

LABOUR, UNIONS AND POLITICS IN THE NORDIC COUNTRIES, c. 1700–2000

Introduction

*Mary Hilson, Silke Neunsinger, Iben Vyff
and Ragnheiður Kristjánsdóttir*

The title of this book refers to Väinö Linna's trilogy *Täällä pohjantähden alla* ('Here under the North Star' 1959–62). An undisputed classic of Nordic literature – it was voted the most significant twentieth-century Finnish novel in a 1997 survey – the trilogy is, however, much less well known outside Finland, and an English translation appeared only in 2001–2003.¹ The translator, Richard Impola, described the first novel as an 'epic of work'; a story of how 'wilderness is turned into productive land' through the sheer bodily efforts of the main protagonist, Jussi.² Linna's ambitions were greater than this however, and the novels were set against the background of the immense social, political and economic changes of the period from the 1880s to the 1950s. Focusing on the lives of one tenant farming family and the village community in which they live, the trilogy takes the reader through the events of the 1905 revolution, the 1918 civil war and post-war reconciliation, up to the Winter and Continuity Wars of 1939–1944. It explores such themes as the tension between landowners and tenant farmers and the political struggles before and after independence and the civil war.

As a writer who gave the Finnish people a voice, a face and an historical significance – taking the everyday experiences of ordinary people as a point of departure – Linna's writing became very important for the identity of the Finnish people and the conception of history in post-war Finland.³ Linna had no higher education and for several years

after the turning point in his literary career, which came with the success of *Under the North Star*, he worked in a textile mill during the day and wrote during the night.⁴ He is an example of a writer who wrote about the environment in which he was embedded. In the same vein, the Icelandic worker Tryggvi Emilsson (1902–1993) wrote a very well received and critically acclaimed autobiographical trilogy (*Fátækt fólk, Baráttan um brauðið* and *Fyrir sunnan*). Published in the 1970s, it follows Tryggvi from the farm where he was raised in poverty at the beginning of the twentieth century, to the growing town of Akureyri in the north of Iceland and eventually to Reykjavík where Tryggvi was active in working-class politics.⁵

Many of the themes described in these novels about the lives of working-class people are explored in the different contributions to this volume. Through the examples presented in this book, we seek to contribute to debates about a new global labour history that takes class, gender, ethnicity and race into account and that does not limit its narrative by national borders or confine it to the historical period of industrialization.⁶ We have sought to include contributions representing all five Nordic countries and to cover the history of work and the history of workers' organizing. In the hope that this book might also stimulate further Nordic discussions on labour history and its future, we also seek to explore the implications of shared histories and the attempts to create transnational spaces.⁷ The selection can never be representative in every sense and there are a number of issues that we do not address, including the history of everyday working-class life, the history of consumption, the history of working-class culture and – with the exception of the chapter on forestry workers – the history of certain occupations typical for the region, such as fisherman, sailors and agricultural workers.⁸ These choices are influenced by available research and by trends in labour history outside the Nordic region. Moreover, although most of the contributions in this volume are written by historians and economic historians, it should also be noted that ethnologists, historians of ideas, feminist researchers, political scientists and sociologists have made important contributions to the field of labour history in the Nordic countries and that these in turn have created specific research traditions.

This introductory chapter presents an historical survey of the political history of the Nordic countries, focusing on their shared labour histories, which is intended to give the necessary context for the contributions in this volume. We have organized our summary in terms of five periods: 1) the 'classic' period of labour movement mobilization during the era of industrialization from 1860; 2) the reform or revolution debates during and after the First World War; 3) the Great Depression and the 1930s; 4)

the period of social democratic hegemony after 1945; and 5) the period since the early 1970s. This is followed by a short account of the development of the oldest existing labour history archives in the world, since the availability of sources has structured the ways in which labour history has been written in the Nordic region. We then examine some of the most important historiographical currents in Nordic labour history and end with a short presentation of the contributions to this volume.

It should be noted that not only the level of interest in labour history but also the number of historians in general has varied between the Nordic countries. The content of what has been defined as labour history has of course varied in different contexts, but in the Nordic languages the term 'labour history' (*arbejderhistorie*; *arbejderhistorie*; *arbetarhistoria*; *tjöväenhistoria*; *verkalýðssaga*) includes both the history of work and the history of the working classes and their institutions and organizations. Since the 1980s, labour historians have sometimes described labour history as being in decline, but this book shows rather the opposite.⁹ One of the current challenges for the field is the need to broaden concepts of work to include free and unfree labour, paid and unpaid work. The broadening of concepts in this way can lead to more imprecise definitions, but exposing labour history to longer time frames and transnational perspectives can also make our results more reliable and help to deepen our analysis. Most of the chapters in this volume do indeed refer to the 'classic' period of labour history, namely the era of industrialization c.1870–c.1930, but we have also included contributions from earlier periods until the turn of the millennium.¹⁰ Some of the chapters deal with specific countries or regions; others adopt a Nordic perspective, or seek to place the Nordic examples in a wider transnational context.

The history of Sweden has sometimes been presented and understood as synonymous with the history of social democracy. The political dominance of Sveriges Socialdemokratiska Arbetareparti (Social Democratic Party, SAP), which was in government 1932–76, cannot be denied, but this has also implied the marginalization of other political movements on the Left within the historiography, including, for example, the history of communism and its meaning for individuals and movements. In contrast, communism has been more thoroughly researched in the other Nordic countries.¹¹ Even if we want to understand Nordic labour history as the shared histories of the Nordic labour movements, we need to take the splits between political parties into account, as they have affected not only domestic and foreign politics but also relations to the institutions of international socialism.¹²

In addition to taking stock of the dominant directions in Nordic labour history, as well as its blind spots, we also want to focus on another

common trend in labour history in the Nordic countries and in general. Despite the fact that a number of studies have been carried out on women and work and women in labour movement organizations, from most of the literature a particular worker emerges: white, male and employed in industry.¹³ This dominant, albeit often implicit, understanding has resulted in an under-representation of specific groups of workers outside industry and for a long time has consolidated the importance of a division between productive and reproductive work. Feminist critique has pointed to the fact that productive work is not possible without reproductive work.¹⁴ However, understandings of the spaces in which work takes place have changed in recent years, just as the boundaries of work and the nature of work itself has changed. Definitions of what is work, who is a worker and how workers and work are connected through local, national and global developments have challenged the focus on the national institutions of the labour movement and also the male industrial worker as the main character in this narrative. These issues are also relevant for historians, as the contributions to this volume by Malin Nilsson and Helle Stenum illustrate.¹⁵ The figure of the worker has evolved in tandem with changes in the labour market and the political landscape, where, in echoes of the decade before the Second World War, different political parties now claim to represent the workers.¹⁶

Labour Histories of the Nordic Countries during Industrialization

Scholars have acknowledged the shared histories that have shaped the development of Norden as a distinctive ‘historical region’.¹⁷ These shared histories produced several common historical features that are relevant to our discussion of labour history, including the dominance of the Lutheran faith, the absence of feudalism or serfdom and traditions of local self-government within a strong and centralized state.¹⁸ David Kirby distinguishes a number of ‘Nordic’ characteristics in the labour movements of northern Europe.¹⁹ These include the absence of a reactionary land-owning class and a strong political culture of participation and representation, which meant that the mobilization of ordinary people in popular movements was tolerated. The emergence of the Nordic labour movements has often been understood within the context of the general mobilization of popular movements (*folkebevægelser*; *kansanliikkeit*; *folkebevegelser*; *folkrörelser*; *félagsbreyfingar*) in the nineteenth century, including the free churches, temperance societies, adult education organizations and cooperative societies.²⁰

An example of this can be seen in Iceland, where the first labour newspapers in Iceland predated the founding of a political party in 1916.²¹ Published at the beginning of the twentieth century, these papers provided a forum for discussions concerning the social and political situation of the urban poor. Their publishers and contributors stood on the border between two worlds. On the one hand there was the old rural society, in which the urban poor were considered outcasts, a kind of cancerous disease. Clearly the labourers contributing to these newspapers felt that they were marginal, but wished that they could be of real use to their nation. But on the other hand, and alongside rural views and values, one may discern a still obscure idea of a modern society, where workers demand recognition as fully fledged members of the nation. This demand did not appear in the guise of Marxist ideas about the redefinition of power relations within society, but rather in an attempt to expand the definition of who really belonged to the nation, so that it also included workers. In the newspapers, an attempt is made to appropriate the characteristically positive image of the farmer and apply it to the worker. Another manifestation of this was the use of the term *alþýða* – meaning ‘people’ or ‘the common people’, equivalent to *folk* in the Scandinavian languages – to describe the workers’ identity rather than *verkamaður*, ‘worker’, or *verkalýður*, ‘proletariat’. In due course, when a political party and national organization of unions were founded in 1916, these features influenced the way in which the movement defined its objectives and role. The political discourse of the social democrats was, right from the beginning, embedded with claims that workers be recognized as a homogeneous group that not only played an important role in society, but were really the core of the nation and thus had a right to demand that the state secure them the possibility of leading a decent life. The name chosen for the party was *Alþýðuflokkur* (the party of the common people), not the labour or social democratic party as was common practice in Europe at the time.²²

Kirby also notes – as have many other scholars – the distinctively rural character of the Nordic labour movements and the absence of a large urban, industrial working class.²³ Despite the long international depression, which lasted until about the mid 1890s, the Nordic economies grew rapidly after 1870. This was largely in response to international demand for the products of their primary and extractive industries: processed agricultural products such as butter and meat; fish; timber, pulp and paper; iron and metal products.²⁴ The largest individual sector was the household sector, but other industries such as textile production, shipbuilding and seafaring were also important. However, although an urban working class began to emerge in industrial centres like Tampere,

Bergen, Norrköping and the capitals, it was still outnumbered by the rural population until well into the twentieth century.²⁵ As Kirby writes, one of the remarkable features of the late nineteenth-century Nordic countries was that there was little or no fear of a mass degenerate and potentially revolutionary slum population comparable to that found in the large metropolises of countries such as Britain, France and Germany.²⁶ One possible reason for this was the relatively high rates of emigration from rural districts in Sweden and especially Norway, though it was lower in Denmark and Finland.²⁷

Nonetheless, this did not preclude the possibility of labour market conflicts, which took place as a consequence of industrialization across the region, in industries such as timber, paper and pulp, mining and ore processing, hydroelectric power, engineering, electro chemicals and electrometallurgy. One particularly significant conflict was that between joiners (*snedkere*) and their employers in Denmark in 1899, which resulted in the so-called September Agreement between the national employers and trade union federations, which was to set the rules of labour market bargaining.²⁸ In Sweden there were conflicts in the engineering sector in 1903 and 1905 followed by a general strike in 1909, while in Norway there was a major conflict in the paper industry in 1907, but the same year also saw the first nationwide industrial agreement between workers and their employers in the metal industry.²⁹ Finland had symbolically important strikes by building workers in 1896 and at the Voikkaa paper mill in 1904, and Finnish workers participated in the general strike that took place across the Russian Empire in 1905, but these strikes were less significant in shaping Finnish industrial relations.³⁰ Iceland had no collective bargaining during the first half of the twentieth century and did not experience any general strike.³¹

Another peculiarly Nordic feature was the relatively large number of women responsible for earning their own income.³² Among other reasons, this was because the Nordic countries lacked a large upper class that could afford to keep their daughters and wives at home, which led early on to high rates of women's labour market participation.³³ From the late nineteenth century, women started to organize women's unions and women's committees in political parties, using journals and events such as International Women's Day or congresses to exchange information across national boundaries. Finnish women were the first in Europe to gain suffrage in 1906 and in doing so attracted the attention of women campaigning for suffrage reform elsewhere.³⁴ The experiences of the first social democratic women elected to the Finnish Parliament in 1907, as well as those in Denmark after 1915, were important for women's mobilization in the Nordic countries and beyond, as were the experiences

of the first female ministers, Miina Sillanpää in Finland and Nina Bang in Denmark. Clara Zetkin also played an important part in spreading information about women in the Nordic labour movements, through reports published in her journal *Die Gleichheit* and the exchange of socialist women's journals all over Europe.³⁵ The second international socialist women's conference was held in Copenhagen in 1910.³⁶ However, these international connections also created domestic problems regarding suffrage. According to the decisions of the international socialist women's congress held in Stuttgart in 1907, socialist women should only work together with organizations that demanded universal suffrage for men and women independent of income. For some years, for example, Swedish social democratic women were not able to form alliances with other women's organizations in Sweden due to this decision.³⁷

The Nordic labour movements emerged against the background of democratization, but the achievement of political rights did not take place evenly across the region. As Nils Elvander noted, the Danish labour movement was a Nordic pioneer in its willingness to collaborate with the forces of bourgeois liberalism, following the new constitution of 1849, which gave most adult males the vote.³⁸ After the establishment of parliamentarianism in 1901, a faction broke away from the agrarian liberals to form a new party, Radikale Venstre (usually translated as Social Liberals), which collaborated with the social democrats.³⁹ In Norway, there was some electoral cooperation between Det Norske Arbeiderpartiet (the Norwegian Labour Party, DNA, founded 1887) and the liberal party Venstre during the 1890s, but this ceased after the introduction of universal male suffrage in 1898 and the end of the Swedish-Norwegian union in 1905, as Venstre moved to a more explicitly anti-socialist position.⁴⁰ The union crisis was also politically significant in Sweden, where leaders of the social democratic movement were imprisoned for their anti-nationalist propaganda.⁴¹ In Sweden, the road to democracy was longer and more turbulent than in the rest of Scandinavia, though here too there was cooperation between social democrats and bourgeois liberals, especially at the local level.⁴² In Finland, Sosialidemokraattinen puolue (the Social Democratic Party, SDP) benefited from the introduction of universal male and female suffrage in 1906 to become the most successful labour party in Europe, measured by its parliamentary seats, and despite its Kautskyist programme it was committed to parliamentary democracy.⁴³ The weakest of the Nordic social democratic parties, nationally as well as internationally, was the Icelandic one. Founded as late as 1916, at the same time as the trade union federation, it never gained momentum similar to that in the other Nordic countries. After the Second World War, and more or less

throughout the twentieth century, the relatively strong Communist and later Socialist Party left the social democrats as the smallest of the four main political parties in Iceland.⁴⁴

It is possible, therefore, to speak of a shared Nordic labour history from the very beginning of what has been regarded as the classical period of organizing. This can be attributed to the migration of workers within the Nordic region, to regional connections between workers' organizations and to common international influences, mainly from Germany. Martin Grass has divided so-called 'workers' Scandinavianism' (*arbetarskandinavism*) – a form of regional internationalism – into three phases: the first during the mobilization of workers, the second from the start of regular Scandinavian worker congresses in 1886 and the third from 1912, when the decision was made to establish a permanent collaboration committee.⁴⁵ We could also add a fourth with the foundation of SAMAK (the Nordic cooperation committee of the labour movement) in 1932, at a time when social democratic politicians were entering government.⁴⁶

During the second half of the nineteenth century, many artisans travelled within the Nordic region as well as to Germany, and this network remained important even after the guilds were gone, at least until the beginning of the First World War.⁴⁷ More than a century before the construction of the Öresund Bridge, inter-Scandinavian labour markets were operating between Copenhagen and the Skåne region in southern Sweden, as well as between Bohuslän on the Swedish west coast and the south-eastern parts of Norway. Finnish apprentices working in various crafts and skilled occupations also travelled to the Scandinavian countries and beyond.⁴⁸ According to Bernt Schiller, an analysis of the collections of workers' memories at the Danish National Museum indicated that 41 per cent of the workers born between 1855 and 1890 had worked abroad at some point in their lives, which, although it might not be a representative sample, does indicate the mobility of workers at that time. Schiller also points out how the fear of foreign strike-breakers connected Scandinavian workers not only with each other but also to developments in Germany. He suggests that the Copenhagen hatmakers' union was originally founded in 1872 as a branch of a German union, while in turn Copenhagen workers helped to organize a cork-cutters' union in Malmö.⁴⁹ Women domestic workers also migrated to work within Scandinavia, the Netherlands and the United States.⁵⁰

Cooperation between nascent labour movements was initially established through individual contacts. August Palm, traditionally regarded as the first Swedish socialist agitator, had been active in Germany and Denmark; influential in the early Norwegian labour movement were the Danish-born activists Marius Jantzen, Sophus Pihl, Carl Jeppesen and

the Swede O.J. Ljungdahl.⁵¹ Palm also travelled to the United States and agitated among Scandinavian immigrants there.⁵² Icelandic workers encountered labour politics and socialism as migrant workers in Denmark or while working alongside Scandinavian workers in Iceland. The Icelandic labour pioneer Pétur G. Guðmundsson claimed to have first heard about labour politics from Norwegians working in the whaling industry in the eastern fjords. Reading whatever he could find about international labour and socialist politics, he went on to establish correspondence with influential leaders such as Hjalmar Branting in Sweden and August Bebel in Germany.⁵³ In Finland, too, first connections often depended on personal encounters. The Finnish furniture manufacturer Viktor Julius von Wright discovered the German labour movement while working in Nuremberg and Leipzig and later came into contact with Arbejderforeningen af 1860 (the worker's association of 1860) in Copenhagen, which inspired the foundation of a similar association in Helsinki.⁵⁴ Von Wright also wrote a report on the Norwegian and Swedish labour movements after a month long visit. In 1899 the leader of the Swedish Social Democratic party, Hjalmar Branting, attended the founding congress of Suomen Työväenpuolue (the Finnish Labour Party (the Finnish Labour Party, from 1903 the Finnish Social Democratic Party, SDP)).⁵⁵

Denmark was the Nordic representative at the First International and was the first country in the region to found a section of the International Workers' Association, in October 1871.⁵⁶ Variations in economic development in the Scandinavian countries led to different paces of organization. The economic recession of the 1870s hit Sweden and Norway more severely than it did Denmark and, according to Bernt Schiller, this temporarily halted developments until the 1880s.⁵⁷ A second phase in the development of Nordic labour cooperation can be discerned from the 1880s, when workers' Scandinavianism became more organized. This can be seen as part of what Ruth Hemstad has called an 'Indian summer' of transnational Nordic cooperation, which emerged following the defeat of pan-Scandinavianism in 1864, though it also reflects the broader tradition of internationalism within the labour movement.⁵⁸ Cooperation between different unions resulted in a Scandinavian labour congress in Gothenburg in 1886. The congress discussed trade union issues such as strikes but also the political role of trade unions. The idea was to create a common platform among the three Scandinavian labour movements, with a focus on union issues.⁵⁹

Despite the fact that internationalism was generally understood as an expression of the sum of national units – inter-nationalism, as Kevin Callahan has described it for the Second International – early attempts at practical internationalism meant creating a transnational space in the

Scandinavian context.⁶⁰ Solveig Halvorsen has shown that for a short period of time the early labour congresses were in favour of organizing pan-Scandinavian unions. A resolution on this was first debated in 1886 and passed in 1890. Among the seven Scandinavian unions proposed were those for tanners, cork-cutters, basket makers, saddlers and upholsterers, stonemasons, seamen and stokers and tobacco workers. Most of these were short-lived but the saddlers and upholsterers' union survived until 1941.⁶¹ The example of the Scandinavian stonemasons' union shows how strikes and solidarity with striking workers could be organized transnationally across the Scandinavian region.⁶² Employers also began to move production to neighbouring countries, and as Grass notes, discussions of the 1912 cooperation committee were motivated partly by the experience of 'employers' Scandinavianism' across the region in the years 1909–1911.⁶³ However, the vision of pan-Scandinavian unions was never properly realized, as none of these unions could exist until they had representatives in each of the three Scandinavian countries. In 1897, therefore, the decision of the Scandinavian workers' congress was revised and it was decided that only national unions and federations should be formed. Soon after, national union federations were established: Denmark (*De samvirkende fagforbund*; from 1960 *Landsorganisationen i Danmark, LO*) and Sweden (*Landsorganisationen, LO*) in 1898 and Norway in 1899 (*Arbeidernes faglige Landsorganisasjon i Norge, LO*); Finland followed in 1907 (*Suomen Ammattijärjestö, SAJ*) and Iceland in 1916 (*Alþýðusamband Íslands, ASÍ*).⁶⁴

The third phase of Nordic labour cooperation started during the 1912 Scandinavian workers' congress in Stockholm when a decision was made to establish a permanent committee for cooperation between the Nordic labour movements: *Kommittén för skandinaviska arbetarrörelsens samarbete*. Similarly to the workers' congresses, both unions and parties were represented in the committee. The committee gave recommendations that could be rejected or accepted by the national organizations and it worked like the other Internationals, although on a more limited regional level. Because of its political orientation, the leadership of the Finnish SDP, at that time dominated by Kautsky supporters, declined to participate in cooperation under such elaborate organizational forms.⁶⁵ The committee was criticized for being a meeting place for the leaders only and no longer representing the workers, while the Finnish representatives regarded it as a Scandinavian section of the Second International and stated that the interests of the Finnish movement lay elsewhere; for example, in maintaining contacts with the labour movement in Russia. Despite this, the Finnish movement stayed in touch with the committee.⁶⁶

Between Reform and Revolution

The Nordic societies were profoundly shaken by the revolutionary currents of the early twentieth century. One of the central debates of Nordic labour history has been the need to explain variations in support for revolutionary politics across the region; in particular why there was apparently greater support for radicalism in Norway (where the majority of DNA voted to join the Comintern in 1919) and in Finland (where an attempted revolutionary coup d'état in January 1918 sparked a full blown civil war) than there was in either Denmark or Sweden. Similarly, until well into the twentieth century there were few indications that Iceland would be fertile ground for revolutionary politics. Most people seemed rather conservative and prudent in their outlook, and there was no history of contentious political struggles. The later strength of communism, imported to Iceland by students and intellectuals, has been explained in a recent study by its strong political identity as opposed to the weak political identity of the social democrats and in particular the party's efficient use of a combination of communist and nationalist discourses.⁶⁷

As Einar Terjesen discusses in his contribution to this volume, one of the earliest and most influential interventions in the debate about Norwegian radicalism came in an article by the Norwegian social democrat and historian Edvard Bull, first published in 1922.⁶⁸ According to Bull, the radical left wing of the labour movement was strongest in Norway and relatively weak in Denmark, with Sweden adopting a middle path. These differences were attributable to three reasons: the suddenness and rapidity of Norwegian industrialization, compared to more gradual developments in Denmark and Sweden; the greater decentralization of the Norwegian labour movement and its failure to form coalitions with the liberal parties; and the influence of personalities: the Norwegian party was much more open to the theoretical influences of academics, compared to its more empirical Swedish and Danish counterparts.⁶⁹ Subsequent studies have refined and qualified Bull's thesis.⁷⁰ But most scholars have been at pains to emphasize the anomalous and atypical nature of the revolutionary outbursts in Norway and the other Scandinavian countries, which also explains why they ultimately failed to result in permanent revolution.⁷¹

Finland, which experienced a brief but violent civil war following the proclamation of revolution by the SDP in January 1918, must therefore be regarded as an anomaly in the Nordic context. The conflict and its aftermath were deeply traumatic and rarely discussed by academic historians before the 1960s, meaning that literary works of fiction had some impact on the historiography, notably those of Väinö Linna.⁷² The

reasons for the conflict must be understood in the context of the social and political turmoil following the Russian revolutions of 1917. Even so, the situation remained ‘recognizably normal’, in the words of one historian, until the late summer of 1917, with socialist and bourgeois politicians cooperating in the administration.⁷³ Following the rejection of its *valtalaki* – a proposal for independence based on parliamentary sovereignty – in the summer of 1917, the SDP accepted the provisional government’s dissolution of Parliament and contested new parliamentary elections in October, but these resulted in a defeat for the party. Thereafter social order deteriorated rapidly, with the appearance of rival socialist and bourgeois paramilitary organizations. Rising social tensions were severely exacerbated by high unemployment and rapidly worsening food shortages. The situation was polarized still further by the Bolshevik seizure of power on 7 November 1917, which united the bourgeois parties behind a declaration of full independence. Meanwhile the SDP was rapidly losing control of its own ranks after it called off an attempted general strike in mid November and it proclaimed revolution in Helsinki on 26 January 1918, precipitating the civil war.

