growing media sector, many of whom remain anonymous. The numerous journals for motor- ing enthusiasts that flourished during the Weimar Republic show how motorization was being sold and consumed on an everyday level. These journals, with their letters to the editor, travelogues, and advice columns shed light on everyday mentalities and routines, intimating at gestures and bodily practices, and illuminating the shadowy contours of social relationships, from class to gender and generation. Lively debates held on a wide range of topics, from the effects of motorcycling on women’s health to the problems of youth, from acceptable levels of violence to who qualified as a “true” sportsman, testify to a culture of public discussion and social negotiation.

The social and material culture of the motorcycling milieu was also recorded in innumerable fictional sources published in motoring magazines during the Weimar Republic. Short stories, jokes, poems, and song lyrics, all popular forms of literary expression in this period, enriched the social terrain of motorization. In addition, the “motorcycling” novels Garage 13, PS-Narr, and Rennfieber were serialized in the most prominent independent motorcycling magazine during the late 1920s. Although demonstrating differences in style and interpretations of modern men and women, these fictional works often share a similar repertoire of preoccupations and presumptions about social identities, gender relations, and motorized modernity. They also provide rich material for exploring the figure of the engineer, the relationship between industry, sports, and the nation, and especially courtship and sexuality.
Signposting the Territory: Chapter Outline

To show how relationships between the state, economy, and society shifted during the Weimar Republic, the first chapter rides the bumpy but not uphill road on the German motorcycle industry’s journey “From Pioneers to Global Dominance.” During the fifteen-year span of the first German republic’s often-tenuous existence, the economy was a fractured space, fraught with competing visions. The fortunes of the German motorcycle industry, for which I provide a chronological history until 1933, reflected the political, social, and economic turmoil of the era, whereby the motorcycle industry attained a relative advantage vis-à-vis the automobile industry. By tying consumption to production, the growth of the motorcycle industry can be understood through important changes in design and manufacturing that made motorcycles increasingly more affordable and reliable. Furthermore, the state was deeply implicated in the promotion of mass-motorized two-wheelers. By providing incentives, such as tax and licensing advantages, the state fostered an environment that helped to expand the domestic market for motorcycles.

The second chapter, “Engineering and Advertising a Motorized Future,” probes the crucial role of engineers and advertisers in the production and consumption of motorcycles. The figure of the engineer, materially and intimately involved with both the production of the industrial economy and the nation, provides an example of the conflicts over modern masculinity. Motorization, alongside industrialization and urbanization, enabled this new professional group to present themselves as the principal actors of modernity and the protagonists of the modern nation. Engineers positioned themselves as experts able to determine the proper relationship between culture and technology, and as arbiters between the German “spirit” and the material world. The second half of the chapter explores advertising—a growing profession central to creating a public image of both motorcycles and motorcyclists. It looks at advertising agencies and the establishment of customer service departments as well as at the spaces, techniques, strategies, and motifs of advertising the motorcycle and the sidecar. The shiny visions of a motorized society that advertisers often produced, however, rarely reflected the everyday difficulties of motorcycling or the often-harsh reality of economic and social instability in the Weimar Republic.

Through analyzing motorcycling as a distinctive yet variegated habitus, the third chapter, “Motorcycles and the ‘Everyman,’” shows the motorcycling community during the Weimar Republic as a predominantly masculine collective replete with internal distinctions. Statistics compiled on motorcycle and automobile ownership (ridership inevitably remains
a gray area) uncover how the sociological landscape of the motorized changed over time. Motor sport clubs and the trade press were essential for constructing a motorcycling habitus. Sartorial fashioning, motorcycle touring, and tinkering were also central practices that shaped the motorcycling milieu. An analysis of competing ideal types of motorcycling masculinity, drawn from descriptions in motorcycling journals, demonstrates how acts of male consumption were incorporated into a repertoire of distinctly masculine practices and behaviors. Close readings of sources such as poems and short stories underscore my central argument that the modern man of the Weimar Republic can be firmly located within consumer society.

The field of sports exploded during the Weimar Republic. The fourth chapter, “Is Motorcycling Even Sport?,” traces a particularly contentious debate within the motorcycling community. The discussion over the meaning and value of sports gave voice to both anxieties about and hopes for engineering individual bodies and reformulating the nation in the context of severe economic, political, and social ruptures. Sports provided a space for men to both assert their masculinity and create distinctions within masculinity. Competing visions of what defined a sport or a sportsperson provide insight into how motorcyclists attempted to negotiate their identities as modern men during a period in which the primacy of production was giving way to the growing importance of consumption. Germany’s uneasy and partial transformation into a consumer-driven industrial capitalist society was reflected in manifold iterations of discomfort with materialism. The massive and seemingly uncontrollable social changes produced disruptions that Germans often countered by framing the contradictions and challenges of modernity within the realm of the spiritual. For example, motorcyclists attempted to veil their participation in consumer society through employing the concept of struggle to define sports, a struggle that was naturalized and envisioned as primordial. By invoking “iron-hard masculinity” as a marker of motorcycling, motorcyclists also sought a means to escape the feminization associated with consumption and to obviate the threat of being characterized as superficial.