The difficulty for labour historians has been to explain why the SDP adopted a revolutionary position from late 1917. Although it was formally committed to a Kautskyist position and, like its Scandinavian sister parties, had based its ideology on the German Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands’ (SPD) Erfurt programme, the SDP was also prepared to collaborate with bourgeois groups to uphold Finnish autonomy and secure parliamentary reform.⁷⁴ ‘The entire earlier history of the Finnish [workers’] movement spoke against organized revolutionary action’, wrote the sociologist Risto Alapuro; ‘it could not be created in a few months’.⁷⁵ What tipped the balance, according to Alapuro, was the rulers’ loss of control over the forces of law and order after the Tsarist state collapsed. More recent research has complicated this picture further, drawing attention to the deepening social divisions emerging in Finland in the years before 1917 and the conflicts over land ownership in particular.⁷⁶ These cleavages were further exacerbated by the frustrations of the final years of autocracy and the rapid deterioration in the food supply during the war. The collapse of the Tsarist regime in 1917 unleashed enormous expectations that no political group was in a position to respond to. The SDP leadership struggled to keep control of the situation and use it – like their Swedish counterparts – to negotiate further political reforms, but the situation was becoming increasingly chaotic and dangerous, as both worker and bourgeois groups formed private militias. By the end of January 1918, the party had no choice but to launch a revolution for which it was extremely poorly prepared.⁷⁷ According to

recent research, the violence that ensued – during the military conflict itself and the subsequent terror campaigns by both sides – cannot be dismissed simply as irrational acts or the deeds of rogue individuals, but was in many cases ideologically and strategically motivated.⁷⁸

While Finland was the only Nordic country to experience a violent uprising during the revolutionary years 1917–1923, the potential for revolutionary unrest elsewhere in the region should not be overlooked. Despite being non-belligerents, all three Scandinavian countries were affected by the food shortages and price rises of the First World War, which led to mass hunger demonstrations in Sweden, especially during the spring of 1917.⁷⁹ There were further disturbances throughout 1917 and 1918, with riots in Copenhagen and Kristiania (Oslo) following the German revolution in November 1918.⁸⁰ In all three cases the social democratic leadership was able to channel this popular feeling into successful demands for constitutional and social reforms, even in Norway where a majority of DNA voted to join the Comintern.⁸¹ Nor did the possibility of unrest cease after the establishment of parliamentary democracy; as Stefan Nyzell has shown, there were violent demonstrations in the city of Malmö during the autumn of 1926, in a dispute that originally stemmed from the use of strike-breaking labour. As Nyzell argues, the potential for violent conflict was never very far away throughout the 1920s, and even afterwards, in the Nordic countries as elsewhere in Europe.⁸² Against this, Knut Kjeldstadli has pointed out that despite the threats of revolution only one person in Norway lost their life in a class-based political conflict, a fact he attributes to a ‘pacifist political tradition’ in Norway.⁸³

Nordic Labour during the Interwar Period

The political divisions in the labour movement also affected Nordic and international cooperation. In 1917 labour representatives from the neutral Scandinavian countries planned an international socialist conference, together with their Dutch colleagues, but this never took place.⁸⁴ As a member of the Comintern from 1919, DNA ceased to participate in Nordic meetings, though the Norwegian LO continued to collaborate. Like the International Information Bureau of the Second International, Nordic labour movement cooperation remained a form of practical internationalism based on the exchange of information, though it differed in its inclusion of trade unions. After 1920 there were suggestions that collaboration should be based solely on contacts between the Nordic trade union confederations.⁸⁵ However,

even the trade union confederations split in 1922 when the Norwegian LO left the so-called Amsterdam International, the social democratic International Federation of Trade Unions (IFTU), while the Swedish and the Danish trade union confederations remained members and the Finnish trade union confederation had never joined.⁸⁶

With the exception of Norway and Iceland, communist parties split from the majority social democratic labour movement after 1917 and remained an influential political force in close contact with Moscow.⁸⁷ The majority of the labour movement remained committed to parliamentary socialism. Even in Norway, where DNA had joined the Comintern in 1919 and was regarded by many as a party committed to socialist revolution until the early 1930s, socialists were still prepared to cooperate with bourgeois parties to secure social reforms, among them the labour movement's long-standing goal of the eight hour day.⁸⁸ In Denmark and Sweden social democrats even participated in government, while in Norway DNA was particularly active in municipal reforms.

The stability of parliamentary democracy could not be taken for granted in the uncertain post-war world, however. Nordic societies in the 1920s remained fractured by the cleavages of worker and bourgeois, town and country, reflected in a fragmented political system that made it very difficult for any political party to form a stable government on its own.⁸⁹ The situation was in no way helped by the economic difficulties of the post-war period. Taken as a whole, the Nordic economies performed relatively strongly during the 1920s and 1930s, in comparison with elsewhere in Europe. The neutral countries were able to benefit from wartime demand, and manufacturing industry continued to expand, with high growth rates for Finland and Norway in particular.⁹⁰ Although the Scandinavian social democratic parties largely rejected nationalization as an economic strategy, they shared with the bourgeois parties a belief in the role of the state and its technocratic experts to plan the economy and to stimulate industrial development and modernization, and a tolerance of the concentration of capital as a means to business rationality and efficiency.⁹¹

Despite this, the region could not avoid the economic problems of the era. The initial post-war boom gave way to recession, not helped by the deflationary policies of governments determined to restore the gold standard.⁹² The effects of this were particularly severe in Denmark and Norway, where there were also difficulties in the banking sector. But the great scourge of the period, in the Nordic region as in the rest of Europe, was unemployment.⁹³ This also had an impact on industrial relations, with employers able to profit from the weakened trade union movement to force reductions in wages. Throughout the 1920s there was labour

unrest, and stimulated also by the intervention of the communist parties, social cleavages became more and more deeply entrenched. In some cases these were to lead to outbreaks of violence, most famously in Ådalen in northern Sweden in 1931, where military police sent to control large demonstrations fired into the crowd, killing five people.⁹⁴

In the context of high unemployment, women's work and married women's work was under attack during the interwar years, in the Nordic countries as in other industrialized regions. Some regarded married women's work as a way for women to take men's jobs. This debate can also be analysed in terms of the shifting role of women in the labour market during the interwar years, when more women moved to new positions in the service sector at the same time as fewer women were willing to work as domestic servants and preferred to work in industry. As a consequence, domestic workers demanded the regulation of working hours and working conditions, but their demands were accepted only after the Second World War, when conditions had already changed in practice.⁹⁵ In Sweden this debate led to a large investigation on married women's right to work, which was also important for later International Labour Organization (ILO) investigations.⁹⁶ In an example of state feminism, well-known feminists formed a state committee of experts to investigate the conditions for women's work, revealing gender segregation in the labour market as well as women's comparatively large role in part-time work. Even today this characterizes women's position in the labour market despite comparatively high rates of labour force participation.⁹⁷ It was also during this time that the first attempts were made to make women's labour force participation easier in combination with motherhood and childcare. This debate, started by the Swedish social democrats Alva and Gunnar Myrdal, also initiated new ideas about day care and collective housing.⁹⁸

Although urbanization was increasing fast during this period, the proportion of the population living in the countryside remained high and thus the social and political conflicts of the era also had a strongly rural dimension.⁹⁹ Some farmers had benefited from land reform, for example in Finland where, following nearly a decade of debate, legislation in October 1918 was passed allowing tenant farmers the right to purchase their land with the assistance of state loans.¹⁰⁰ Many of the region's farmers struggled, however, with rising debts, especially as the nineteenth-century solution to rural poverty – that is, emigration – had largely ceased to be an option.¹⁰¹ This situation contributed to the consolidation, during the 1920s, of farmers' parties as a distinctive political interest.¹⁰² Moreover, as in the rest of Europe, a further political development of the era was the rise of political groups willing to embrace

explicitly anti-liberal and anti-democratic positions. The greatest threat was perhaps in Finland, where the populist right-wing Lapua movement started to take violent action against communists from 1929 and even made an unsuccessful attempt to stage a coup d'état in the small community of Mäntsälä in 1932.¹⁰³

This also underlined the fact that the social democratic labour movement could not remain – if it had ever been – a party of the industrial working class only. In the era of universal suffrage it was quite clear that political success would be dependent on the mobilization of supporters in the countryside.¹⁰⁴ As Ingar Kaldal discusses in his contribution to this volume, the largest union in the Norwegian trade union federation was Skog- og Landarbeiderforbundet, representing forestry and agricultural workers, with over 30,000 members by 1940.¹⁰⁵ Perhaps one of the most remarkable features of the Nordic social democratic parties during this period, with the exception of Iceland, was the ease with which they were able to complete the transition from being parties of the industrial working class to the broader incarnation of ‘people’s parties’ based on an appeal to the *folk*.¹⁰⁶

Inter-Nordic contacts were intensified in 1932 when SAMAK was founded to coordinate the work of the labour movement committees formed by unions and parties. This meant that the leaders of the parties and the trade unions met regularly and SAMAK created a meeting place where they could speak very openly and off the record about their concerns.¹⁰⁷ After 1935, SAMAK also became a meeting place for the leaders of social democratic governments in the Nordic countries.¹⁰⁸ Mirja Österberg’s chapter in this volume analyses an important theme of Nordic social democracy, namely the cooperation between social democrats and farmers’ parties against the threats of the political Right.¹⁰⁹ Contacts in SAMAK were influential in stimulating a remarkable convergence in Nordic experiences during the 1930s, namely the negotiation of coalition agreements between the social democrats and the farmers’ parties, which took place in all five Nordic countries. The Danes were first, in January 1933, followed by Sweden later that same year, with similar agreements in Iceland (1934), Norway (1935) and Finland (1937). The details of the agreements varied across the region, but in each case social democrats were able to agree to some support for agricultural subsidies in return for the farmers’ support for social democratic welfare reforms.¹¹⁰

In Sweden the 1933 agreement also allowed the social democrats to introduce a countercyclical stimulation policy. Three years before Keynes published his *General Theory* this was certainly an innovative departure from the orthodox economic thinking of the time, though economic

historians have cast doubt on how much it was able to influence Sweden's relatively swift recovery from the depression.¹¹¹ Perhaps the compromise was most remarkable in Finland, as it brought together two separate interests that less than a generation earlier had committed terrible atrocities against each other during the civil war.¹¹² The crisis agreements also went some way to resolving tensions in the labour market. In Denmark, the Kanslergade Agreement included a compromise on industrial relations, with the farmers agreeing to support a state-negotiated agreement to end the bitter round of strikes and lockouts.¹¹³ This was followed by separate labour market agreements in Norway (Hovedavtalen 1935), Sweden (Saltsjöbadsavtalet 1938) and Finland (Tammikuun kihlaus, 1940), all of which were seen as milestones in the history of industrial relations.¹¹⁴

The interwar period also marked the high point in the development of the institutions of the labour movement as a culmination of the mobilization of the popular movements in the nineteenth century. Although the idea of a Nordic workers' culture never quite attracted the same fame as similar culture-building efforts in Austria's 'Red Vienna' or Germany, it was nonetheless significant.¹¹⁵ Central to the movement were the 'people's halls' (*folkets hus*; *työväentalo*; *alþýðuhús*) where much of the labour movement's activities took place.¹¹⁶ Although in the cities these could be elaborate demonstrations of proud working-class culture – for example in the areas around Norra Bantorget and Youngstorget in Stockholm and Oslo respectively, the workers' house and Hakaniemi square in Helsinki or Alþýðuhúsið by Arnarhóll in Reykjavík – in the rural districts they were more likely to be modest buildings, usually constructed by the workers themselves. During the 1920s and 1930s it became theoretically possible to live one's life in the embrace of the workers' movement: shopping at the cooperative store, benefiting from trade union insurance schemes, receiving education through the numerous study circles or workers' colleges in Denmark and participating in recreational activities through guest houses, sports clubs, choirs and the entertainments organized at the people's halls.¹¹⁷ During the 1930s, women from the Nordic labour movements also started to meet regularly for so-called women's weeks and Nordic summer schools, which intensified their cooperation.¹¹⁸

The experiences of the Nordic countries during the Second World War created differences in attitudes and politics among the labour movements. Although DNA had rejoined the Nordic community in the early 1930s, cooperation between the movements was challenged, especially during the Second World War when solidarity and neutrality could not be practised in the same way due to the German occupation of Denmark and Norway and the fact that Finland fought on the German side against

the USSR.¹¹⁹ Sweden remained officially neutral, which in practice meant that the government did everything to avoid being drawn into the war, including tolerating the passage of German troops through Swedish territory to occupied Norway. These experiences had long-lasting effects on the foreign politics of the social democratic governments and their views on internationalism and international cooperation. One of the challenges was that international solidarity could hinder Nordic solidarity, or, as Klaus Misgeld has put it, the opposite was true and Nordic solidarity hindered international cooperation.¹²⁰ However, for a large part of this period the internationals were already largely defunct, as a result of the varying experiences of war.

From 1933 the Scandinavian countries received political refugees from the German-speaking regions, though after the occupation of Denmark and Norway this was confined to Sweden. Among these refugees were some of the future leaders of the German-speaking labour movements, including Willy Brandt, Herbert Wehner and Bruno Kreisky.¹²¹ Although it is not possible to talk about large numbers, the experience of receiving anti-fascist refugees and the mistakes made during this period resulted in a much more open policy towards refugees after the Second World War.¹²² Holger Weiss's chapter in this book analyses the early communist networks that were later also used to escape from persecution by the Nazi regime.¹²³

Nordic Labour during the Period of Social Democratic Hegemony

The historiography of Nordic labour after 1945 distinguishes itself from most other parts of Europe in one important respect: it was written in the context of success or what Francis Sejersted has called the 'happy moment' of social democracy.¹²⁴ As Donald Sassoon has pointed out, the years immediately after the Second World War marked a high point for socialists in western Europe.¹²⁵ Stimulated by the spirit of collective sacrifice and equality of the war years, labour seemed poised on the threshold of a major victory, and this optimism was vindicated by election victories for labour parties in Sweden in 1944 and in Britain and Norway in the summer of 1945.¹²⁶ But in Britain, at least, the initial enthusiasm faded and Clement Attlee's reforming government was voted out of office in 1951. Only in Scandinavia were social democratic electoral successes sustained, so much so that it is justified to speak of social democratic hegemony in the post-war years.¹²⁷ In Sweden, the SAP had an almost unbroken run in government from 1932–1976; in Norway DNA was

equally dominant in the two decades 1945–65.¹²⁸ Even in Denmark, where the social democrats never commanded a parliamentary majority and governed instead in coalition with other parties, they were rarely out of office. The thesis of social democratic hegemony holds less true for Finland and Iceland, where conservative and farming interests remained more dominant and where there was also still substantial support for communism within the labour movement.¹²⁹ These splits also hindered the development of centralized industrial relations, but Finland at least also shared some of the ‘Nordic’ characteristics of its neighbours, not least in its corporatist organization of interests.¹³⁰

With the 1930s agreements, Sweden seemed to have abandoned the bad old days of poverty and conflict and entered a golden age of prosperity and stability. The industrial economy boomed in response to international demand for Swedish exports, and the result of this prosperity was the construction of the welfare state.¹³¹ From the 1940s, the proportion of the population engaged in industrial work had surpassed that employed in agriculture, and Sweden was rapidly becoming a highly urbanized society.¹³² These transitions were partly eased by the institutions of the state – in particular Arbetsmarknadsstyrelsen (labour market board, AMS), founded in 1948 – which assisted employees with moving jobs and homes, and introduced reforms including the three week summer holiday and the five day week.¹³³ Even so, and despite rapid depopulation of rural northern districts in particular, this was not enough to meet the rising demand for labour. With all formal obstacles removed, women entered paid work outside their homes to a larger degree than before, but there was still not public childcare. To begin with, most of the women worked in retail, production industries and in the public sector. At the end of the 1940s there was a discussion on how to meet demand for labour in industry and whether it should be through the recruitment of women, which would lead to the demand for public childcare, or migrant men.¹³⁴ Women were recruited to work in the public sector and Swedish employers actively recruited workers from southern Europe, as Johan Svanberg’s contribution to this volume discusses.¹³⁵ During the 1960s, labour migration from Finland became significant, with several hundred thousand Finnish workers moving temporarily or permanently to work in industrial areas of Sweden such as Malmö, Gothenburg, Västerås or Eskilstuna.¹³⁶

The industrial boom was not matched in the other Nordic countries to the same degree as it was in Sweden in the immediate post-war years.¹³⁷ In Denmark, the agricultural sector was still making a substantial contribution to Danish exports in 1950, though it was losing ground to industry.¹³⁸ In Norway, strongly regional patterns of development

prevailed: in contrast to the centralizing impulses of the Swedish state, the Norwegian government invested in regional development, especially in the north of the country.¹³⁹ Nonetheless, in all the Nordic countries the trends were similar to that of the rest of Europe: the decline of agriculture, an increase in women's labour force participation, rising wages and productivity in industry, urbanization and rising consumption of new goods and services for the mass of the population.

There is, however, a danger of overstating the degree of social and political consensus that this generated.¹⁴⁰ Firstly, social democratic hegemony was never complete or unchallenged, even in Sweden. It is now widely acknowledged that social democrats were not the principal architects of the welfare state, but the inheritors of a longer tradition of tolerance for state intervention in welfare policy that dated from the late nineteenth century or even earlier.¹⁴¹ Post-war welfare legislation was introduced through political compromises with the bourgeois parties, though such compromises were by no means a foregone conclusion, as the example of the Swedish supplementary pension reform – voted through the Riksdag by one vote in 1959 – demonstrates.¹⁴² Nor was everyone a beneficiary of welfare reforms. As Klas Åmark has pointed out, social insurance in Sweden and Norway was conceived largely with the male, waged, industrial worker in mind. Many other groups remained unseen or were otherwise excluded: women engaged in unpaid work within the home; migrant workers and indigenous ethnic minority groups such as the Sami and Roma; farmers and agricultural workers; and those falling short of the hegemonic labour movement values of respectability, sobriety and diligence (*skötsambhet*).¹⁴³

Moreover, although the so-called spirit of Saltsjöbaden seemed to prevail in Sweden and industrial conflicts were relatively infrequent, this was not true of the other Nordic countries. Iceland, Finland and Denmark continued to experience very high strike rates, even in comparison with other west European societies.¹⁴⁴ Social conflict erupted from the late 1960s as part of wider international currents. Like elsewhere, there were many different aspects of these protests: part youth rebellion, part post-materialist critique of post-war politics, part reaction to changing international circumstances.¹⁴⁵ But there were also some distinctively Nordic elements, not least in the relative mildness with which the authorities reacted to protests and demonstrations. The legacy of social democratic hegemony was influential here too: on the one hand, left-wing politics was part of the fabric of society, but on the other, the criticism of the conservative establishment was, by necessity, also directed against the social democratic leadership.¹⁴⁶ This was perhaps most marked in Sweden, where the truly shocking event of the era was not the 1968 student

demonstrations, but a wildcat strike by workers at the state-owned iron ore mine in Kiruna, in the winter of 1969–70.¹⁴⁷ The miners' action was a direct criticism of the Stockholm-based social democratic leadership of the Swedish labour movement and as such a profound challenge to traditional hierarchies, at work and in trade unions. This triggered a new emphasis on industrial democracy in the Swedish labour movement, but radical plans for wage earner funds were never realized in full.¹⁴⁸

International events were also important in 1968 in Scandinavia, with opposition to the Vietnam War one of the most symbolic issues.¹⁴⁹ In Norway, the 'New Left' was mobilized partly in opposition to Norway's membership of NATO and proposed entry to the European Community.¹⁵⁰ In Denmark, the international split in communism in 1956 led to the formation of Socialistisk Folkeparti (SF) in 1958, but the main challenge to the established party political system came instead from the populist Right, which gained ground on an anti-establishment, anti-tax platform in the so-called 'earthquake' elections of 1973.¹⁵¹ In Sweden, the SAP was voted out of office in 1976 for the first time since 1932. But social democracy was also threatened in other ways. On the one hand, the legacy of the traditional popular movements that had been so important to the development of Scandinavian social democracy continued to be influential, perhaps in the distinctively 'puritan' character of the Nordic 1968 protests. But on the other hand, they also faced decline against the rise of newer organizations – based on issues such as environmentalism, feminism and international solidarity – that also broke with the organizational traditions associated with the popular movements by adopting more informal and less hierarchical styles and strategies.¹⁵²

Nonetheless, although in retrospect the signs of a profound challenge to the Nordic social democratic model of high taxation and the redistributive welfare state were already present in the 1970s, the region still seemed to offer a favourable climate for labour. Trade union membership remained very high – partly due to the 'Ghent system', meaning that unemployment benefits were allocated through trade unions – and centralized collective bargaining arrangements prevailed in most industries.¹⁵³ With the exception of Iceland, the consensual corporatist system of making policy through negotiations between representatives of labour, industry and the government was more or less unchallenged.

The welfare state expanded during the 1970s, partly as a consequence of the incorporation of women into paid employment, and the consequent expansion of collective arrangements for childcare and other responsibilities previously associated with the family. Sweden was the first country to give fathers as well as mothers the right to paid parental leave. In 2006 80 per cent of mothers and 93 per cent of fathers with children

under the age of seven were in gainful employment. However, one third of all women in gainful employment worked part-time, a percentage that had been decreasing.¹⁵⁴

Challenges and Crisis: 1970s to the Present

The Nordic countries were all affected by the economic slowdown after 1970 and the ideological influences of neo-liberalism.¹⁵⁵ The timing of the late twentieth-century crisis varied across the Nordic region, however. The impact of the OPEC oil price rises in the early 1970s was greatest in Denmark, but somewhat less severe in Sweden, Norway and Finland.¹⁵⁶ Economic historians now agree that government actions in response to the 1970s difficulties failed adequately to address what in retrospect was a major structural shift: the decline of heavy industry, the outsourcing of production to lower wage economies overseas and the rise of the service sector.¹⁵⁷ Coupled with the results of a short-lived speculative boom in the 1980s, the consequence was a very severe recession in Sweden and Finland during the early 1990s.¹⁵⁸ From the 1990s Norway was shielded by its exploitation of North Sea oil reserves, which allowed for the rapid growth in national income and living standards. In 1973 Norwegian GDP per capita was still below the European average; by the first decade of the new millennium it was one of the richest countries in the world and frequently topped international surveys in measurements of its human development indicators.¹⁵⁹

In Sweden, the early 1990s also marked a political, social and cultural watershed in that the crisis triggered a profound questioning of many aspects of twentieth-century development; in short, of what could be described as the social democratic legacy.¹⁶⁰ Despite the contemporary political rhetoric about the need to break with the past and reform – or even abandon – the Swedish or Nordic model, many scholars have concluded subsequently that the political impact of the crisis was less severe than it appeared, at least up until the mid 2000s. Although there were some attempts to reform welfare benefits, the fundamental premises of the welfare state remained intact, and unchallenged in political legitimacy.¹⁶¹ In Finland and Sweden the recovery was based on rapid developments in the information, communication and technology sector, but in both cases this was as likely to involve older well-established companies as new start-ups, with Nokia and Ericsson as the outstanding examples.¹⁶² In 2008 the Swedish business sector was still dominated by a small number of large family firms founded before 1914, even though many of these had shifted much of their operations away from Sweden overseas.¹⁶³

One very profound change in the Nordic societies in the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries has been the experience of mass immigration and the greatly increased ethnic diversity this has brought with it. The Nordic societies were never homogeneous societies, though perhaps it is fair to say that they did not experience the very significant ethnic and religious cleavages found in some other parts of Europe. Among historically significant minorities can be mentioned the Sami people of northern Finland, Norway and Sweden; Jewish communities, which grew following the late-nineteenth pogroms in eastern Europe; the Swedish speakers of Finland and the Finnish-speaking minorities in northern Sweden and Norway (Kvens); the Orthodox religious minority in Finland; the German-speaking minority in southern Jutland; and ethnic minority groups such as the Roma.¹⁶⁴ Until the post-war era, the Nordic countries were largely societies of emigration, and, as previously discussed, Finland remained so until the 1970s, as large numbers of citizens left to find industrial employment, mostly in Sweden. During the 1990s and after (earlier in Sweden) the composition of the Nordic populations changed enormously. Labour migration – mostly from southern Europe and also inter-Nordic migration from Finland – gave way in the 1990s to increased immigration of people from outside Europe, many arriving as refugees from conflict.¹⁶⁵ This became very significant again in 2015 with the arrival in Europe of hundreds of thousands of individuals fleeing conflicts in Syria, Afghanistan and elsewhere, and at the time of writing in early 2016, this seemed likely to have profound consequences for the Nordic passport union established in the 1950s. The introduction of border controls early in 2016 threatened to disrupt the common Swedish-Danish labour market that had emerged in the Öresund region between Copenhagen and Malmö in particular.¹⁶⁶

Immigration has also had political consequences, provoking resistance from some who see ethnic diversity as a threat to social cohesion and national identity. This has – as in the rest of Europe – generally taken the form of new political parties espousing a right-wing populist brand of anti-immigration and ‘welfare chauvinism’, but more rarely it has also led to confrontations and even outbreaks of violence. Examples include the Mohammed cartoons controversy of 2005–6 in Denmark, the riots in parts of suburban Stockholm in the summer of 2013, and most horrifically, the Breivik massacre in Oslo in summer 2011.¹⁶⁷ The reputation of the Nordic societies for egalitarianism and tolerance has been challenged by the presence of ethnic ‘others’ and the responses of institutions and individuals towards this diversity.¹⁶⁸ The challenges seem to be most marked in the labour market, where instances of ethnic discrimination have been well documented.¹⁶⁹ Moreover, the tightening of controls

in response to increased immigration, coupled with privatizations and subcontracting in the hotel and restaurant sector, construction, cleaning and in care work seems also to have led to the growth of the semi-formal or informal sectors of the economy and employment.¹⁷⁰ This is explored in Helle Stenum's contribution to this volume on Danish au pairs.¹⁷¹ In this respect, too, the notion of Nordic exceptionalism seems to be increasingly difficult to sustain.

The Infrastructure of Labour History

Perhaps more than anywhere else in the world, the development of labour history in the Nordic countries is closely connected to the development of the labour history institutions. In the Nordic case, this means the development of national labour movement archives and libraries as well as the local social movement archives. The national labour movement archives are today among the largest non-governmental archives in the Nordic countries and their libraries are also regarded as being extremely valuable for research. The collections of these institutions have long structured the study of labour history, not only by making historical sources available and by the systematic acquisitions of literature and journals from all over the world, but also through the organization of seminars and conferences and the publication of their own books and journals.

The history of the Nordic labour archives is from the beginning an entangled history. Their developments are closely intertwined with each other and reflect the close connections between the Nordic labour movements. The Swedish Labour Movement Archives and Library (Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, ARAB) is the oldest existing institution of its kind in the world, founded in 1902. The establishment of the Norwegian equivalent (Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv og bibliotek, ARBARK) was directly inspired by a visit of the Norwegian labour leaders to ARAB in 1904 or 1905.¹⁷² The Danish Labour Library and Archives (Arbejderbevægelsens Bibliotek og Arkiv, ABA) and the Finnish Labour Archives (Työväen Arkisto/Arbetararkivet, TA) were both founded in 1909. It is important to note that these institutions were not established by professional historians, archivists or librarians but by the leaders of the movement, which shows how important they were considered to be. It is remarkable that the young labour movements were so concerned about documenting the history of their own organizations, especially during historical periods when they were more interested in revolution than tradition.¹⁷³ According to the statutes of the Swedish archives, the original

intention was to collect material and make it available to write the necessary ‘counter history’ of the movement, including the work and success of the Scandinavian-American labour movement.¹⁷⁴ One of the reasons for the close cooperation was the Nordic labour congresses discussed above. During the 1907 Nordic labour congress, the delegates discussed the need for a Nordic information office similar to the Information Office of the Second International, with the ambition to collect statistics and to inform and educate the members of the Nordic labour movements. The labour press was not considered capable of fulfilling this task. The information office was never started, but what was left of this initiative became an agreement to start an archive in November 1908.¹⁷⁵

The situation in Finland differed from that in Denmark, Sweden and Norway, due to the political history of the country. Here, the archives of the communist labour organizations are kept in a separate institution, the People’s Archives (Kansanarkisto/Folkets arkiv) founded in 1945. Today, both archives share a common webportal, together with a number of museums with interests in labour history, including the Labour Museum Werstas in Tampere. The Labour Movement Library (Työväenliikkeen kirjasto) was founded as a joint initiative of the Labour and People’s Archives and is today situated in the same building as TA.¹⁷⁶ The situation in Iceland was different again, as even today there is no comparable institution. The archives of ASÍ are held at the National Archives of Iceland. But the archives of the political parties – the social democrats, communists and socialists – have not yet been collected into one place and made available for public or academic use.

Today the Nordic archives collect the material of all parties from the left as well as material from the unions connected to the central trade union confederations, left-wing social movements and private individuals connected with the labour movement. In Norway, Denmark and Finland parts of the cooperative movement have also delivered material to the labour movement archives, while the Swedish cooperative movement started its own archives and library in 1927.¹⁷⁷ From the very beginning the labour archives also shared the aspiration to encourage workers to read about international socialism in books, journals and newspapers, and together with workers’ education organizations, the archives were regarded as an educationally important tool for the movement until the beginning of the 1970s.¹⁷⁸ They were also important in the exchange of information across the Nordic region. Copies of publications were sent to all institutions and regular exchanges of information between the institutions continue today.¹⁷⁹

The availability of sources and literature is important for the choice of research topics but also in influencing methodological approaches more

generally. Early labour history was above all an organizational history of the movement, often produced in connection with anniversaries and undertaken within the labour movement itself. There was a revival of this type of organizational history in connection with the centenaries of many of the Nordic labour organizations from the 1980s. However, the Nordic labour history archives not only collected what was delivered to them by the main organizations, but also took their own initiatives to create sources. In 1949, under the leadership of Edvard Bull the younger, ARBARK planned a collection of interviews with ordinary workers, partly carried out by the Norwegian Folkmuseum (people's museum). A similar project was undertaken by Nordiska museet (the Nordic Museum) in Stockholm. Bull's initiative was an attempt to move the focus of labour history from the leaders to the ordinary people and bore fruit when this collection was used to write the first part of the Norwegian labour movement's history, published in 1985.¹⁸⁰ Parallel to this, in the 1940s, Swedish LO took the initiative for a history of the Swedish working class, which was exceptionally broad in terms of time frame and definition of the working class compared to what had existed before and what was to come. A whole volume was concerned with craftsmen since the middle ages, while the work also included an analysis of proto-industrialization, the history of agricultural workers and a cultural study of the working class.¹⁸¹ In Finland, work began in 1960 under the auspices of Työväen Sivistysliitto/Arbetarnas Bildningsförbund (workers' educational union), initially to collect workers' memories of the 1918 civil war. These collections are now preserved in TA, covering many aspects of twentieth-century Finnish history.¹⁸² In Denmark ordinary workers were interviewed about their time in school and their working lives. Nationalmuseet (National Museum of Denmark) was responsible for a collection on workers' and craftsmen's labour migration supported by the trade union movement, and workers' memories have been an important source for the history of everyday lives since the 1980s.¹⁸³

Over time the labour archives have moved away from close relations to the labour movement to position themselves between the movement and academic institutions. With the exception of the Norwegian ARBARK, all the institutions have now moved from central locations in the 'red squares' of the capital cities, where they were close to unions and party offices. The number of staff has decreased and the archives have become modern documentation centres.¹⁸⁴ In the meantime, union confederations have established successful units for their own investigations, using academic research methods. Labour history itself has developed into an established field of academic research. The Norwegian archives' visitors

book from 1910 shows that most of the visitors at that time were ordinary workers and leaders of the labour movement, whereas today most of the visitors are students, researchers and journalists from all over the world. In Sweden and Norway the archives were consciously positioned closer to academic research, with the requirement that the archive managers had to be qualified historians.¹⁸⁵ From the 1970s most visitors were academics, reflecting the growing interest in the period after the Second World War as a topic for academic historical research, though journalists have also become frequent visitors. Personal papers collected by the archives have become increasingly important for the studies of governments and political developments.¹⁸⁶

In Denmark the new academic interest in labour history led to the establishment in 1970 of Selskabet til Forskning i Arbejderbevægelsens Historie (Association for research in the history of the labour movement, SFAH). Danish ABA chose not to become a research institution, so SFAH became responsible for publishing scholarly research in its journals, even though the board of the ABA, consisting of labour movement representatives, was initially sceptical.¹⁸⁷ As a result of this academic turn, unions and political organizations hired professional historians to write their history.¹⁸⁸ In 1974 the first Nordic labour history conference was held in Finland, coordinated by the archival institutions, and these conferences for academic researchers took place regularly until 2004. The tradition has recently been revived, and the fourteenth Nordic Labour History Conference took place in Reykjavík in November 2016.¹⁸⁹

The upswing in academic labour history during the 1970s can also be seen in the foundation of labour history journals. In Sweden *Arkiv för studier i arbetarrörelsens historia* was started by a left-wing academic group in Lund in 1972.¹⁹⁰ Meanwhile *Arbetarhistoria* was first published as a newsletter by Swedish ARAB in 1977 but soon became the leading journal straddling the divide between academic and public history.¹⁹¹ The Norwegian journal *Arbeiderhistorie* was founded in 1976 and became a yearbook from 1987. Between 1971 and 1994 the Danish SFAH published both *Årbog for arbejderbevægelsens historie* and the journal *Meddelelser om forskning i arbejderbevægelsens historie*, which changed its name to *Arbejderhistorie* in 1982. Finally, in Finland the journal *Työväen tutkimus* was first published in 1987 as a joint venture between the different archives and library. Since 1992 it has been published as a yearbook.¹⁹² The Finnish society for labour history was founded in Tampere 1984. As its full name in Finnish (Työväen historian ja perinteen tutkimuksen seura) suggests, it had from the start the ambition to bring labour historians together with those working on folklore and ethnology, both professional and non-professional researchers. Its publications

were also important in helping to disseminate knowledge about Finnish labour history outside Finland.¹⁹³

The academic turn was also mirrored in the financial situation of the institutions. From the end of the 1960s the Swedish labour archives started to receive state funds to finance more academic expertise, just as in Norway and in Denmark from 1971. The Norwegian archives are still funded largely by the labour movement with around 30 per cent of their funding from the state, while ARAB receives 40 per cent of its funding from the state and the Finnish archives are funded between 70 and 80 per cent by the state. The Danish ABA was merged with Arbejdermuseet (workers' museum) in 2004 to reduce the running costs.

Historiographical Currents

Nordic labour history also needs to be understood against the background of broader historiographical currents and the larger framework of labour history in other parts of the northern transatlantic region. As a subfield its trajectory has much in common with the development of labour history in Germany, Britain and the United States. In many cases the starting point for the new labour history at the end of the 1960s and beginning of the 1970s was the work of British Marxist historians such as Eric Hobsbawm and E.P. Thompson, which resulted in a large number of dissertations at the end of the 1970s and the beginning of the 1980s.¹⁹⁴ As more students from working-class backgrounds entered higher education from the 1960s, this stimulated an interest in class formation as a field of study and research in all of the Nordic countries. And as elsewhere, the ebbs and flows of this academic field were also connected to interest in other so-called hyphenated histories such as women's history. Although labour history certainly existed before the end of the 1960s, Daniel Nyström has shown that earlier studies were seldom maintained in the historiographical writings of those who were part of the new labour history.¹⁹⁵ One of the first examples of this new labour history was the large research project on the history of social movements in Sweden, relating labour history to the general development of society.¹⁹⁶ In the following, we try to consider what – if anything – has been characteristically Nordic about Nordic labour history, shaped as it was by the shared institutional legacies discussed above and also by the tradition of Nordic labour cooperation discussed earlier in this introduction. At the same time, it is important not to overlook important differences between the Nordic countries, in this respect as in others.

Firstly, in contrast to Britain or the United States, for example, where labour history has often been dominated by a kind of pessimistic exceptionalism, Nordic labour history tended – until the 1980s at least – to be written in the context of success. The obvious exception here is Iceland. Despite considerable interest in the history of workers and labour politics and a number of specialized studies looking at political issues as well as local labour politics, Iceland has not produced a strong group



Illustration 0.1 Woman working in the fishing industry, Iceland. Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, Lantarbetaren. Unknown photographer.

of academic labour historians. As a result, the first extensive academic histories of the big labour unions – a couple of two-volume histories of the trade union confederation and the largest union of non-skilled workers, Dagsbrún – are a very recent addition to the historiography. These works, written in the vein of ‘new labour history’, looking at the social as well as the political side, were commissioned by the unions and had been planned for a couple of decades before publication.¹⁹⁷ One explanation for this lack of research is the smallness of the population and thus the academic community; another, the relatively weak position – in the Nordic context – of social democracy and the labour movement.

Elsewhere, Nordic labour history has been shaped by the success of the reformist social democratic wing of the labour movement. In Sweden, recent historiographical research has demonstrated the influence of the party’s hegemony on the history of the Swedish labour movement.¹⁹⁸ Earlier histories such as Herbert Tingsten’s study of the ideology of Swedish social democracy, or the popular movements project mentioned above, tended to emphasise the largely peaceful and consensual nature of popular politics.¹⁹⁹ This was challenged by a new generation of labour historians, who, radicalized by the ‘New Left’ of the 1960s and in many cases by a strong sense of their own working-class roots, insisted on the largely revolutionary nature of Nordic working-class consciousness in the years 1880–1920. Central to the more radical understanding of labour history, often strongly influenced by Marxism, was a focus on the politics of the class struggle. Class consciousness was forged through the shared experiences of political struggle over suffrage and workplace rights and through the conflicts over land and living conditions, but above all through work: the introduction of the factory system and the consequent loss of worker autonomy and skill. Research on working life, at least in Sweden, was from the early 1980s strongly influenced by Harry Braverman’s study of the labour process, which was applied to studies of occupational groups such as printers, shipbuilders and forestry workers.²⁰⁰ Such studies were also stimulated by the social and political context of the times in which they were written.²⁰¹ As we saw above, the economic difficulties of the early 1970s resulted in large-scale deindustrialization in Norway and Sweden. This was the trigger for several large popular history projects where laid-off workers studied the history of their former work place, often under the leadership of academics. Parallel to the History Workshop movement in the United Kingdom, for example, or *Geschichtswerkstätten* in Germany, Sven Lindqvist’s 1978 book *Gräv där du står* (‘Dig Where You Stand’) on how to organize these kinds of projects was also published in the Swedish labour history journal. The book was later translated and became well known outside Sweden.²⁰²

Local history and history from below also became important during the first half of the 1970s, connected to the interest in microhistory as a new way of studying history in general. The foundation of local labour history archives had been on the table since the beginning, but a new initiative was taken during the 1960s when the Swedish ARAB acted as midwife for the Norwegian local social movement archives.²⁰³ In the Norwegian case, regional politics became more important during the debate about membership in the European Union. A quick comparison of publications shows that local studies were much more common in Norway than for instance in Sweden during the 1970s. In contrast to Sweden, Norwegian studies focused much more on local developments and less on the centralized organizations of the labour movement.

During the 1990s and afterwards, the ‘conflict perspective’ in Nordic labour history became less prominent, as historians returned to earlier themes of consensus and compromise in the history of popular politics in the Nordic countries.²⁰⁴ Again, this was influenced by contemporary political currents, in particular the decline of the social democratic parties that had dominated electoral politics for the previous half century, as well as world events such as the fall of the Soviet Union, the rise of neo-liberalism and debates about the ‘end of history’. As formerly dominant Marxist perspectives were questioned, fewer studies were defined as labour history. At the same time, new theoretical insights were emerging. From the turn of the 1980s, power relations and power resources became an important part of theoretical models, borrowed from sociologists such as Walter Korpi.²⁰⁵ The labour movement archives also played a role in the introduction of cultural studies approaches to labour history. Objects such as banners and emblems were made accessible at the archives, and several Nordic projects were started on the history of banners and labour movement art, for example.²⁰⁶

Given the international reputation of the Nordic countries for high levels of gender equality, it is perhaps not surprising that Nordic labour history has long been shaped by insights from first women’s and later gender history. Many early studies in the evolving field of women’s history owed their origins to labour history, especially in the history of women’s work but also the history of politics concerned with women workers.²⁰⁷ Yvonne Hirdman’s history of the Swedish labour movement from the mid 1970s was the first to integrate women more systematically into this historiography.²⁰⁸ Her theoretical work on the gender system, based on her research on the politics of women’s work, became well known in the other Nordic countries.²⁰⁹ Many of the pioneering works of women’s and gender history were in fact first published in the Nordic labour history journals, surfing on the same wave of radicalization of

society that inspired labour history in general.²¹⁰ Currently, a number of historians are or have been working on the history of labour and women's history in one or several Nordic countries.²¹¹ Ulla Wikander's work on the gender segregation of work in the porcelain industry and on the prohibition of night work was path-breaking, not only within the Nordic countries but also beyond.²¹² In Sweden it was scholars of women's history that initiated studies of the history of the welfare state, as a response to the contemporary sense of crisis in the Nordic welfare states during the 1990s and the growing interest in a broader history of politics concerning women and their family responsibilities.²¹³ Nonetheless, it is notable that today only a few women's and gender historians define themselves as labour historians, even though they work in the field of labour history. Part of the explanation is the development of gender history in the Nordic countries as a separate field, which also resulted in regular Nordic women's history meetings.