Central sources of social conflict throughout the process of motorization, such as drunk driving, joyrides, violence, accidents, and noise, are the topic of the fifth chapter, “Deviant Behaviors.” Public attitudes towards motorcyclists, and motorcyclists’ reactions to the problems prompted by motorization, are explored by looking at how different social groups thought these conflicts could be managed and the risks be fairly distributed socially. Often-heated debates reveal contestations, both between the non-motorized and motorized, and within the
motorized community, over the right to define appropriate comportment in public spaces. For example, in 1928 another lively debate was held in Das Motorrad over whether it was appropriate or not to run over dogs. While no consensus was reached, the debate shows how motorization forced issues around acceptable levels of violence, and the relationship between ownership and violence. This chapter also deals with attempts on the part of the state, the police, and motoring clubs to mediate conflicts between the motorized and the non-motorized, as well as the motorcycle communities’ own attempts to regulate motorcyclists’ behavior. While problems with motorization were sources of strife in an ever-more industrialized, urbanized, and mobile mass society, the specific conflicts between motorists and non-motorists also served as a pretext for expressing anxieties over the instable social, economic, and political conditions during the Weimar Republic. Unevenness and abrupt shifts in the organization of the economy produced struggles around public and private; dynamics of inclusion and exclusion became increasingly relevant in a society that confronted democracy and consumerism with profound ambivalence.

"Motoring Amazons?,” the sixth chapter, focuses on active female motorcyclists and female motorcycling authors by paying attention to their relatively scant voices in the pervasively masculine world of motorcycling. By looking at both fictional and factual examples of female motorcyclists, this chapter explores the strategies women employed in order to participate in the modern activity of motorization, as well as the challenges they faced in their attempts. An exemplary figure was Hanni Köhler, who not only raced alongside men and won competitions such as the North–South Race in 1924, but also undertook a nine-month 20,000 km motorcycle expedition from Sri Lanka to Germany in 1931, a remarkable feat for any person at that period of time. While her achievements were often celebrated within the trade journals, her insistence on active female motorcycling made her vulnerable to claims of not being properly feminine, of upsetting gender norms, and of being what motorcycle magazines called a “Motorcycle Amazon.” Furthermore, the predominantly male-generated discourse around women and motorcycling was couched in terms of debates on women's health and on women’s technological aptitude—or their ostensible lack thereof—and women encountered institutional obstacles when they took the steering wheel or handlebars. Nevertheless, although sexism was institutional and class and social rank were less important than gender when it came to driving motor vehicles, women motorcyclists found ways to assert their needs and desires, and ultimately transformed definitions of proper femininity.
Tracing the intricate webs of male–female sexual intimacy on and off the road, from mere voyeurism to tentative selection, taking a test drive and the rituals of purchase, and trading in the old for the new, the final chapter, “Sex and the Sidecar,” analyzes the ticklishness of gender, sexuality, motorization, and consumption during the Weimar Republic. The motor vehicle’s potential offer of (sexual) liberation was often portrayed as its greatest asset. In the mostly fictional sources analyzed in this chapter, the motorcycle usually occupies both a material and a symbolic role, as an instrument that facilitates sexual experiences on the one hand and as an enhancer of male sexuality on the other. Motorcycles, with their promises of freedom and mobility, represented a modern form of male-dominated sexually potent capital. The opportunities opened by motorized mobility were perceived, sometimes with great anxiety, as catapulting both men and women headlong into precipitous sexual intimacy and as accelerating sexual relations. For one side, it was an acute threat to marriage and the moral fabric of society; for the other, it was simply a new technology that produced new forms of age-old behaviors. This ambivalence mirrored the instability of economic and sexual relations during the Weimar Republic.

If the oft-invoked metaphor of a “laboratory of modernity” is a fitting vehicle to describe the Weimar Republic, then motorization was an important field of social experimentation. For many Germans during the Weimar Republic, motorcycling became a vehicle for negotiating the modern world. The motorcycle was a source of both fear and fascination: “When you curse the thundering cloud of dust kicked up through this land by the devil’s wheels, you feel that this eerie singing roar has something provocative, daring, yes seductive about it.”60 Motorcycles propelled German men and women headlong into modernity. “The thundering motor is the heart of this new world, it shares its speed with the humans, and they test themselves against it.”61 Or, as one skeptical police captain wrote in 1931 to the Prussian Minister of the Interior: “Dear Honorable Minister! If one day a ‘necrology’ of these wonderful times are written, then it shouldn’t be forgotten that it was characterized by the ‘mellifluous’ motorcycle.”62
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