Another consequence of the relative success of the Nordic labour movements is the extent to which they have attracted international attention.²¹⁴ A bibliography on the foreign language literature on the Nordic labour movements, published by the four labour history archives in 1992, includes publications in English, French, German, Russian, Italian and Spanish.²¹⁵ The SAP's centenary history, the publication of which was funded by one of the largest Swedish research funds, was subsequently translated into English and Russian and has been used both as a source of information in research and public education.²¹⁶ International connections also shaped the development of the archive collections. Sweden received a number of political refugees who left their personal papers to the labour archives. For example, the SPD in exile left all its papers from the time of its exile in Prague in Stockholm, a deposit that was only discovered at the end of the 1960s and returned to the German archives. Other organizations in exile such as Polish *Solidarność* or the Workers' United Center of Chile had offices in Stockholm and some of their material is still kept by ARAB.²¹⁷

Although it is probably fair to say there has been a decline in research on the labour history of the early twentieth century in recent years, those studies that have appeared have done much to broaden the subject. Several studies have emphasized the importance of alternative ideological currents beyond the reform or revolution dichotomy (such as anarcho-syndicalism) for particular groups of workers such as miners and quarrymen.²¹⁸ A rich tradition of local studies in labour history has continued to emphasize the diversity of labour politics across the region, while also noting the importance of transnational influences. Studies of other types of labour organization apart from political parties

and trade unions, such as the workers' cooperative, for example, have also greatly enriched our knowledge of the Nordic labour movements.²¹⁹ Some trends were closely connected to the institutional framework of the labour movement, such as the historical studies of working life in Sweden from the late 1970s, referred to above. At the same time as the new *Medbestämmandelagen* (law on co-determination in the workplace from 1976) was adopted by the Swedish Parliament, the state invested in social science research on working life.²²⁰ One emerging field was the growing interest in the history of the Nordic welfare states.²²¹ Another was the field of Cold War studies, which focused attention on the foreign politics of labour governments and biographies of leading politicians.

During the first decennium of the new millennium, under the influence of a general upswing in global labour history as well as a growing consciousness about the results of globalization, the field of labour history had a renaissance among academics. In all of the Nordic countries, the situation of migrant workers has come into focus, as well as different forms of international solidarity movements and the transnational and international activities of trade unions. In Sweden, many of these studies have taken place at history departments, in gender studies, sociology and political science. Many have been particularly concerned with what for some time has not been regarded as productive work and work that is invisible both in official records and in research, such as Gro Hagermann's large research project on the work of housewives in Norway.²²² Another example is the large gender and work project at Uppsala University.²²³

Contributions to this Volume

This book is organized around two themes: the history of work and trade unions and the history of labour politics and their organizations. Some of the contributions in this book revisit classic themes in Nordic labour history, while others present new fields of investigation. The first section on the history of work includes two chapters on industries characterized by high capital concentration in production, namely iron and wood, while the other two deal with work outside the traditional sites of investigation for labour historians, namely paid work at home.

The chapter by Göran Rydén and Chris Evans presents an entangled microhistory of ironmaking in Sweden during the eighteenth century. Although the role of Swedish iron in eighteenth-century Europe is well known, very little is known about the work process. Through a micro-historical study, Rydén and Evans connect workers producing bar iron in Uppland to the iron processing industry in England and the transatlantic

slave trade and the work of slaves in parts of the British Empire. Previous attempts by labour historians to research earlier periods have often implied the application of modern concepts of work and society, leading to teleological explanations of the development of work in Sweden. By looking at the everyday life of workers – women as well as men – Rydén and Evans argue that it is possible to make comparisons of everyday life across time and space.

Similar to ironmaking, forestry was a typical occupation among workers in the Nordic countries and also a highly capital-intensive sector. The typical worker during the 1800s and early 1900s was not a factory or industrial worker but often a logger, and the history of work in this sector has long attracted the interest of labour historians. Ingar Kaldal's chapter not only adds to our knowledge of the everyday life of loggers in some Swedish and Norwegian regions, but also directs our attention to the importance of narratives about the life and work of loggers, which contributed to the construction of their identities as free men of the forest. Although the everyday life of loggers was very different to that of industrial workers or farmers, Kaldal also reminds us of the need to take stories about working life into consideration and how these have shaped individuals' outside work. Analysing more than 100 interviews with loggers, Kaldal shows how these stories remained important despite the fact that work in the forest underwent major changes since the 1950s.

Malin Nilsson's chapter on women in the Swedish home industry enters an entirely new field of labour history that has long remained under-researched in Sweden and elsewhere. Her chapter on industrial home work in the textile industry is based on more than 270 historical interviews with industrial homeworkers in Gothenburg. In contrast to earlier research on this group of workers outside Sweden, Nilsson shows that industrial production in the home was not limited to married women who had to earn an extra income to support their families. Instead, women in many different situations worked in this branch of production. None of them was described as a housewife or as a secondary breadwinner in the reports and articles on home-based production. Nilsson's study also reminds us of the high female labour market participation rates in Sweden compared to other parts of the northern transatlantic region. Female homeworkers formed a large group of workers during the early twentieth century, not only limited to the textile industry but also important in the metal trades. Nilsson's study also allows for future comparisons on a global scale and between different historical periods, as home-based production remains one of the largest sites of production in the global South.

Helle Stenum's chapter compares the working and living conditions of domestic workers at the turn of the twentieth century with Filipino au

pair women working in Denmark in the early twenty-first century. With a few exceptions, domestic workers have only recently come into focus for historical research and have remained under-represented in the history of work. Stenum shows the many similarities between working conditions in domestic work over a period of more than 120 years. Despite improvements, the mobility of household workers is still tightly controlled through resident permits, which makes them an extremely vulnerable group. Despite attempts to professionalize and regulate this work, Stenum shows that even today domestic work is not regarded as ordinary wage work. Rather than wages, Filipino au pairs receive 'pocket money', which is subjected to tax regulations, and they do not require work permits but only residence permits. The chapter illustrates the necessity of studying working conditions in long-term perspectives and on a global scale.²²⁴

Knud Knudsen's chapter on Danish trade union history can be read as an attempt to build a bridge between the history of work and the history of trade unions. Knudsen argues that we need to focus on the ideology of work as well as the socio-economic aspects of work in order to find a new way of writing trade union history. Starting from a historiographical background, Knudsen suggests that Danish trade union history has either been a history from above, analysing the organizational history of trade unions and their relations to employers and the state, or a history from below, focusing on the workplace, working conditions and conflicts in the workplace. Knudsen argues that these hitherto incompatible perspectives can be reconciled if we combine the material approach of the 1970s with the cultural turn of the 1990s and use work as both a socio-economic and an ideological category of analysis. Using this approach, the chapter also shows the necessity of analysing the continuity and changes in work created through the 'second industrial revolution' and its consequences for the structures of organized labour.

Johan Svanberg's chapter is an example of a new trend in Nordic trade union history investigating the relationship between migrant workers and unions. Studying the processes by which migrant workers were recruited to the metal trades in Sweden, Svanberg's work is one of the few to use an industrial relations approach in labour history. The negotiations and agreements between Metall (the metalworkers' union), Verkstadsföreningen (the engineering employers' association) and the national labour market authority concerning the recruitment of foreign-born workers were the first compromises the labour market parties had to make directly after the Second World War and the first time the Saltsjöbaden Agreement was put into practice. It shows how employers, unions and the social democratic government were able to compromise in a gainful way.

The chapters by Sami Suodenjoki and Marko Tikka both focus on the political turmoil of early twentieth-century Finland, exploring questions about collective action and political strategy, rural workers, the land question and the links between socialism and nationalism in the Grand Duchy of Finland. According to Suodenjoki, previous research has overlooked the role of ordinary workers in the nationalist struggle for autonomy. Although Governor General Bobrikov's 'February Manifesto' of 1899 is often seen as a catalyst for nationalist mobilization in Finland, it was actually supported by many of the poorer rural classes, who looked to the tsar for assistance in their struggles for land reform. Focusing on the Häme district of south-western Finland, Suodenjoki shows that although the SDP tried to mobilize tenant farmers and rural labourers in support of suffrage reform, these groups adopted different tactics of protest, such as the spreading of rumours and the organization of mass petitions. He paints a portrait of early twentieth-century rural Häme as a deeply restless society and reminds us of the importance of long-established traditions of rural protest in the agrarian Nordic countries. The general strike of 1905 gave the SDP the opportunity to channel popular dissent into support for suffrage reform, and at the parliamentary elections in 1907 the party gained an overwhelming majority of votes among the tenant farmers and landless labourers.

Marko Tikka's chapter takes up the story of the General Strike of 1905, which took place across the whole of the Russian Empire. Tikka notes that the labour movement's role in the strike has been downplayed in Finnish historiography, which until recently interpreted it as part of the struggle to restore the autonomy of the Grand Duchy within Russia and thus as a milestone in a nationalist mobilization culminating in independence in 1917. For the labour movement, the strike was a moment that created 'not only political victories but also the opening of entirely new social concepts and possibilities', in Tikka's words. Although the initial outcome was a victory for the bourgeois constitutionalists, with the tsar's decision to restore the civil rights lost in the February Manifesto of 1899, only a year later the labour movement achieved its goal of a unicameral Parliament elected by universal male and female suffrage. As Tikka notes, this was an extremely important change in twentieth-century Finnish politics, which had lasting and significant repercussions. Unlike in Sweden, where the general strike tactic resulted in defeat and a lasting split in the labour movement, the 1905 strike in Finland strengthened social democracy and marginalized other traditions of political protest. The chapter also sheds some light on the geography of politics and protest in early twentieth-century Finland, demonstrating the importance of the railway as a means of political communication, for example, and

the differences between centres of labour activity in Helsinki, Tampere and Pori.

Einar A. Terjesen's chapter takes a fresh look at one of the classic debates in Scandinavian labour history, namely the apparently greater support for radical politics in Norway than in Denmark and Sweden. Earlier research attributed this to the greater pace and social upheavals of Norwegian industrialization, whereas more recently Francis Sejersted argued that the relatively early democratization of Norway was more significant in marginalizing and thus radicalizing DNA. Terjesen rejects both these explanations. He argues that DNA's politics were less radical than has been claimed and indeed that the distinction between reformism and radicalism as consistent ideological positions is misleading. The Norwegian labour movement was not exceptional and like its Scandinavian and European counterparts it cooperated with liberals for democratic reform. More important than ideological differences were structural ones, namely the decentralized nature of the Norwegian labour movement, the strength of the peripheries and the absence of a strong leader like Hjalmar Branting in Sweden or Thorvald Stauning in Denmark.

As Mirja Österberg notes in her chapter, the Nordic cooperation committee of the labour movement, SAMAK, has been seen as significant in helping to draw the Norwegian labour movement into the social democratic fold from when it was established in 1932. Österberg examines in detail the SAMAK meetings of the 1930s, showing how the notion of a shared Nordic sphere was a resource that could be used by social democratic leaders in their domestic struggles against communism and fascism, while at the same time the aim was to present a united Nordic front in international organizations such as the Labour and Socialist International and the International Federation of Trade Unions. Moreover, Österberg shows that the Finnish delegates were not merely the passive observers of these developments, as has sometimes been assumed in previous research, but played an active role in negotiating a shared Nordic unity that overcame important differences in the parties' positions. Österberg's chapter demonstrates the interplay of the national, the regional (Nordic) and the broader international spheres in the history of labour politics in the Nordic region.

Finally, we have three chapters concerned with various aspects of the history of communism in the Nordic countries. While Weiss focuses on international communist networks, Ragnheiður Kristjánsdóttir considers the relations between communism and nationalism in all five Nordic countries during the first half of the twentieth century. While the Comintern was an important influence, for example through its Popular Front policy in operation 1935–1939, Ragnheiður Kristjánsdóttir

reminds us that we should not overlook the importance of the domestic political context and the legacies of varying nationalist traditions in the region. In Denmark, Norway and Sweden, the social democratic majority in the labour movement was conspicuously successful in fusing its ideology with nationalist symbols and rhetoric during the 1930s, the best-known expression of which is Per Albin Hansson's concept of the *folkhem* or people's home. This left the minority communist parties politically marginalized and although they had begun to use national symbols by the 1940s they largely remained so after the war, especially in comparison to the success of social democracy. In Finland and Iceland the story was rather different, however. Kommúnistaflokkur Íslands (the Icelandic Communist Party, KFI) opposed what it saw as examples of 'bourgeois nationalism', such as preparations to celebrate the millennium of the Althing (Alþingi, the Icelandic Parliament), but at the same time could not ignore the force of nationalism altogether and attempted to construct its own alternative nationalist tradition. After the war, communism continued to attract political support in Iceland as a broader-based Socialist Party opposed to the American defence agreement and to NATO. The situation was more complicated in Finland, where the Communist Party was banned following the civil war and communists were largely regarded as outcasts, at least until 1944, but here too the Communist Party re-emerged as a broader social movement in the post-war period that was able to mobilize much greater popular support than in the Scandinavian countries. The chapter thus offers an important comparative perspective on explaining the different trajectories of Nordic politics in the twentieth century.

Holger Weiss's account of the northern European networks of the Comintern during the interwar period is an example of the importance of understanding Nordic labour history in a transnational and global context. Weiss focuses on the efforts of the Comintern to develop an underground communications network for the transmission of communist publications, funds and personnel between the Soviet Union and the rest of Europe. The Baltic Sea region was important in this network and especially so were the hubs established in the neutral Scandinavian states, where communists could operate more freely than elsewhere in Europe. The exception was Finland, where the Communist Party was illegal until 1944. After the collapse of the important Comintern centres in Hamburg and Berlin following the Nazi takeover in 1933, the activities of these cells were transferred to Copenhagen, though efforts to maintain a global communications network rapidly declined. Weiss's chapter also reminds us of an important occupational group found in all the Nordic countries, namely merchant seamen and the shore-based harbour

trades (though regrettably this is beyond the scope of this book). Weiss shows how some of the most important sections of the Comintern's International of Seaman and Harbour Workers (ISH), established in 1930, were based in the Nordic ports and operated communist cells among Nordic seamen of different nationalities. Finally, in his discussion of the relocation of the network after 1933, Weiss also reminds us of the importance of the relative openness of the Scandinavian countries to political refugees, even before the Second World War.

In the third of our chapters on communism, Chris Holmsted Larsen adopts a biographical perspective to explore the links between Danmarks Kommunistiske Parti (the Danish Communist Party, DKP) and the Soviet Union during the Cold War period. His sources are the letters of the DKP member Otto Sand (1915–1984), who together with his family spent two years in the Soviet Union in 1958–1960 as a student of the Moscow Party School. Sand travelled to the Soviet Union during a period of post-1956 crisis in Danish communism, which resulted in the foundation of a new Socialist People's Party as a splinter of the DKP in 1959. As a loyal supporter of Khrushchev and a believer in the promise of communist utopia offered by the Soviet Union, Sand was willing to absorb the impressive spectacles presented by the Soviet hosts, but Larsen shows how the initial enthusiasm of the Danish students later turned to disillusion as they encountered what he calls 'the depressing realities of Soviet life'. These criticisms could not be expressed openly, but the private letters home to family members give us some idea of Sand's experiences. While much attention has been devoted to foreign interest in the Nordic countries as model societies, especially from the 1930s, Larsen's work reminds us also of the necessity to turn the gaze outwards and to explore how Nordic individuals and organizations drew inspiration from foreign political models.

Mary Hilson is Professor at the Department of History, School of Culture and Society, Aarhus University. Her research interests include Nordic and transnational social history, especially the history of the consumer cooperative movement in the Nordic countries and beyond.

Silke Neunsinger is Associate Professor in Economic History and Director of Research at the Labour Movement Archives and Library in Stockholm. She is currently leading the project 'Mind the gap! – An entangled history of economic history and the demand for equal pay'. She is also the editor of the Swedish labour history journal *Arbetarhistoria* and has published extensively on feminist and global labour history and methodology, especially comparative history and *histoire croisée*.

Iben Vyff gained her Ph.D. in history in 2008 and wrote her thesis on the Cold War in a cultural-historical perspective. Her recent research deals with modernity, identity, gender and everyday life in the twentieth century. Since 2008 she has been a member of the editorial board of *Arbejderhistorie*. From 2014 she has been curator of the municipal museums in Elsinore.

Ragnheiður Kristjánsdóttir is Senior Lecturer in History at the University of Iceland. She has written on nationalism, democracy and the politics of the Left. She is currently working on *A Concise History of Iceland* as well as a book on women and gender in Iceland in the twentieth century.

Notes

We are grateful to Tapio Bergholm, Anette Eklund-Hansen, Martin Grass, Knut Kjeldstadli, Pirjo Markkola and Klaus Miggeld for sharing their knowledge on different parts of Nordic labour history which has been of great help when writing this introduction.

1. V. Linna. 2001–2003. *Under the North Star; The Uprising; Reconciliation*, all trans. R. Impola, Beaverton: Aspasia Books. The trilogy or parts of it have been translated into Swedish and Danish among other languages.
2. R. Impola, translator's preface to *Under the North Star*, volume 1, v.
3. Linna's influence on the historiography of the Finnish civil war is discussed in R. Alapuro. 1988. *State and Revolution in Finland*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 203. See also chapter 7. On the significance of Linna's other famous work, *Tuntematon Sotilas* (1954) (*The Unknown Soldier*, 1957) and the film adaptations made in 1955 and 1985, see J. Sundholm. 2007. '“The Unknown Soldier”: Film as a Founding Trauma and National Monument', in C. Mithander, J. Sundholm and M.H. Troy (eds), *Collective Traumas: Memories of War and Conflict in 20th-century Europe*, Brussels: Peter Lang, 111–41.
4. U. Marjomaa (ed.). 2000. *100 Faces from Finland: A Biographical Kaleidoscope*, Helsinki: Finnish Literature Society.
5. T. Emilsson. 1976–1979. *Fátækt folk; Baráttan um brauðið; Fyrir sunnan*, Reykjavík: Mál og menning. His work was nominated for the Nordic Literary Prize in 1978.
6. See for example M. van der Linden and J. Lucassen. 1999. *Prolegomena for a Global Labour History*, Amsterdam: International Institute of Social History, especially 8–12; M. van der Linden. 2008. *Workers of the World: Essays Toward a Global Labor History*, Leiden: Brill, especially ch. 2, 17–38. For a discussion about the future of transnational perspectives in labour history, including labour and empire as well as labour and migration see L. Fink. 2011. *Workers across the Americas: The Transnational Turn in Labor History*, New York: Oxford University Press. For a recent discussion of the definitions and yields of the global labour history approach see *International Labor and Working-Class History* 82 (2012), with contributions by Marcel van der Linden, Jürgen Kocka, Dorothy Sue Cobble and Prasanna Parthasarathi.
7. The terms Nordic and Scandinavian are often used interchangeably in English, but here we assume that the term Nordic refers to Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden, while Scandinavian refers only to Denmark, Norway and Sweden. For a discussion of these terms see J. P. Árnason and B. Wittrock. 2012. 'Introduction', in J. P. Árnason and B. Wittrock (eds), *Nordic Paths to Modernity*, New York: Berghahn books,

- 21–23. Although Swedish, Norwegian and Danish are mutually intelligible, at least in the written form, this does not apply to Icelandic and Finnish. The use of Danish and Swedish in Iceland and Finland respectively is indicative of historical legacies and Finland remains officially a bilingual state with a Swedish-speaking minority. Today English often replaces the Scandinavian languages for discussions in the Nordic context.
8. On seamen see T. Nilsson. 2014. 'Fiktion, hierarki och havets hårda arbete: Skönlitteratur som källa till svensk maritim historia', *Historisk Tidskrift* 134(3), 462–98.
 9. L. Edgren. 2011. 'Konflikt och samförstånd: Arbetarhistoria idag', in M. Olofsson (ed.), *Konflikt och samförstånd: Texter från arbetarhistoriska mötet i Landskrona i maj 2009*, Malmö: Skrifter från Centrum för Arbetarhistoria 4, 6. Edgren emphasizes that we cannot talk about a crisis, and in an earlier article examining current research at the different history departments in Sweden he showed that there is a growing younger generation of labour historians in Sweden: L. Edgren. 2007. 'Arbetarhistoriens marginaler: Några reflexioner angående svensk arbetarhistorisk forskning', in V. Lundberg (ed.), *Arbetarhistoria i brytningstid. Landskrona i maj 2005*, Malmö: Skrifter från Centrum för Arbetarhistoria 1, 30–47. Historian Lars Berggren has recently followed up Edgren's investigation. His results show that many themes that earlier would have been defined as labour history are now defined as gender history, history of capitalism, etc. According to his investigation labour history is still a vital part of Swedish historical research and the number of dissertations is still rather high. Thanks to Lars Berggren for sharing his investigation with us.
 10. In contrast to developments during the last thirty years, earlier labour history was less limited in terms of time period. Studies of pre-industrial time and proto-industrialization were regarded as an integrated part of labour history. At the heart of the field of labour history, wrote Lars Edgren and Lars Olsson in 1989, was the agrarian revolution and the process of industrialization. L. Edgren and L. Olsson. 1989. 'Swedish Working-Class History', *International Labor and Working-Class History* 35, 70.
 11. Å. Egge and S. Rybner (eds). 2015. *Red Star in the North: Communism in the Nordic Countries*, Stamsund: Orkana akademisk; Å. Sparring (ed.). 1966. *Kommunismen i Norden*, Stockholm: Albert Bonniers; A.F. Upton. 1973. *The Communist Parties of Scandinavia and Finland*, London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson.
 12. See K. Misgeld. 1984. *Sozialdemokratie und Aussenpolitik in Schweden: Sozialistische Internationale, Europapolitik und die Deutschlandfrage 1945–1955*, Frankfurt/Main: Campus.
 13. This is perhaps less true for Finland, where research on other groups, including female textile workers, male construction workers, male lumberjacks and male and female transport workers, has also been important.
 14. E. van Nederveen Meerkerk, S. Neunsinger and D. Hoerder. 2015. 'Domestic Workers of the World: Histories of Domestic Work as Global Labor History', in D. Hoerder, E. van Nederveen Meerkerk and S. Neunsinger (eds), *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*, Leiden: Brill, 5–9.
 15. See chapters 3 and 4.
 16. On the efforts of the Swedish Moderaterna (conservatives) to brand themselves as the 'new workers' party' see N. Aylott and N. Bolin. 2007. 'Towards a Two-Party System? The Swedish Parliamentary Election of September 2006', *West European Politics* 30(3), 621–33. On the success of the right of centre parties in Finland (Kokoomus and Perussuomalaiset) in attracting working-class support, see D. Arter. 2011. 'Taking the Gilt off the Conservatives' Gingerbread: The April 2011 Finnish General Election', *West European Politics* 34(6), 1284–95.
 17. Ø. Sørensen and B. Stråth (eds). 1997. *The Cultural Construction of Norden*, Oslo: Scandinavian University Press; N. Götz. 2003. 'Norden: Structures That Do Not Make a Region', *European Review of History* 10, 325–41; M. Hilson. 2008. *The Nordic Model: Scandinavia since 1945*, London: Reaktion, ch. 1; Árnason and Wittrock, 'Introduction'.

18. For example, Götz, 'Norden'.
19. D. Kirby. 2002. 'What Was "Nordic" about the Labour Movement in Europe's Northernmost Regions?', in P. Kettunen (ed.), *Lokalt och internationellt: Dimensioner den nordiska arbetarrörelsen och arbetarkulturen*, Tammerfors: Sällskapet för forskning i arbetarhistoria i Finland, 13–32.
20. For a comparative survey of the Nordic voluntary associations see H. Stenius. 2010. 'Nordic Associational Life in a European and an Inter-Nordic Perspective', in R. Alapuro and H. Stenius (eds), *Nordic Associations in a European Perspective*, Baden-Baden: Nomos Verlag, 29–86.
21. *Nýja Ísland* (Reykjavík, 1904–1906); *Alþýðublaðið* (Reykjavík, 1906–7) and *Dagsbrún* (Reykjavík, 1915–1919).
22. R. Kristjánsdóttir. 2008. *Nýtt fólk: Þjóðerni og íslensk vinstri stjórnsmál 1901–1944*, Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan, 31–61. For a discussion of similar themes in Finland, see P. Haapala. 1994. 'Working Class in the Formation of Industrial Society: Methodological and Other Lessons from the Case of Finland', in K. Kjeldstadli, S. Myklebust and L. Thue (eds), *Formingen av industrisamfunnet i Norden fram til 1920*, Oslo: Pensumtjeneste, 104–17.
23. Kirby, 'What Was "Nordic"?', 15. On the rural nature of social conflict in early twentieth-century Finland see Haapala, 'Working Class'; also chapter 7.
24. Lennart Jörberg suggests growth rates (growth of GNP per annum in fixed prices) of 3.7 per cent in Denmark, 2.4 per cent in Norway, 3.4 per cent in Sweden and a rougher estimate of 2.3 per cent in Finland during the years 1870–1913. L. Jörberg. 1970. 'The Industrial Revolution in Scandinavia 1850–1914', volume IV, chapter 8 of C.M. Cipolla (ed.), *The Fontana Economic History of Europe*, trans. P.B. Austin, London: Fontana, 7–8. More recent research suggests slightly lower figures for GDP growth, with the exception of Finland, but confirms that GDP growth rates per capita were relatively high in the Nordic countries compared to some other parts of Europe, with Sweden the fastest growing European economy during the period 1870–1913. See A. Carreras and C. Josephson. 2010. 'Aggregate Growth, 1870–1914: Growing at the Production Frontier', in S. Broadberry and K.H. O'Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe Volume 2: 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 36, Table 2.2.
25. According to Jörberg, the percentage of the population living in urban areas in 1910 was as follows: Denmark 40%, Finland 15%, Norway 29% and Sweden 25%, while the percentage engaged in agriculture was as follows: Denmark 36% (1911), Finland 60%, Norway 43%, Sweden 49%. See Jörberg, 'The Industrial Revolution in Scandinavia', 16, 24–25. For Denmark these figures include fishing; for Norway and Sweden they also include forestry. As Carol Leonard and Jonas Ljungberg point out, however, levels of urbanization depend on how it is measured: they calculate that only 5.5 per cent of the Nordic population lived in settlements of 10,000 or more, but if the threshold is lowered to settlements of 5,000 inhabitants then between 10 and 25 per cent of the population could be considered urban. In other words, most Nordic towns were small. See C. Leonard and J. Ljungberg. 2010. 'Population and Living Standards, 1870–1914', in Broadberry and O'Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 114.
26. Kirby, 'What was "Nordic"?', 16.
27. Emigration from Norway peaked in the early 1880s at over 1,000 emigrants annually per 100,000 population, the second highest rate in Europe after Ireland. See R. Gildea. 2003. *Barricades and Borders: Europe 1800–1914*, 3rd ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 281. Emigration from Iceland was also high in relation to population: see H.S. Kjartansson. 1980. 'Emigrant Fares and Emigration from Iceland to North America, 1874–1893', *Scandinavian Economic History Review* 28(1), 53–71. On relatively low rates of emigration from Finland, see A. Newby. 2014. 'Neither Do These Tenants or Their Children

- Emigrate”: Famine and Transatlantic Emigration from Finland in the Nineteenth Century’, *Atlantic Studies* 11(3), 383–402.
28. L.K. Christensen et al. 2007. *Arbejdernes historie i Danmark 1800–2000*, København: SFAH, 78–81.
 29. B. Schiller. 1987. ‘Den skandinaviske arbejderbevægelses internationalisme 1870–1914’, *Arbejderhistoria* 42(2), 4. See also B. Schiller. 1967. *Storstrejken 1909: förhistoria och orsaker*, Göteborg: Elander; E. Bull. 1968. *Norsk fagbevegelse: Oversikt over fagorganisasjonens historie*, 2nd ed., Oslo: Tiden Norsk Forlag, 113–20.
 30. H. Stenius. 1987. *Frivilligt, jämlikt, samfällt: Föreningsväsendets utveckling i Finland fram till 1900-talets början med speciell hänsyn till massorganisationsprincipens genombrott*, Helsingfors: Skrifter utgivna av Svenska Litteratursällskapet i Finland, 327. On the 1905 strike in Finland see chapter 8. Tapio Bergholm writes that collective agreements were not widely adopted in Finland until the 1940s: T. Bergholm. 2002. ‘Historieforskning och fackföreningsrörelse i Finland: Från organisationsbeskrivning till maktkamp, arbetskultur och arbetsvillkor’, *Arbejderhistoria* 103–4 (3–4), 47.
 31. S. Ísleifsson. 2013. *Saga Alþýðusambands Íslands I*, Reykjavík: Forlagið, 139–45.
 32. K. Åmark. 2006. ‘Women’s Labour Force Participation in the Nordic Countries during the Twentieth Century’, in N.F. Christiansen et al. (eds), *The Nordic Model of Welfare: A Historical Reappraisal*, Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum Press, 299–333.
 33. L. Somme stad. 1994. ‘Privat eller offentlig välfärd? Ett genusperspektiv på välfärdsstatens historiska formering’, *Historisk Tidskrift* 114(4), 611–29.
 34. K. Hunt. 2007. ‘Transnationalism in Practice: The Effect of Dora Montefiore’s International Travel on Women’s Politics in Britain before World War I’, in P. Jonsson, S. Neunsinger and J. Sangster (eds), *Crossing Boundaries, Womens Organizing in Europe and the Americas, 1880s–1940s*, Uppsala: Uppsala Studies in Economic History, 73–94.
 35. P. Jonsson and S. Neunsinger. 2012. *Gendered Money: Financial Organization in Women’s Movements, 1880–1933*, New York: Berghahn, 202–3.
 36. A.E. Hansen. 2010. ‘De socialistiske kvinders internationale konference i København i 1910’, *Arbejderhistorie* 2, 8–28.
 37. Jonsson and Neunsinger, *Gendered Money*, 199.
 38. N. Elvander. 1980. *Skandinavisk arbejderbevægelse*, Sweden: Liber förlag, 33–34.
 39. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbejderbevægelse*; K.J.V. Jespersen. 2011. *A History of Denmark*, trans. I. Hill and C. Wade, 2nd ed., Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 70–78; Christensen et al., *Arbejdernes historie i Danmark*, 114–16.
 40. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbejderbevægelse*, 38; see also chapter 9.
 41. K. Bosdotter (ed.). 2012. *Faror för staten av sväraste slag: politiska fångar på Långholmen 1880–1950*, Stockholm: Stockholmia; see also Schiller, ‘Den skandinaviske arbejderbevægelses internationalisme’, 4. On the political context and impact of the union crisis in Sweden and Norway see also B. Stråth. 2005. *Union och demokrati. De förenade rikena Sverige-Norge 1814–1905*, Nora: Nya Doxa Förlag.
 42. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbejderbevægelse*, 31.
 43. D. Kirby. 1986. ‘“The Workers’ Cause”: Rank-and-File Attitudes and Opinions in the Finnish Social Democratic Party 1905–1918’, *Past and Present* 111, 132; Alapuro, *State and Revolution*, 150–1.
 44. R. Kristjánsdóttir. 2012. ‘For Equality or Against Foreign Oppression? The Politics of the Left in Iceland Leading Up to the Cold War’, *Moving the Social* 48, 11–28.
 45. Kommittén för skandinaviska arbejderbevægelsens samarbete (Committee for the Cooperation of the Scandinavian Labour Movements). See M. Grass. 1987. ‘Från arbetarkongress till samarbetskommitté: Om skandinaviska samarbetskommitténs bildande’, *Arbejderhistoria* 42, 5–11.
 46. Nordiska Samarbetskommittén. See also chapter 10; K. Blidberg. 1994. ‘Ideologi och pragmatism. Samarbetet inom nordisk socialdemokratisk arbejderbevægelse 1930–1955’,

- Den Jyske Historiker* 69–70, 132–50. Nordic labour movement cooperation has continued into the twenty-first century: see the ‘Sørmarka Declaration of the Nordic Workers’ Congress, 11–12 November 2014, “We Build the Nordics”. Retrieved 6 January 2016 from <http://samak-nordicmodel.org/>
47. K. Kjeldstadli. 2016. ‘Folkesocialisme. Stykke i tre satser’, in R. Glenthøj (ed.), *Mellem brødre: Dansk-norsk samliv i 600 år*, København og Oslo: Gads forlag & SAP, 192–203.
 48. S. Halvorsen. 1988. ‘Scandinavian Trade Unions in the 1890s, with Special Reference to the Scandinavian Stonemasons’ Union’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 13(1), 3–21; E.K. Louhikko. 1946. *Vi gjorde revolution*, Helsingfors: Söderström.
 49. Schiller, ‘Den skandinaviske arbetarrörelsens internationalism’, 3.
 50. S. Sogner. 1998. ‘Tjenestejenter til Holland’, in *Vår barndoms have: årbok Vest-Agder fylkesmuseum*, Kristiansand, 22–35; S. Sogner and K. Telste. 2005. *Ut og søkje teneste: historia om tenestejentene*, Oslo: Det Norske Samlaget.
 51. Kjeldstadli, ‘Folkesocialisme’.
 52. A. Palm. 1901. *Ögonblicksbilder från en resa till Amerika*, Stockholm: Författarnas Förlag. Contacts with Scandinavian migrants in the United States were also important later on; for example, the syndicalist Joe Hill and the Scandinavian workers’ clubs in American cities like Boston and Chicago were important for the labour movement in Norway and Sweden. See also D. Hoerder. 1987. *The Immigrant Labor Press in North America, 1840s–1970s. Vol. 1, Migrants from Northern Europe*, New York: Greenwood; and D. Hoerder. 1984. *Essays on the Scandinavian-North American Radical Press 1880s–1930s*, Bremen: Bremen University.
 53. G. Gröndal. 2003. *Fólk í fjötrum: Baráttusaga íslenskrar alþýðu*, Reykjavík: JPV Útgafa, 136–38.
 54. E. Lahtinen. 1988. ‘Finnish Participation in Co-operation within the Nordic Labour Movement, 1880–1918’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 13(1), 23. On contacts between the Finnish and Swedish labour movement see S. Hentilä. 1980. *Veljeittä yli Pohjanlahden: Suomen ja Ruotsin työväenliikkeen kosketuskohtia suuresta Sundsvallin lakosta Suomen kansalaisyhteyteen*, Helsinki: Gaudeamus. According to David Kirby, subsequent research has downplayed the role of von Wright in the early Finnish labour movement, noting instead the influence of Fennoman nationalism and the popular movements tradition: D. Kirby. 1990. ‘Finland’, in M. van der Linden and J. Rojahn (eds), *The Formation of Labour Movements 1870–1914: An International Perspective*, vol. 2, Leiden: E.J Brill, 524.
 55. J. von Schoultz. 1924. *Bidrag till belysande av Finlands socialdemokratiska partis historia*, Helsingfors: Söderström, 135; H. Soikkanen. 1961. ‘Sosialismin tulo Suomeen: Ensimmäisiin yksikamarisen eduskunnan vaaleihin asti’, Ph.D. dissertation, Turku: Turun yliopisto, 69. Von Schoultz writes that the DNA’s leader Ludvig Meyer was also invited but did not attend.
 56. G. Callesen. 1990. ‘Denmark’, in M. van der Linden and J. Rojahn (eds), *The Formation of Labour Movements 1870–1914*, vol. 1, Leiden: E.J. Brill, 135–6.
 57. Schiller, ‘Den skandinaviske arbetarrörelsens internationalism’.
 58. R. Hemstad. 2008. *Fra Indian Summer til nordisk vinter: Skandinavisk samarbeid, skandinavisme og unionsoppløsningen*, Oslo: Akademisk publisering; see also N. Götz, H. Haggren and M. Hilson. 2016. ‘Nordic Cooperation in the Voluntary Sector’, in J. Strang (ed.), *Nordic Cooperation: A European Region in Transition*, London: Routledge, 49–68. Martin Grass suggests the establishment of the 1912 committee, for example, was partly shaped by contemporary developments in the Second International. Grass, ‘Från arbetarkongress till samarbetskommitté’, 5–11.
 59. M. Grass. 1974. ‘Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920: Kommittén för skandinaviska arbetarrörelsens samarbete, några aspekter’, *Årbog for arbejderbevægelsens historie* 4, 55–88. No

- Finnish representatives took part, for, as Esa Lahtinen has pointed out, there were no trade unions in the Grand Duchy before 1887–8: see Lahtinen, ‘Finnish Participation’, 24.
60. K. Callahan. 2000. “‘Performing Inter-nationalism” in Stuttgart in 1907: French and German Socialist Nationalism and the Political Culture of an International Socialist Congress’, *International Review of Social History* 45, 51–87. Henrik Stenius has described this as the ‘Olympic Games principle’ in internationalism.
 61. Halvorsen, ‘Scandinavian Trade Unions’, 3–4.
 62. See also Kjeldstadli, ‘Folkesosialisme’.
 63. Halvorsen, ‘Scandinavian Trade Unions’, 7; Grass, ‘Från arbetarkongress till samarbetskommitté’, 8.
 64. Halvorsen, ‘Scandinavian Trade Unions’, 3; Grass, ‘Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920’, 56; T. Bergholm. 2003. *A Short History of SAK*, Helsinki: SAK, 5–12. The Finnish Federation of Trade Unions was re-organized in 1930 as Suomen Ammattiyhdistysten Keskusliitto, SAK, following a period of trade union persecution under anti-communist laws. See Bergholm, *A Short History of SAK*, 26–27.
 65. For the influence of Kautsky on the early Finnish labour movement see H. Soikkanen. 1978. ‘Revisionism, Reformism and the Finnish Labour Movement before the First World War’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 3, 347–60.
 66. Grass, ‘Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920’, 57ff; E. Lahtinen. 1987. ‘Finländarna i det nordiska samarbetet 1880–1918’, *Arbetshistoria* 42(2), 22.
 67. Kristjánsdóttir, *Nytt fólk*. See also chapter 11.
 68. See chapter 9. E. Bull. 1976. ‘Arbeiderbevægelsens stilling i de tre nordiske land 1914–1920’, *Tidsskrift for arbejderbevægelsens historie* 1, 3–28. First published in German in 1922; also published in Swedish (1979) as ‘Arbetsrörelsens utveckling i de tre nordiska länderna, 1914–1920’, *Arkiv för studier i arbetsrörelsens historia* 15/16, 62–80.
 69. Bull, ‘Arbeiderbevægelsens stilling’. For further discussion of Bull’s thesis, see K. Kjeldstadli. 2002. ‘Noen vitenskapsteoretiske spørsmål slik de framtrer i Edvard Bull: “Arbeiderbevægelsens stilling i de nordiske land 1914–1920”’, in *Historie, kritikk og politikk: Festskrift til Per Maurseth på 70-års dagen 7. juni 2002*, Trondheim: Skrifter fra Historisk tidsskrift i Trondheim, 321–36.
 70. Walter Galenson drew on Bull’s work to develop a more general theory about the relationship between the nature of the industrialization process and variations in the growth, structure and ideology of the workers’ movement. On Norway, he wrote that, ‘the explosive industrialisation of the country, recruitment of the industrial workers from small farms without previous experience in steady employment, and the poor working and living conditions they found in the hastily constructed industrial towns, all contributed to the formation of an extreme radical ideology, matched by few others in Europe’. W. Galenson. 1952. *Comparative Labor Movements*, New York: Prentice-Hall, 149; see also Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbeiderbevægelse*, 48–49. For a critique of the so-called Bull-Galenson thesis see F. Mikkelsen. 1988. ‘Fra proletarisering til klassesamfund: Industrialisering, urbanisering og fremvæksten af en arbejderklasse og arbejderbevægelse i Skandinavien ca 1750–1900’, *Arbejderhistorie* 30, 2–20. See also N.F. Christiansen. 1995. ‘Arbejderklasserne i de nordiske lande før 1920 – et forsøg på komparation’, *Arbejderhistorie* 3, 14–21.
 71. On the ‘breakthrough of reformism’ debate in Sweden, see S. Hentilä. 1978. ‘The Origins of the Folkhem Ideology in Swedish Social Democracy’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 3, 323–45; L. Gröning. 1988. *Vägen till makten. SAP:s organisation och dess betydelse för den politiska verksamheten 1900–1933*, Uppsala: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis; Edgren and Olsson, ‘Swedish Working-Class History’, 74; B. Schillerqvist. 1992. *Från kosackval till kohandel: SAP:s väg till makten 1928–33*, Stockholm: Tiden. On Norway see chapter 9.
 72. Alapuro, *State and Revolution*, 170.
 73. A.F. Upton. 1981. *The Finnish Revolution 1917–1918*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 102. The following summary draws on this work; also on D. Kirby.

2006. *A Concise History of Finland*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; J. Lavery. 2006. *The History of Finland*, Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Press.
74. On the influence of Kautskyism on the Finnish labour movement see Soikkanen, 'Revisionism, Reformism'.
75. Alapuro, *State and Revolution*, 170. A similar point is made by D. Kirby. 1974. 'Stockholm-Petrograd-Berlin: International Social Democracy and Finnish Independence, 1917', *Slavonic and East European Review* 52(126), 63.
76. See for example P. Haapala and M. Tikka. 2012. 'Revolution, Civil War and Terror in Finland in 1918', in R. Gerwarth and J. Horne (eds), *War in Peace: Paramilitary Violence in Europe after the Great War*, Oxford: Oxford University Press; J. Siltala. 2015. 'Dissolution and Reintegration in Finland, 1914–1932: How Did a Disarmed Country Become Absorbed into Brutalization?', *Journal of Baltic Studies* 46(1), 11–33. See also chapters 7 and 8.
77. Alapuro, *State and Nation*. Henrik Stenius has also emphasized the culture of obedience and conformity in Finland with its tradition of strong popular movements. This explains why the civil war was so violent: when civil order broke down citizens had very little experience of how to communicate and to resolve conflict; to deal with contingency in short. H. Stenius. 2012. 'Paradoxes of the Finnish Political Culture', in J.P. Árnason and B. Wittrock (eds), *Nordic Paths to Modernity*, New York: Berghahn Books, 207–28; see also Stenius, *Frivilligt, jämlikt, samfällt*, 336–44.
78. Siltala, 'Dissolution and Reintegration', 15; Haapala and Tikka, 'Revolution, Civil War and Terror', 79–83. Based on the research of Marko Tikka and others, Siltala reports a total of 36,640 deaths as a result of the conflict in the years 1918–1922: 27,038 on the Red side and 5179 on the White side. Siltala, 'Dissolution and Reintegration', 18.
79. On hunger demonstrations in Sweden see C.G. Andræ. 1998. *Revolt eller reform: Sverige inför revolutionerna i Europa 1917–1918*, Stockholm: Carlsson; K. Östberg. 1997. *Efter rösträtten: kvinnors utrymme efter det demokratiska genombrottet*, Eslöv: B Östlings bokförl. Symposion.
80. Carl-Göran Andræ has suggested that there was more revolutionary potential in Denmark and Sweden in 1917–18 than there was in Norway: Andræ, *Revolt eller reform*, 302–13; also F. Sejersted. 2005. *Socialdemokratins tidsålder: Sverige och Norge under 1900-talet*, Nora: Bokförlaget Nya Doxa, 156.
81. K. Kjeldstadli. 1994. *Et splittet samfunn, 1905–1935*, vol. 10 of K. Helle (ed.), *Aschehougs Norgeshistorie*, Oslo: Aschehoug, 114–15.
82. S. Nyzell. 2010. 'Striden ägde rum i Malmö': *Möllevångskravallerna 1926: En studie av politiskt våld i mellankrigstidens Sverige*, Malmö: Malmö högskola. See also *Arbetarhistoria* 138–39 (2011): special issue 'A Hard Day's Fight: Strejker och sociala konflikter'; J. Hamark. 2014. *Ports, Dock Workers and Labour Market Conflicts*, Gothenburg: Gothenburg Studies in Economic History, 131–65. For a discussion of recent work on 'contentious politics' in Scandinavia, see A.B. Pinto, M. Ericsson and S. Nyzell. 2015. 'Contentious Politics Studies: Forskningsfältet social och politisk konfrontation på frammarsch i Skandinavien', *Scandia* 81(1), 93–110; see also M. Kaihoviirta. 2015. 'Oroliga inför framtiden: En studie av folkligt politiskt agerande bland bruksarbetarna i Billnäs ca 1900–1920', Ph.D. thesis, Åbo Akademi. Retrieved 6 January 2016 from <https://www.doria.fi/handle/10024/113083>
83. Kjeldstadli, *Et splittet samfunn*, 91.
84. M. Grass. 1975. *Friedensaktivität und Neutralität: die skandinavische Sozialdemokratie und die neutrale Zusammenarbeit im Krieg, August 1914 bis Februar 1917*, Bonn-Bad Godeberg: Verlag Neue Gesellschaft. The minutes of these meetings are available online at <http://www.socialhistoryportal.org/stockholm1917>, retrieved 24 February 2015. See also D. Kirby. 1986. *War, Peace and Revolution: International Socialism at the Crossroads 1914–1918*, Aldershot: Gower.

85. Grass, 'Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920', 63.
86. M. Lähteenmäki. 1987. 'Orientering mot Norden 1919–1939', *Arbetshistoria* 42(2), 23–24; G. van Goethem. 2006. *The Amsterdam International: The World of the International Federation of Trade Unions (IFTU), 1913–1945*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
87. On communism see chapters 11, 12 and 13.
88. Kjeldstadli, *Et splittet samfunn*, 114; D. Redvaldsen. 2011. *The Labour Party in Britain and Norway: Elections and the Pursuit of Power between the World Wars*. London: I.B. Tauris, 27; see also chapter 9.
89. S. Hadenius, H. Wieslander and B. Molin. 1969 [1967]. *Sverige efter 1900: En modern politisk historia*, Stockholm: Bokförlaget Aldus/Bonniers, 97–99.
90. D.H. Aldcroft. 1989. *The European Economy 1914–1980*, London: Routledge, 22, 53. Charles Feinstein et al. give average growth rates as follows: 1913–1929: Denmark 2.7%, Finland 2.4%, Norway 2.9% and Sweden 1.9%. 1929–1938: Denmark 2.2%, Finland 3.9%, Norway 3.1%, Sweden 2.6%. C. Feinstein, P. Temin and G. Toniolo. 1997. *The European Economy Between the Wars*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 13.
91. F. Sejersted. 2011. *The Age of Social Democracy: Norway and Sweden in the Twentieth Century*, trans. R. Daly, edited M.B. Adams, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 45.
92. Feinstein et al., *The European Economy*, 45–46.
93. E. Hobsbawm. 1994. *Age of Extremes: The Short Twentieth Century 1914–1991*, London: Michael Joseph, 92–96. Estimations of unemployment rates vary considerably; for a discussion see O.H. Gryten. 1995. 'The Scale of Norwegian Interwar Unemployment in International Perspective', *Scandinavian Economic History Review* 43(2), 226–50. Gryten suggests average unemployment rates of 9.7 per cent (Denmark), 2.9 per cent (Finland), 7.4 per cent (Norway) and 6.2 per cent (Sweden) for the years 1920–1938. These are considerably lower than the 'official' unemployment figures but compare with average rates of 6.4 per cent in Germany, 10 per cent in the United Kingdom and 9.5 per cent in the United States for the same period. Unemployment rates in particular industries were at times considerably higher.
94. Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 156–57. On the impact and subsequent interpretations of the events in Ådalen, see R. Johansson. 2002. 'Bildn av Ådalshändelserna 1931: 70 år av kamp om historien', *Historisk Tidskrift* 122(2), 243–70.
95. S. Neunsinger. 2015. 'From Servitude to Domestic Service: The Role of International Bodies, States and Elites for Changing Conditions in Domestic Work Between the 19th and 20th Centuries. An Introduction', in D. Hoerder, E. van Nederveen Meerkerk and S. Neunsinger, *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*, 392.
96. S. Neunsinger. 2001. *Die Arbeit der Frauen – die Krise der Männer: Die Erwerbstätigkeit verbeirateter Frauen in Deutschland und Schweden 1919–1939*, Uppsala: Studia historica Upsaliensia, 198.
97. R. Frangeur. 1998. *Yrkeskvinna eller makens tjänarinna? Striden om yrkesrätten för gifta kvinnor i mellankrigstidens Sverige*, Lund: Arkiv; Neunsinger, *Die Arbeit der Frauen*.
98. A. Myrdal and G. Myrdal. 1934. *Kris i befolkningsfrågan*, Stockholm: Bonnier; Y. Hirdman. 1989. *Att lägga livet till rätta: studier i svensk folkhemspolitik*, Stockholm: Carlsson.
99. By 1940, 47.7 per cent of the Danish population lived in urban areas, compared to 28.6 per cent in Norway and 37.4 per cent in Sweden. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, 58–59.
100. P. Markkola and A.-C. Östman. 2012. 'Torparfrågan tillspetsas. Frigörelse, oberoende och arbete – 1918 års torparlagstiftning ur mansperspektiv', *Historisk Tidskrift för Finland* 97(1), 17–41. Over 50,000 tenant farmers benefited, but former members of the red guards were excluded.
101. H. Meinander. 2012. *Republiken Finland: igår och idag*, Helsingfors: Schildts & Söderströms, 59–60. According to Hans Fredrik Dahl, by 1930 one tenth of Norwegian

- farmers were threatened with bankruptcy. H.F. Dahl. 1971. *Norge mellom krigene: Det norske samfunnet i krise og konflikt 1918–1940*, Oslo: Pax Forlag, 86.
102. On the Nordic farmers' parties see D. Arter (ed.). 2003. *From Farmyard to City Square? The Electoral Adaptation of the Nordic Agrarian Parties*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
103. See Kirby, *A Concise History of Finland*, 174–80. On right-wing movements in interwar Scandinavia more generally see U. Lindström. 1985. *Fascism in Scandinavia 1920–1940*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
104. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, 58–61. On the efforts of DNA to appeal to rural voters in the 1930s see Redvaldsen, *The Labour Party*, 36–38.
105. See chapter 2.
106. G. Esping-Andersen. 1985. *Politics Against Markets: The Social Democratic Road to Power*, Princeton: University Press, 314–19.
107. Kersti Blidberg has analysed the split in the Nordic social democratic cooperation in relation to the Labour and Socialist International between 1931 and 1945 and considers some of the consequences of this for the foreign policies of the Nordic governments. See K. Blidberg. 1984. *Splittrad gemenskap: kontakter och samarbete inom nordisk socialdemokratisk arbetarrörelse 1931–1945*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International.
108. Grass, 'Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920', 64.
109. See chapter 10.
110. For further discussion of the crisis agreements see chapter 10.
111. For a discussion of Sweden's recovery from the Great Depression see L. Magnusson. 2000. *An Economic History of Sweden*, London: Routledge, 194–99.
112. See T. Soikkanen. 1984. *Kansallinen eheytyminen – myytti vai todellisuus? Ulko- ja sisäpolitiikan linjat ja vuorovaikutus Suomessa vuosina 1933–1939*, Porvoo: WSOY; H. Soikkanen. 1987. *Kohti kansanvaltaa 2: 1937–1944*, Joensuu: Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue, 13–107. We are grateful to Tapio Bergholm for these references.
113. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, 69–70; Christensen et al., *Arbejdernes historie i Danmark 1800–2000*.
114. Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 158; Bergholm, *A Short History of SAK*, 31.
115. On workers' culture: G. Eley. 2002. *Forging Democracy: The History of the Left in Europe, 1850–2000*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 210–15.
116. M. Ståhl. 2005. *Möten och människor i Folkets hus och Folkets park*, Stockholm: Atlas; L. Karlsson. 2009. *Arbetarrörelsen, Folkets Hus och offentligheten i Bromölla 1905–1960*, Växjö: Växjö University Press.
117. E.S. Einhorn and J. Logue. 2003. *Modern Welfare States: Scandinavian Politics and Policy in the Global Age*, 2nd edn., Westport, Conn: Praeger, 103; A. Laubjerg. 2006. *Den store bølge: erindringsbilleder fra storkøbenhavnske industriarbejdspladser*, Rødovre: Forlaget Sohn; N. Helge, H. Grelle and J.I. Sørensen. 2005. *Velkommen i forsamlingsbygningen: Historien om arbejderens forenings- og forsamlingsbygning i Rømersgade 1879–1983*, København: Arbejdermuseet. On cooperation as part of the labour movement see H. Grelle. 2012. *Det kooperative alternative: Arbejderkooperationen i Danmark 1852–2012*, København: Arbejdermuseet, and the special themed issue of *Arbejderhistorie* 4 (2003). Although this type of workers' culture also flourished in Finland, especially in the period before the First World War, David Kirby suggests that it was undermined in the difficult aftermath of the civil war, when the labour movement was severely demoralized and the workers' halls in some districts became associated with drunkenness and rowdy behaviour. The cooperative movement provides an example of continuity, however. See D. Kirby. 1988. 'New Wine in Old Vessels? The Finnish Socialist Workers' Party, 1919–1923', *The Slavonic and East European Review* 66(3), 434–37.
118. Y. Waldemarson. 1998. *Mjukt till formen – hårt till innehållet: LOs kvinnoråd 1947–1967*, Stockholm: Atlas.

119. On Finland and Nordic cooperation in the post-war period, see M. Majander. 1997. 'Tillbaka till den nordiska gemenskapen: De finska socialdemokraterna och Norden 1944–1948', *Historisk Tidskrift för Finland* 82(1), 45–76.
120. K. Misgeld. 1985. 'Arbetarrörelsens samarbete i Norden 1931–1945', *Historisk Tidskrift* 84(4), 474–81.
121. K. Misgeld. 1976. *Die "Internationale Gruppe demokratischer Sozialisten" in Stockholm 1942–1945: Zur sozialistischen Friedensdiskussion während des Zweiten Weltkrieges*, Uppsala: Studia historica upsaliensia; H. Müssener. 1974. *Exil in Schweden: Politische und kulturelle Emigration nach 1933*, München: C Hanser; E. Lorenz. 1992. *Exil in Norwegen. Lebensbedingungen und Arbeit deutschsprachiger Flüchtlinge 1933–1943*, Baden-Baden: Nomos.
122. K.-J. Lorenzen-Schmidt and H.U. Petersen. 1991. *Hitlerflüchtlinge im Norden: Asyl und politisches Exil: 1933–1945*, Kiel: Neuer Malik-Vlg; Lorenz, *Exil in Norwegen*; E. Lorenz, K. Misgeld, H. Müssener and H.U. Petersen (eds). 1998. *Ein sehr trübes Kapitel?: Hitlerflüchtlinge im nordeuropäischen Exil 1933 bis 1950*, Hamburg: Ergebnisse-Verl.; F. Meyer. 2001. "Dansken, svensken og nordmannen-": *skandinaviske habitusforskjeller sett i lys av kulturmøtet med tyske flyktinger: en komparativ studie*, Oslo: Unipub. M. Byström. 2006. *En broder, gäst och parasit: uppfattningar och föreställningar om utlänningar, flyktingar och flyktingpolitik i svensk offentlig debatt 1942–1947*, Stockholm: Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis; M. Byström and P. Frohnert (eds). 2013. *Reaching a State of Hope: Refugees, Immigrants and the Swedish Welfare State, 1930–2000*, Lund: Nordic Academic Press.
123. See chapter 12; also D. Nelles. 2001. 'Der Widerstand der Internationalen Transportarbeiter Föderation (ITF) gegen Nationalsozialismus und Faschismus in Deutschland und Schweden', in A. Graf (ed.), *Anarchisten gegen Hitler. Anarchisten, Anarcho-Syndikalisten, Rätekommunisten in Widerstand und Exil*, Berlin: Lukas, 114–55.
124. Sejersted, *Socialdemokratiens tidsålder*, 290; Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 207, 265–66.
125. D. Sassoon. 1996. *One Hundred Years of Socialism: The West European Left in the Twentieth Century*, New York: The New Press, 117–18.
126. In Denmark the Social Democratic Party lost votes and seats to the communists, due to their participation in the wartime government that had until 1943 collaborated with the Nazi occupiers: Sassoon, *One Hundred Years*, 119–20. On the so-called 'future election' (*framtidsvalet*) in Sweden in 1944, see P. Esaiasson. 1990. *Svenska valkampanjer 1866–1988*, Stockholm: Allmänna Förlaget, 165–68.
127. Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 41–46.
128. For a comparison of post-war social democracy in Norway and Sweden see Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 207, ch. 10 passim especially pp. 289–93. For information on election results and governments in all the Nordic countries see Einhorn and Logue, *Modern Welfare States*, 353–75.
129. D. Arter. 1999. *Scandinavian Politics Today*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 79–89.
130. T. Bergholm. 2009. 'The Making of the Finnish Model', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 34(1), 29–48.
131. Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 211, 216–18; Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 68–70.
132. Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, 59.
133. H. Sjögren. 2008. 'Welfare Capitalism: The Swedish Economy, 1850–2005', in S. Fellman et al., (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism: The Business History of a Competitive Periphery*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 45–46; Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 221–23.
134. A. Göransson. 2006. 'Från hushåll och släkt till markand och stat!', in S. Hedenborg and M. Morell (eds), *Sverige – En social och ekonomisk historia*, Lund: Studentlitteratur, 251.
135. See chapter 6.

136. There was a significant spike in Finnish emigration to Sweden in 1950 and 1951 (see also chapter 6) and emigration grew again from 1964. In the peak year, 1970, over 40,000 Finns moved to Sweden, so many that the overall population of Finland declined. Overall, more than half a million Finns moved to Sweden during the period 1945–1990, though when return migration is also taken into account the figure for net migration is just over 250,000. According to Henrik Meinander, if unregistered migration is also taken into account there may have been as many as 800,000 Finns who worked in Sweden at some point during the post-war period. See figures from Institute of Migration available at http://www.migrationinstitute.fi/fi/tietopalvelut/tilastot#quickset-fi_tietopalvelut_tilastot=1, retrieved 19 March 2015; Meinander, *Republiken Finland*, 361–65.
137. For a discussion of the decline of agriculture in Sweden, see M. Morell, ‘Agriculture in Industrial Society 1870–1945’, in J. Myrdal and M. Morell (eds). 2011. *The Agrarian History of Sweden from 4000 BC to AD 2000*, Lund: Nordic Academic Press, 179–81. The proportion of the Finnish population employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing in 1950 was still relatively high at 45.9 per cent: see D. Arter. 2003. ‘The Finnish Centre Party: A Case of Successful Transformation?’ in Arter, *From Farmyard to City Square?*, 66.
138. M.J. Iversen and S. Andersen, ‘Co-operative Liberalism: Denmark from 1857 to 2007’, in Fellman et al. (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism*, 306.
139. Sejersted, *Socialdemokratins tidsålder*, 247.
140. Sejersted notes the ‘peculiar mixture of consensus and will to cooperate, on the one hand, and of fear and ideological oppositions, on the other’, in Sweden and Norway after 1945. See Sejersted, *The Age of Social Democracy*, 289 and ch. 10 passim.
141. For a summary, see Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 96–102.
142. U. Lundberg. 2003. *Juvelen i kronan: Socialdemokraterna och den allmänna pensionen*, Stockholm: Hjalmarson & Högberg; For the politics of the pension reform see L. Lewin. 1988. *Ideology and Strategy: A Century of Swedish Politics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch. 7.
143. K. Åmark. 2005. *Hundra år av välfärdspolitik: Välfärdstatens framväxt i Norge och Sverige*, Umeå: Boréa, 267; see also Bergholm, ‘The Making of the Finnish Model’, 31.
144. T. Bergholm and P. Jonker-Hoffrén. 2012. ‘Farewell to Communist Strike Hypothesis: The Diversity of Striking in Finland between 1971 and 1990’, in S. van der Velden et al. (eds), *Strikes and Social Conflicts: Towards a Global History*, Lisbon: International Association for Strikes and Social Conflict, 401–13. Retrieved 24 March 2015 from http://www.ilera-directory.org/15thworldcongress/files/papers/Track_4/Poster/CS1W_12_BERGHOLM.pdf; G. Jónsson. 2014. ‘Iceland and the Nordic Model of Consensus Democracy’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 39(4), 514–15; Elvander, *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, 152–53.
145. T.E. Jørgensen. 2008. ‘The Scandinavian 1968 in a European Perspective’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 326–38; for a summary see also T. Judt. 2005. *Postwar: A History of Europe since 1945*, London: William Heinemann, ch. 12.
146. Jørgensen, ‘The Scandinavian 1968’; see also other contributions to the special themed issue of *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4) (2008).
147. L. Ekdahl. 2011. ‘Makten och människovärdet. Gruvstrejken 1969 som samhällskritik’, *Arbetshistoria* 138–39, 12–17; E. Schmitz. 2011. “Kan de strejka i Norge kan väl vi också”. ASAB-städerskornas strejker under 1974 och 1975’, *Arbetshistoria* 138–39, 18–26.
148. On wage earner funds see L. Ekdahl (ed.). 2002. *Löntagarfondsfrågan: en missad möjlighet?*, Huddinge: Samtidshistoriska institutet, Södertörns högskola; L. Ekdahl. 2001. *Mot en tredje väg: en biografi över Rudolf Meidner. Vol 1: Tysk flykting och svensk modell*, Lund: Arkiv; L. Ekdahl. 2005. *Vol 2: Facklig expert och demokratisk socialist*, Lund: Arkiv; I. Viktorov. 2006. *Fordismens kris och löntagarfonder i Sverige*, Stockholm: Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis; C. Lundh. 2002. *Spelets regler: institutioner och lönebildning*

- på den svenska arbetsmarknaden 1850–2000*, Stockholm: SNS förlag; G.M. Olsen. 1992. *The Struggle for Economic Democracy in Sweden*, Aldershot: Avebury.
149. K. Östberg. 2008. 'Sweden and the long "1968": Break or continuity?', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 339–52.
150. T.E. Førland. 2008. "'1968" in Norway: Piecemeal, Peaceful and Postmodern', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 382–94.
151. On the 'earthquake elections' and the changing party system see Arter, *Scandinavian Politics Today*, ch. 5; Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 46–52.
152. Jørgensen, 'The Scandinavian 1968'.
153. According to Anders Kjellberg, Sweden, Finland and Denmark topped international rankings for union membership as a percentage of wage earners in 1980 (78%, 67% and 75% of wage earners respectively), and union membership continued to rise in Sweden and especially Finland throughout the 1980s. In Norway rates were slightly lower, 57% in 1980. Swedish trade union membership peaked at 86% of wage earners in 1986–87. A. Kjellberg. 2001. *Fackliga organisationer och medlemmar i dagens Sverige*, 2nd edn., Lund: Arkiv, 25, 27.
154. Göransson, 'Från hushåll och släkt', 253.
155. For a summary see N. Crafts and G. Toniolo. 2010. 'Aggregate Growth, 1950–2000', in S. Broadberry and K.H. O'Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe. Volume 2: 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 315–23.
156. For a summary see Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 76–84.
157. See L. Schön. 2000. *En modern svensk ekonomisk historia: Tillväxt och omvandling under två sekel*, Stockholm: SNS Förlag, 469–77.
158. S. Honkapohja and E. Koskela. 2001. 'The Economic Crisis of the 1990s in Finland', in J. Kalela et al. (eds), *Down from the Heavens, Up from the Ashes: The Finnish Economic Crisis of the 1990s in the Light of Economic and Social Research*, Helsinki: Valtion Taloudellinen Tutkimuskeskus, 52–101; Schön, *En modern svensk ekonomisk historia*, 503–8.
159. M.J. Iversen and L. Thue. 2008. 'Creating Nordic Capitalism – The Business History of a Comparative Periphery', in Fellman et al., (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism*, 10; see also K. Halvorsen and S. Stjernø. 2008. *Work, Oil and Welfare: The Welfare State in Norway*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
160. On the early 1990s as watershed see J. Andersson and M. Hilson. 2009. 'Images of Sweden and the Nordic Countries', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 34(4), 219–28.
161. V. Timonen. 2003. *Restructuring the Welfare State: Globalization and Social Policy Reform in Finland and Sweden*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 183–86; for a summary see Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 110–11.
162. Schön, *En modern svensk ekonomisk historia*, 512–13; Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 83.
163. Sjögren, 'Welfare capitalism', 61.
164. See Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 150–55; G. Brochmann and K. Kjeldstadli. 2008. *A History of Immigration: The Case of Norway 900–2000*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, 133–40; see also I. Svanberg and M. Tydén. 1992. *Tusen år av invandring: en svensk kulturhistoria*, Stockholm: Gidlunds.
165. Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 155–60.
166. '150 vakter ska stoppa flyktingar utan id-handlingar', *Dagens Nyheter*, 3 January 2016; 'Gränskontroller – så funkar det', *Aftonbladet*, 4 January 2016; 'Så fungerar id- och gränskontroller', Sveriges Radio, 5 February 2016; C.L. Kristiansen, 'Klar... parat... gränsekontrol', *Politiken*, 4 January 2016; J.A. Bjørnager et al., 'Bommen går ned for borgmestres drøm om en samlet Øresundsregion', *Berlingske*, 4 January 2016; 'Border controls threaten co-operation', retrieved 27 April 2016 from <http://www.norden.org/en/news-and-events/news/border-controls-threaten-co-operation>

167. For the populist Right in the Nordic countries see A.-C. Jungar and A.R. Jupskås. 2014. 'Populist Radical Right Parties in the Nordic Region: A New and Distinct Party Family?', *Scandinavian Political Studies* 37(3), 215–38.
168. A. Pred. 1998. 'Memory and the Cultural Reworking of Crisis: Racisms and the Current Moment of Danger in Sweden, or Wanting It Like Before', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 16, 647.
169. For a summary see Hilson, *The Nordic Model*, 171–72.
170. A. Thörnquist. 2013. *False (Bogus) Self-Employment in East-West Labour Migration: Recent Trends in the Swedish Construction and Road Haulage Industries*, Norrköping: REMESO; A. Thörnquist. 2015. *East-West Labour Migration and the Swedish Cleaning Industry: A Matter of Immigrant Competition?*, Norrköping: REMESO; A. Thörnquist and Å.-K. Engstrand (eds). 2011. *Precarious Employment in Perspective: Old and New Challenges to Working Conditions in Sweden*, Bruxelles and New York: P.I.E. Peter Lang; A. Gavanas. 2010. *Who Cleans the Welfare State? Migration, Informalization, Social Exclusion and Domestic Services in Stockholm*, Stockholm: Institute for Futures Studies; A. Gavanas and C. Calleman (eds). 2013. *Rena hem på smutsiga villkor?: hushållstjänster, migration och globalisering*, Göteborg; Stockholm: Makadam.
171. See chapter 4.
172. K.E. Eriksen, S. Halvorsen and E.A. Terjesen, 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv og bibliotek gjennom 100 år', *Arbeiderhistorie Årbok for arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv og bibliotek*, 12. See also M. Grass. 1992–93 'Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek – i Sverige, i Norden, i Europa. ARAB förebilden', *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 54–58; T. Bergh. 1992–93. 'Nordiskt samarbete från norsk horisont', *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 59–62. The acronyms are a recent invention and became official only at the end of the 1990s.
173. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv', 9–10.
174. We are grateful to Martin Grass for bringing this to our knowledge.
175. Grass, 'Från arbetarkongress till samarbetskommitté'. This is also the period when a number of Nordic trade secretariats were started such as the Scandinavian Transport Workers' Federation or the Nordic textile workers' union. These remain an under-researched area of Nordic labour history; see however A. Uhlén. 1957. *Skandinaviska transportarbetarefederationen 1907–1957: historik*, Helsingborg: Skandinaviska transportarbetarefederationen; K.E. Persson and C. Högmark. 1995. *Nordiska beklädnadsarbetareunioner 1897–1993*, Stockholm: Nordiska industriarbetarefederationen.
176. Työväen Arkisto, 'Työväen Arkisto: Eilistä ja nykypäivää', retrieved 13 January 2016 from <http://www.tyark.fi/meolemm.htm>; other information retrieved 13 January 2016 from <http://www.arjenhistoria.fi/>; <http://www.kansanarkisto.fi/>; http://www.tyovaenperinne.fi/?page_id=10; see also E. Lahtinen. 1992–93. 'Från förråd till arkiv i Helsingfors', *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 63–65.
177. M. Hagström. 2002. *KF Bibliotek – 75 år i konsumentkooperationens tjänst*, Stockholm: KF Bibliotek, retrieved 27 April 2016 from www.mersmak.kf.se/upload/KFBibliotek75_jubelskrift.pdf. In Denmark and Finland the cooperative movement developed separate institutions for cooperative societies associated with farmers and workers respectively. In Finland, the Labour Archives hold material from the so-called 'progressive' cooperatives and their central organizations KK and OTK, while in Denmark the Labour Archives hold material from Det kooperative Fællesforbund and related societies. See H. Grelle. 2012. *Det kooperative alternative: Arbejderkooperationen i Danmark 1852–2012*, København: Arbejdermuseet.
178. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv', 25.
179. M. Grass and H. Larsson. 2002. *Labour's Memory: The Labour Movement Archives and Library, 1902–2002*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, 17–18.
180. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv', 29ff.

181. L. Edgren and L. Olsson. 1991. 'Arbetare och arbetsliv: Svensk arbetarhistorisk forskning', in K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds), *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisering i Sverige*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, 7.
182. Information retrieved 23 March 2016 from <http://www.tyark.fi/muistitieto.htm>.
183. H. Grelle, '100 år med bøger og arkivalier', in H. Abildgaard and H. Grelle (eds). 2008. *Årbog 2008*, København: Arbejdermuseet and Arbejderbevægelsens Bibliotek og Arkiv, 15; M. Rostgaard and A.E. Hansen. 1992. 'Signalement af forskningen i arbejdslivets historie i Danmark', *Årbog for arbejderbevægelsens historie* 22, 15–33. We are grateful to Knud Knudsen for this reference.
184. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbejderbevægelsens arkiv'.
185. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbejderbevægelsens arkiv', 33; Grass and Larsson, *Labour's Memory*, 28.
186. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbejderbevægelsens arkiv', 41. For example, the extensive personal archives of Gunnar and Alva Myrdal and of Olof Palme in Stockholm have attracted researchers both in and outside Sweden for many years.
187. Information on the journals is taken from the website of SFAH: see <http://sfah.dk/om-sfah/>, retrieved 15 March 2015. For a short history see V.O. Nielsen. 1990. 'SFAHs historie – baggrund, start og udvikling', in N.O.H. Jensen et al., *Fremad, ad nye veje: bidrag til diskussionen om arbejderhistorien i 1990'erne*, København: SFAH, 215–30.
188. For example, all the centenary histories of the trade union confederations were produced by academics. These included the history of Swedish LO, initiated through a research project led by Lars Magnusson, which resulted in ten volumes. For the Norwegian LO's history see F. Olstad. 2009. *Med knyttet neve: LO:s historie 1899–1935*, Oslo: Pax; I. Bjørnhaug. 2009. *Medlemsmakt og samfunnsansvar: LO:s historie, 1935–1969*, Oslo: Pax, 2009; T. Bergh. 2009. *Kollektiv fornuft: LO:s historie, 1969–2009*, Oslo: Pax. For the history of the Danish LO see H. Tjørnehoj. 1998. *Fremad og atter fremad ... LO:s historie 1871–1960*, vol. 1, København: LO; H. Grelle (ed.). 1998. *I takt med tiden: LO:s historie 1960–1997*, vol. 2, København: LO. For Iceland see S. Ísleifsson, *Saga Alþjóðsambands Íslands*, Reykjavík: Forlagið. For SAK in Finland see P. Ala-Kapee and M. Valkonen. 1982. *Yhdessä elämä turvalliseksi. SAK:laisen ammattiyhdistysliikkeen kehitys vuoteen 1930*, Helsinki: SAK; M. Valkonen. 1987. *Yhdessä elämä turvalliseksi: Suomen Ammattiyhdistysten Keskusliitto 1930–1947*, Helsinki: SAK; T. Bergholm. 2005. *Sopimusyhteiskunnan synty I: Työehtosopimusten läpimurrosta yleislakkoon. SAK 1944–1956*, Keuruu: Otava; T. Bergholm. 2007. *Sopimusyhteiskunnan synty II: Hajaannuksesta tulopolitiikkaan. SAK 1956–1969*, Keuruu: Otava; T. Bergholm. 2012. *Kohti tasa-arvoa: Tulopolitiikan aika I. SAK 1969–1977*, Keuruu: Otava.
189. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbejderbevægelsens arkiv', 43. On the Reykjavík conference see <http://www.nordiclabourhistory.org/>, retrieved 26 April 2016.
190. Website of journal *Arkiv*: <http://www.tidskriftenarkiv.se/index.php/hem>, retrieved 15 March 2015. It ceased to publish for a few years in 2009 but started publication again from 2013, now with the title *Arkiv: Tidskrift för samhällsanalys* (Archive: Journal for Social Analysis).
191. Website of *Arbetarhistoria*: www.arbetarhistoria.se, retrieved 15 March 2015.
192. Website of *Työväentutkimus*: <http://www.tyovaenperinne.fi/tyovaentutkimus/>, retrieved 16 March 2015. The journal is produced through the cooperation of a number of institutions, including the Labour Archives and Library, the People's Archives, the Society for Labour History Research and two museums: the Lenin Museum and the Labour Museum Werstas, both in Tampere.
193. Website of the Finnish Society for Labour History: <http://www.thpts.fi/mika-thpts/about-the-fslh/>, retrieved 16 March 2015. The full name of the society is Työväen historian ja perinteen tutkimuksen seura (Society for the study of labour history and traditions). On the society and the development of labour history in Finland see P. Markkola. 2013.

- 'The Nordic and Gendering Dimensions of Labour History in Finland', in H. Haggren, J. Rainio-Niemi and J. Vauhkonen (eds), *Multi-Layered Historicity of the Present: Approaches to Social Science History*, Helsinki: Department of Political and Economic Studies, 33–46.
194. K. Åmark. 2002. 'Arbete, arbetarrörelse och arbetarkultur. Skandinavisk historisk forskning', *Arbetarhistoria* 103–4(3–4), 7.
195. D. Nyström. 2015. *Innan forskningen blev radikal: En historiografisk studie av arbetarhistoria och kvinnohistoria*, Malmö: Universus Academic Press.
196. S. Lundkvist. 1977. *Folkrörelserna i det svenska samhället 1850–1920*, Uppsala: Uppsala universitet.
197. Ísleifsson, *Saga Alþýðusambands Íslands*, P. Friðriksson. 2007. *Við brún nýs dags: Saga verkamannafélagsins Dagsbrúnar 1906–1930*, Reykjavík: Efling, stéttarfélag and Sagnfræðistofnun Háskóla Íslands; P. Friðriksson. 2012. *Dagar vinnu og vona: Saga verkamannafélagsins Dagsbrúnar í kreppu og köldu stríði*, Reykjavík: Efling, stéttarfélag and Sagnfræðistofnun Háskóla Íslands.
198. Å. Linderborg. 2001. *Socialdemokraterna skriver historia: Historieskrivning som ideologisk maktresurs 1892–2000*, Stockholm: Atlas.
199. H. Tingsten. 1941. *Den svenska socialdemokratins idéutveckling*, Stockholm: Tidens förlag.
200. For the influence of Braverman in Swedish labour history, see L. Olsson. 1980. *Då barn var lönsamma: om arbetsdelning, barnarbete och teknologiska förändringar i några svenska industrier under 1800- och början av 1900-talet*, Stockholm: Tiden; L. Ekdahl. 1983. *Arbete mot kapital: typografer och ny teknik – studier av Stockholms tryckeriindustri under det industriella genombrottet*, Lund: Arkiv; L. Olsson. 1986. *Gamla typer och nya produktionsförhållanden: om rationalisering och medbestämmande, åldrande och solidaritet bland typografer i Sverige från slutet av 1800-talet till omkring 1960*, Lund: Lucifer; U. Wikander. 1988. *Kvinnors och mäns arbeten: Gustavsberg 1880–1980: genusarbetsdelning och arbetets degradering vid en porslinsfabrik*, Lund: Arkiv; M. Isacson. 1990. *Verkstadsindustriens arbetsmiljö: Hedemora verkstäder under 1900-talet*, Lund: Arkiv; A.O. Johansson. 1990. *Arbetarrörelsen och taylorismen: Olofström 1895–1925: en studie av verkstadsindustrin och arbetets organisering*, Lund: Arkiv. For summaries see S.O. Karlsson. 1988. *När industriarbetaren blev historia: studier i svensk arbetarhistoria 1965–1995*, Lund: Studentlitteratur, 170–71; Edgren and Olsson, 'Swedish Working-Class History', 75. For a discussion of the history of working life in Norway, see K. Kjeldstadli. 2015. 'En ny arbeidslivets historie?', in *Myndighet og medborgarskap: Festskrift til Gro Hagemann på 70-årsdagen 3. september 2015*, Oslo: Novus Forlag, 281–92.
201. According to Tapio Bergholm labour history was strongly politicized in Finland, but from the 1980s this was replaced by a greater focus on work and working life. See Bergholm, 'Historieforskning och fackföreningsrörelse i Finland', 45–50.
202. S. Lindqvist. 1978. *Gräv där du står: Hur man utforskar ett job*, Stockholm: Bonniers. Lindqvist presented his approach to an international audience during the eleventh International Association of Labour History Institutions (IALHI) conference in Stockholm in September 1980: see S. Lindqvist. 1980. 'Dig Where You Stand', in *Meddelande från Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek* 16, 42–47; also S. Lindqvist et al. 1978. *Grav hvor du står: Håndbog i at udforske et arbejde*, København: Forlaget SOC. For the German translation by Manfred Dammeyer see *Grabe wo du stehst: Handbuch zur Erforschung der eigenen Geschichte* (Bonn: Dietz, 1989). In 1985 *Arbetarhistoria* published a special issue, 'Grävboken: ett stöd för grävare inom arbetarrörelsen', *Arbetarhistoria* 34(2). Writing in *History Workshop Journal* in 1978, Paul Thompson reported on the established tradition of history from below in the Scandinavian countries (and Poland) and lamented that it was not more widely known in the United Kingdom: P. Thompson. 1978. 'Life Histories in Poland and Scandinavia', *History Workshop Journal* 6, 208–10. The history workshop

- movement also inspired similar attempts to organize history from below in Finland: see Markkola, 'The Nordic and Gendering Dimensions', 41.
203. Eriksen, Halvorsen and Terjesen, 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv', 38–40.
 204. For a summary of the debate see Nyzell, 'Striden ägde rum i Malmö', ch. 17; also the introduction to L. Edgren and M. Olofsson (eds). 2009. *Political Outsiders in Swedish History, 1848–1932*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, esp. 1–3.
 205. K. Åmark. 1989. *Maktkamp i byggbransch: avtalsrörelser och konflikter i byggbranschen 1914–1920*, Lund: Arkiv; E.A. Terjesen. 2012. 'Partsforholdet i arbeidslivet. Kompromiss, allianse, samarbeid eller kamp?', *Arbeiderhistorie*, 11–37.
 206. M. Ståhl. 1999. *Vår fana röd till färgen: fanor som medium för visuell kommunikation under arbetarrörelsens genombrottsstid i Sverige fram till 1890*, Linköping: Tema, Univ.; on labour movement banners see also chapters by Margareta Ståhl, Pirjo Kaihovaara and Katri Kaunisto, and Lill-Ann Jensen in Kettunen (ed.), *Lokalt och internationellt*; M. Ståhl. 2008. *Vår röda fana!*, Stockholm: IF Metall; K. Bosdotter and M. Isacson (eds). 2009. *Fram träder arbetaren: arbetarkonst och industrisambällets bilder i Norden*, Stockholm: Arbetarnas bildningsförbund. In Denmark Henning Grelle published *Under de røde faner: en historie om arbejderbevægelsen*, København: Fremad, 1984, on the occasion of ABA's 75th anniversary.
 207. See, for example, Gunnar Qvist's pioneering study of women in the Swedish Trade union confederation: G. Qvist. 1974. *Statistik och politik: Landsorganisationen och kvinnorna på arbetsmarknaden*, Stockholm: Prisma.
 208. Y. Hirdman. 1979. *Vi bygger landet: den svenska arbetarrörelsens historia från Per Götrek till Olof Palme*, Solna: Pogo Press; also Y. Hirdman. 1992. *Den socialistiska hemmafrun och andra kvinnohistorier*, Stockholm: Carlsson.
 209. Y. Hirdman, *Genus: om det stabila föränderliga former*, Malmö: Liber.
 210. See for example the special issue of *Arbetarhistoria* 44–45 (1987: 4–1988: 1).
 211. D. Blazevic. 2015. *Jakten på et fagfelt: den skandinaviske kvinne- og kjønnshistoriens fremvekst i skjæringsfeltet mellom historieforskning og kvinne- og kjønnsforskning*, Bergen: Universitetet i Bergen; Nyström, *Innan forskningen blev radikal*; U. Manns. 2011. 'Historico-political Strategies of Scandinavian Feminist Movements: Preliminary Perspectives of a Research Project', in J. Mittag, B. Unfried and E. Himmelstoss (eds), *Arbeiter- und soziale Bewegungen in der öffentlichen Erinnerung: Eine globale Perspektive*, Berlin: Akademische Verlaganstalt, 219–30.
 212. U. Wikander. 1989. 'Periodisering av kapitalismen - med kvinnor', *Arbetarhistoria* 51, 7–11; U. Wikander. 1999. *Kvinnor i Europa 1789–1950: genus, makt och arbetsdelning*, Stockholm: Atlas; S. Hedenborg and U. Wikander. 2003. *Makt och försörjning*, Lund: Studentlitteratur; U. Wikander. 2006. *Feminism, familj och medborgarskap: debatter på internationella kongresser om nattarbetsförbud för kvinnor 1889–1919*, Göteborg: Makadam.
 213. K. Åmark. 1991. 'Den svenska modellen: Arbetarnas fackföreningsrörelse och samverkan på svensk arbetsmarknad', in K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds), *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisk forskning i Sverige*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, 27–42.
 214. Among examples of foreign interest, specifically in Nordic social democracy and the labour movement, see M. Childs. 1938. 'How the Scandinavians Do It: Three Countries Where Labor Rules Democratically', *Harper's Monthly Magazine* 177, 400–11; P. Anderson. 1961. 'Sweden: Mr Crosland's Dreamland', *New Left Review* 7 (January/February). See also G. O'Hara. 2008. 'Applied Socialism of a Fairly Moderate Kind', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(1), 1–25, which discusses British interest in Scandinavian policy during the post-war era and A. Scott. 2006. 'Social Democracy in Northern Europe: Its Relevance for Australia', *Australian Review of Public Affairs* 7(1), 1–17, which focuses on the 1986 Australian trade union report on Scandinavia, *Australia Reconstructed*.

215. The selection of publications was based on the available literature at these four labour history institutes. M.B. Hansen and G. Callesen (eds). 1992. *Foreign Language Literature on the Nordic Labour Movements. Fremdsprachige Literatur über die nordischen Arbeiterbewegungen*, vol. 7, ABAs bibliografiske serie, København: Arbejderbevægelsens Bibliotek og Arkiv. Cooperation outside the Nordic countries illustrates this as well as the overview on the historiography of Swedish labour history published in 1990 by the then Institut zur Erforschung der europäischen Arbeiterbewegungen at Ruhr Universität Bochum in cooperation with ARAB: H. Grebing, K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds). 1990. *Forschung über die Arbeiterbewegung in Schweden*, vol. 10, Mitteilungsblatt des Instituts zur Erforschung der europäischen Arbeiterbewegung, Ruhr Universität Bochum. The overview was translated into Swedish the following year: K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds). 1991. *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisk forskning i Sverige*, Stockholm: Uppsater utgivna av Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek.
216. In contrast to the thematic organization of the centenary anniversary publications of some of the Swedish labour movement organizations, publications in the other Nordic countries were organized chronologically.
217. M. Grass, G. Litzell and K. Misgeld (eds). 2002. *The World in the Basement: International Material in Archives and Collections*, Stockholm: Labour Movement Archives and Library.
218. See, for example, E. Blomberg. 1995. *Män i mörker. Arbetsgivare, reformister och syndikalister: Politik och identitet i svensk gruvindustri 1910–1940*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International; L.K. Persson. 1984. *Arbete, politik, arbetarrörelse: En studie av stenindustrins Bohuslän 1860–1910*, Göteborg: Meddelanden från Historiska Institutionen i Göteborg; B. Henningson. 1996–97, ‘Humanism, anarchism och socialism: Varför splittrades det socialdemokratiska vänsterpartiet?’, *Arbetshistoria* 80–81, 25–36; Kirby, ‘New Wine in Old Vessels?’, 439–442.
219. Grelle, *Det kooperative alternativ*; E. Lange (ed.). 2006. *Organisert kjøpekraft: Forbrukersamvirkets historie i Norge*, Oslo: Pax forlag.
220. The Institute of Working Life was established to follow up on new legislation and employed about 400 researchers, among them a number of historians. It was closed down in 2007 by the conservative Swedish government. See Åmark, ‘Den svenska modellen’.
221. Among other projects can be mentioned the Nordic Centre of Excellence NordWel: ‘The Nordic Welfare States: Historical Foundations and Future Challenges’, 2007–2012, retrieved 13 January 2016 from <http://blogs.helsinki.fi/nord-wel/>.
222. G. Hagemann and H. Roll-Hansen (eds). 2005. *Twentieth-Century Housewives: Meanings and Implications of Unpaid Work*, Oslo: Oslo Academic Press.
223. See <http://gaw.hist.uu.se/>, retrieved 29 January 2016.
224. Hoerder, van Nederveen Meerkerk and Neunsinger, *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*.

References

- Ala-Kapee, P. and M. Valkonen. 1982. *Yhdessä elämä turvalliseksi. SAK: laisen ammattiyhdistysliikkeen kehitys vuoteen 1930*, Helsinki: SAK.
- Alapuro, R. 1988. *State and Revolution in Finland*, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Aldcroft, D.H. 1989. *The European Economy 1914–1980*, London: Routledge.
- Åmark, K. 1989. *Maktkamp i byggbransch: avtalsrörelser och konflikter i byggbranschen 1914–1920*, Lund: Arkiv.

- . ‘Den svenska modellen: Arbetarnas fackföreningsrörelse och samverkan på svensk arbetsmarknad’, in K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds), *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisk forskning i Sverige*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, 1991, 27–42.
- . 2002. ‘Arbete, arbetarrörelse och arbetarkultur: Skandinavisk historisk forskning’, *Arbetarhistoria* 103–4(3–4), 4–12.
- . 2005. *Hundra år av välfärdspolitik: Välfärdsstatens framväxt i Norge och Sverige*, Umeå: Boréa.
- . ‘Women’s Labour Force Participation in the Nordic Countries during the Twentieth Century’, in N.F. Christiansen et al. (eds), *The Nordic Model of Welfare: A Historical Reappraisal*, Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum Press, 2006, 299–333.
- Anderson, P. 1961. ‘Sweden: Mr Crosland’s Dreamland’, *New Left Review* 7.
- Andersson, J. and M. Hilson. 2009. ‘Images of Sweden and the Nordic Countries’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 34(4), 219–28.
- Andræ, C.G. 1998. *Revolt eller reform: Sverige inför revolutionerna i Europa 1917–1918*, Stockholm: Carlsson.
- Árnason, J.P. and B. Wittrock. ‘Introduction’, in J.P. Árnason and B. Wittrock (eds), *Nordic Paths to Modernity*, New York: Berghahn Books, 2012, 1–23.
- Arter, D. 1999. *Scandinavian Politics Today*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- . 2011. ‘Taking the Gilt off the Conservatives’ Gingerbread: The April 2011 Finnish General Election’, *West European Politics* 34(6), 1284–95.
- Arter, D. (ed.). 2003. *From Farmyard to City Square? The Electoral Adaptation of the Nordic Agrarian Parties*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Aylott, N. and N. Bolin. 2007. ‘Towards a Two-Party System? The Swedish Parliamentary Election of September 2006’, *West European Politics* 30(3), 621–33.
- Berend, I. 2013. *An Economic History of Nineteenth-Century Europe: Diversity and Industrialization*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bergh, T. 1992–93. ‘Nordiskt samarbete från norsk horisont’, *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 59–62.
- . 2009. *Kollektiv fornuft: LO:s historie, 1969–2009*, Oslo: Pax.
- Bergholm, T. 2002. ‘Historieforskning och fackföreningsrörelse i Finland: Från organisationsbeskrivning till maktkamp, arbetskultur och arbetsvillkor’, *Arbetarhistoria* 103–4(3–4), 45–50.
- . 2003. *A Short History of SAK*, Helsinki: SAK.
- . 2005. *Sopimusyhteiskunnan synty I: Työehtosopimusten läpimurrosta yleislakoon. SAK 1944–1956*, Keuruu: Otava.
- . 2007. *Sopimusyhteiskunnan synty II: Hajaannuksesta tulopolitiikkaan. SAK 1956–1969*, Keuruu: Otava.
- . 2009. ‘The Making of the Finnish Model’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 34(1), 29–48.
- . 2012. *Kohti tasa-arvoa: Tulopolitiikan aika I. SAK 1969–1977*, Keuruu: Otava.
- Bergholm, T. and P. Jonker-Hoffrén. ‘Farewell to Communist Strike Hypothesis: The Diversity of Striking in Finland between 1971 and 1990’, in S. van der

- Velden et al. (eds), *Strikes and Social Conflicts: Towards a Global History*, Lisbon: International Association for Strikes and Social Conflict, 2012, 401–13. Retrieved 24 March 2015 from http://www.ilara-directory.org/15thworldcongress/files/papers/Track_4/Poster/CS1W_12_BERGHOLM.pdf
- Bjørnhaug, I. 2009. *Medlemsmakt og samfunnsansvar: LO:s historie, 1935–1969*, Oslo: Pax.
- Blazevic, D. 2015. *Jakten på et fagfelt: den skandinaviske kvinne- og kjønnshistoriens fremvekst i skjæringsfeltet mellom historieforskning og kvinne- og kjønnsforskning*, Bergen: Universitetet i Bergen.
- Blidberg, K. 1984. *Splittrad gemenskap: kontakter och samarbete inom nordisk socialdemokratisk arbetarrörelse 1931–1945*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International.
- . 1994. 'Ideologi och pragmatism: Samarbetet inom nordisk socialdemokratisk arbetarrörelse 1930–1955', *Den Jyske Historiker* 69–70, 132–50.
- Blomberg, E. 1995. *Män i mörker. Arbetsgivare, reformister och syndikalister: Politik och identitet i svensk gruvindustri 1910–1940*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International.
- Bosdotter, K. (ed.). 2012. *Faror för staten av svåraste slag: politiska fångar på Långholmen 1880–1950*, Stockholm: Stockholmia.
- Bosdotter, K. and M. Isacson (eds). 2009. *Fram träder arbetaren: arbetarkonst och industrisamhällets bilder i Norden*, Stockholm: Arbetarnas bildningsförbund.
- Brochmann, G. and K. Kjeldstadli. 2008. *A History of Immigration: The Case of Norway 900–2000*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- Bull, E. 1968. *Norsk fagbevegelse: Oversikt over fagorganisasjonens historie*, Oslo: Tiden Norsk Forlag.
- . 1976. 'Arbeiderbevægelsens stilling i de tre nordiske land 1914–1920', *Tidsskrift for arbeiderbevægelsens historie* 1, 3–28.
- . 1979. 'Arbetarrörelsens utveckling i de tre nordiska länderna, 1914–1920', *Arkiv för studier i arbetarrörelsens historia* 15/16, 62–80.
- Byström, M. 2006. *En broder, gäst och parasit: uppfattningar och föreställningar om utlännningar, flyktingar och flyktingpolitik i svensk offentlig debatt 1942–1947*, Stockholm: Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis.
- Byström, M. and P. Frohnert (eds). 2013. *Reaching a State of Hope: Refugees, Immigrants and the Swedish Welfare State, 1930–2000*, Lund: Nordic Academic Press.
- Callahan, K. 2000. "Performing Inter-nationalism" in Stuttgart in 1907: French and German Socialist Nationalism and the Political Culture of an International Socialist Congress', *International Review of Social History* 45, 51–87.
- Callesen, G. 'Denmark', in M. van der Linden and J. Rojahn (eds), *The Formation of Labour Movements 1870–1914: An International Perspective*, Leiden: Brill, 1990, 131–60.
- Carreras, A. and C. Josephson. 'Aggregate Growth, 1870–1914: Growing at the Production Frontier', in S. Broadberry and K.H. O'Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe Volume 2: 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010, 30–58.
- Childs, M. 1938. 'How the Scandinavians Do It: Three Countries Where Labor Rules Democratically', *Harper's Monthly Magazine* 177, 400–11.

- Christensen, L.K. et al. 2007. *Arbejdernes historie i Danmark 1800–2000*, København: SFAH.
- Christiansen, N.F. 1995. 'Arbejderklasserne i de nordiske lande før 1920 – et forsøg på komparation', *Arbejderhistorie* 3, 14–21.
- Crafts, N. and G. Toniolo. 'Aggregate Growth, 1950–2000', in S. Broadberry and K.H. O'Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe. Volume 2: 1870 to the Present*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010, 296–332.
- Dahl, H.F. 1971. *Norge mellom krigene: Det norske samfunnet i krise og konflikt 1918–1940*, Oslo: Pax Forlag.
- Edgren, L. 'Arbetshistoriens marginaler: Några reflexioner angående svensk arbetshistorisk forskning', in V. Lundberg (ed.), *Arbetshistoria i brytningstid: Landskrona i maj 2005*, Malmö: Skrifter från Centrum för Arbetshistoria 1, 2007, 30–47.
- . 'Konflikt och samförstånd: Arbetshistoria idag', in M. Olofsson (ed.), *Konflikt och samförstånd: Texter från arbetshistoriska mötet i Landskrona i maj 2009*, Malmö: Skrifter från Centrum för Arbetshistoria 4, 2011, 6–10.
- Edgren, L. and M. Olofsson (eds). 2009. *Political Outsiders in Swedish History, 1848–1932*, Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Edgren, L. and L. Olsson. 1989. 'Swedish Working-Class History', *International Labor and Working-Class History* 35, 69–80.
- . 'Arbetare och arbetsliv: Svensk arbetshistorisk forskning', in K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds), *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisk forskning i Sverige*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek, 1991, 7–26.
- Egge, Å. and S. Rybner (eds). 2015. *Red Star in the North: Communism in the Nordic Countries*, Stamsund: Orkana akademisk.
- Einhorn, E.S. and J. Logue. 2003. *Modern Welfare States: Scandinavian Politics and Policy in the Global Age*, Westport, Conn: Praeger.
- Ekdahl, L. 1983. *Arbete mot kapital: typografer och ny teknik - studier av Stockholms tryckeriindustri under det industriella genombrottet*, Lund: Arkiv.
- . 2001. *Mot en tredje väg: en biografi över Rudolf Meidner, Vol 1: Tysk flyktning och svensk modell*, Lund: Arkiv.
- . 2005. *Vol 2: Facklig expert och demokratisk socialist*, Lund: Arkiv.
- . 2011. 'Makten och människovärdet: Gruvstrejken 1969 som samhällskritik', *Arbetshistoria* 138–39, 12–17.
- Ekdahl, L. (ed.). 2002. *Löntagarfondsfrågan: en missad möjlighet*, Huddinge: Samtidshistoriska institutet, Södertörns högskola.
- Eley, G. 2002. *Forging Democracy: The History of the Left in Europe, 1850–2000*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Elvander, N. 1980. *Skandinavisk arbetarrörelse*, Stockholm: Liber förlag.
- Emilsson, T. 1976–1979. *Fåtekt folk; Barättan um braudid; Fyrir sunnan*, Reykjavík: Mál og menning.
- Eriksen, K.E., S. Halvorsen and E.A. Terjesen. 2008. 'Arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv og bibliotek gjennom 100 år', *Arbeiderhistorie Årbok for arbeiderbevegelsens arkiv og bibliotek*, 7–69.
- Esaiasson, P. 1990. *Svenska valkampanjer 1866–1988*, Stockholm: Allmänna Förlaget.

- Esping-Andersen, G. 1985. *Politics Against Markets: The Social Democratic Road to Power*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Feinstein, C., P. Temin and G. Toniolo. 1997. *The European Economy Between the Wars*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fink, L. 2011. *Workers across the Americas. The Transnational Turn in Labor History*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Frangeur, R. 1998. *Yrkeskvinna eller makens tjänarinna? Striden om yrkesrätten för gifta kvinnor i mellankrigstidens Sverige*, Lund: Arkiv.
- Friðriksson, P. 2007. *Vid brún nýs dags: Saga verkamannafélagsins Dagsbrúnar 1906–1930*, Reykjavík: Efling, stéttarfélag and Sagnfræðistofnun Háskóla Íslands.
- . 2012. *Dagar vinnu og vona: Saga verkamannafélagsins Dagsbrúnar í krep-
pu og köldu stríði*, Reykjavík: Efling, stéttarfélag and Sagnfræðistofnun Háskóla Íslands.
- Førlund, T.E. 2008. “1968” in Norway: Piecemeal, Peaceful and Postmodern’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 382–94.
- Galenson, W. 1952. *Comparative Labor Movements*, New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Gavanas, A. 2010. *Who Cleans the Welfare State? Migration, Informalization, Social Exclusion and Domestic Services in Stockholm*, Stockholm: Institute for Futures Studies.
- Gavanas, A. and C. Calleman (eds). 2013. *Rena hem på smutsiga villkor? Hushållstjänster, migration och globalisering*, Göteborg and Stockholm: Makadam.
- Gildea, R. 2003. *Barricades and Borders: Europe 1800–1914*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Grass, M. 1974. ‘Arbetarskandinavism 1912–1920: Kommittén för skandinaviska arbetarrörelsens samarbete, några aspekter’, *Årbog for arbejderbevægelsens historie* 4, 55–88.
- . 1975. *Friedensaktivität und Neutralität: Die skandinavische Sozialdemokratie und die neutrale Zusammenarbeit im Krieg, August 1914 bis Februar 1917*, Bonn-Bad Godeberg: Verlag Neue Gesellschaft.
- . 1987. ‘Från arbetarkongress till samarbetskommitté: Om skandinaviska samarbetskommitténs bildande’, *Arbetarhistoria* 42(2), 5–11.
- . 1992–93. ‘Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek – i Sverige, i Norden, i Europa. ARAB förebilden’, *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 54–58.
- Grass, M. and H. Larsson. 2002. *Labour’s Memory: The Labour Movement Archives and Library, 1902–2002*, Stockholm: Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek.
- Grass, M., G. Litzell and K. Misgeld (eds). 2002. *The World in the Basement: International Material in Archives and Collections*, Stockholm: Labour Movement Archives and Library.
- Grebing, H., K. Misgeld and K. Åmark (eds). 1990. *Forschung über die Arbeiterbewegung in Schweden*, Mitteilungsblatt des Instituts zur Erforschung der europäischen Arbeiterbewegung Ruhr Universität Bochum, vol 10, Bochum: Institut zur Erforschung der europäischen Arbeiterbewegung Ruhr Universität Bochum.
- Grelle, H. 1984. *Under de røde faner: en historie om arbejderbevægelsen*, København: Fremad.

- . ‘100 år med bøger og arkivalier’, in H. Abildgaard and H. Grelle (eds), *Årbog 2008*, København: Arbejdermuseet and Arbejderbevægelsens Bibliotek og Arkiv, 2008, 6–22.
- . 2012. *Det kooperative alternativ: Arbejderkooperationen i Danmark 1852–2012*, København: Arbejdermuseet.
- Grelle, H. (ed.). 1998. *I takt med tiden: LO:s historie 1960–1997*, København: LO.
- Gryten, O.H. 1995. ‘The Scale of Norwegian Interwar Unemployment in International Perspective’, *Scandinavian Economic History Review* 43(2), 226–50.
- Gröndal, G. 2003. *Fólk í fjötrum: Baráttusaga íslenskrar alþýðu*, Reykjavík: JPV Útgafa.
- Gröning, L. 1988. *Vägen till makten: SAP:s organisation och dess betydelse för den politiska verksamheten 1900–1933*, Uppsala: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis.
- ‘Grävboken: ett stöd för grävare inom arbetarrörelsen’. 1985. *Arbetarhistoria* 34(2).
- Göransson, A. ‘Från hushåll och släkt till markand och stat!’, in S. Hedenborg and M. Morell (eds), *Sverige: En social och ekonomisk historia*, Lund: Studentlitteratur, 2006, 231–61.
- Götz, N. 2003. ‘Norden: Structures That Do Not Make a Region’, *European Review of History* 10, 325–41.
- Götz, N., H. Haggrén and M. Hilson. ‘Nordic Cooperation in the Voluntary Sector’, in J. Strang (ed.), *Nordic Cooperation: A European Region in Transition*, London: Routledge, 2016, 49–68.
- Haapala, P. ‘Working Class in the Formation of Industrial Society: Methodological and Other Lessons from the Case of Finland’, in K. Kjeldstadli, S. Myklebust and L. Thue (eds), *Formingen av industrisamfunnet i Norden fram til 1920*, Oslo: Pensumtjeneste, 1994, 104–17.
- Haapala, P. and M. Tikka. ‘Revolution, Civil War and Terror in Finland in 1918’, in R. Gerwarth and J. Horne (eds), *War in Peace: Paramilitary Violence in Europe after the Great War*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, DOI:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199654918.003.0005.
- Hadenius, S., H. Wieslander and B. Molin. 1969 [1967]. *Sverige efter 1900: En modern politisk historia*, Stockholm: Bokförlaget Aldus/Bonniers.
- Hagemann, G. and H. Roll-Hansen (eds). 2005. *Twentieth-Century Housewives: Meanings and Implications of Unpaid Work*, Oslo: Oslo Academic Press.
- Hagström, M. 2002. *KF Bibliotek – 75 år i konsumentkooperationens tjänst*, Stockholm: KF Bibliotek. Retrieved 27 April 2016 from www.mersmak.kf.se/upload/KFBibliotek75_jubelskrift.pdf.
- Halvorsen, K. and S. Stjernø. 2008. *Work, Oil and Welfare: The Welfare State in Norway*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- Halvorsen, S. 1988. ‘Scandinavian Trade Unions in the 1890s, with Special Reference to the Scandinavian Stonemasons’ Union’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 13(1), 3–21.
- Hamark, J. 2014. *Ports, Dock Workers and Labour Market Conflicts*, Gothenburg: Gothenburg Studies in Economic History.
- Hansen, A.E. 2010. ‘De socialistiske kvinders internationale konference i København i 1910’, *Arbejderhistorie* 2, 8–28.

- Hansen, M.B. and G. Callesen (eds). 1992. *Foreign Language Literature on the Nordic Labour Movements. Fremdsprachige Literatur über die nordischen Arbeiterbewegungen*, ABAs bibliografiske serie, København: Arbejderbevægelsens Bibliotek og Arkiv.
- Hedenborg, S. and U. Wikander. 2003. *Makt och försörjning*, Lund: Studentlitteratur.
- Helge, N., H. Grelle and J.I. Sørensen. 2005. *Velkommen i forsamlingsbygningen: Historien om arbejdernes forenings- og forsamlingsbygning i Rømersgade 1879–1983*, København: Arbejdermuseet.
- Hemstad, R. 2008. *Fra Indian Summer til nordisk vinter: Skandinavisk samarbeid, skandinavisme og unionsopløsningen*, Oslo: Akademisk publisering.
- Henningson, B. 1996–97. ‘Humanism, anarchism och socialism: Varför splittrades det socialdemokratiska vänsterpartiet?’, *Arbetshistoria* 80–81, 25–36.
- Hentilä, S. 1978. ‘The Origins of the Folkhem Ideology in Swedish Social Democracy’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 3, 323–45.
- . 1980. *Veljeittä yli Pohjanlahden: Suomen ja Ruotsin työväenliikkeen kosketuskohtia suuresta Sundsvallin lakosta Suomen kansalaisuuttaan*, Helsinki: Gaudeamus.
- Hilson, M. 2008. *The Nordic Model: Scandinavia since 1945*, London: Reaktion.
- Hirdman, Y. 1979. *Vi bygger landet: den svenska arbetarrörelsens historia från Per Götrek till Olof Palme*, Solna: Pogo Press.
- . 1989. *Att lägga livet till rätta: studier i svensk folkhemspolitik*, Stockholm: Carlsson.
- . 1992. *Den socialistiska hemmafrun och andra kvinnohistorier*, Stockholm: Carlsson.
- . 2001. *Genus: om det stabila föränderliga former*, Malmö: Liber.
- Hobsbawm, E. 1994. *Age of Extremes: The Short Twentieth Century 1914–1991*, London: Michael Joseph.
- Hoerder, D. 1984. *Essays on the Scandinavian-North American Radical Press 1880s–1930s*, Bremen: Bremen University.
- . 1987. *The Immigrant Labor Press in North America, 1840s–1970s. Vol. 1: Migrants from Northern Europe*, New York: Greenwood.
- Hoerder, D., E. van Nederveen Meerkerk and S. Neunsinger (eds). 2015. *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*, Leiden: Brill.
- Honkapohja, S. and E. Koskela. ‘The Economic Crisis of the 1990s in Finland’, in J. Kalela et al. (eds), *Down from the Heavens, Up from the Ashes: The Finnish Economic Crisis of the 1990s in the Light of Economic and Social Research*, Helsinki: Valtion Taloudellinen Tutkimuskeskus, 2001, 52–101.
- Hunt, K. ‘Transnationalism in Practice: The Effect of Dora Montefiore’s International Travel on Women’s Politics in Britain before World War I’, in P. Jonsson, S. Neunsinger and J. Sangster (eds), *Crossing Boundaries: Women’s Organizing in Europe and the Americas, 1880s–1940s*, Uppsala: Uppsala Studies in Economic History, 2007, 73–94.
- Isacson, M. 1990. *Verkstadsindustrins arbetsmiljö: Hedemora verkstäder under 1900-talet*, Lund: Arkiv.
- Ísleifsson, S. 2013. *Saga Alþýðusambands Íslands I*, Reykjavík: Forlagið.
- Iversen, M.J. and S. Andersen. ‘Co-operative Liberalism: Denmark from 1857 to 2007’, in S. Fellman et al. (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism: The Business*

- History of a Competitive Periphery*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008, 265–334.
- Iversen, M.J. and L. Thue. ‘Creating Nordic Capitalism: The Business History of a Comparative Periphery’, in S. Fellman et al. (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism: The Business History of a Competitive Periphery*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008, 1–19.
- Jespersen, K.J.V. 2011. *A History of Denmark*, trans. I. Hill and C. Wade, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Johansson, A.O. 1990. *Arbetarrörelsen och taylorismen: Olofström 1895–1925: en studie av verkstadsindustrin och arbetets organisering*, Lund: Arkiv.
- Johansson, R. 2002. ‘Bildn av Ådalshändelserna 1931: 70 år av kamp om historien’, *Historisk Tidskrift* 122(2), 243–70.
- Jónsson, G. 2014. ‘Iceland and the Nordic Model of Consensus Democracy’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 39(4), 510–28.
- Jonsson, P. and S. Neunsinger. 2012. *Gendered Money: Financial Organization in Women’s Movements, 1880–1933*, New York: Berghahn Books.
- Jörberg, L. ‘The Industrial Revolution in Scandinavia 1850–1914’, trans. P. Britten Austin, Volume IV, chapter 8 of C.M. Cipolla (ed.), *The Fontana Economic History of Europe*, London: Fontana, 1970.
- Jørgensen, T.E. 2008. ‘The Scandinavian 1968 in a European Perspective’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 326–38.
- Judt, T. 2005. *Postwar: A History of Europe since 1945*, London: William Heinemann.
- Jungar, A.-C. and A.R. Jupskås. 2014. ‘Populist Radical Right Parties in the Nordic Region: A New and Distinct Party Family?’, *Scandinavian Political Studies* 37(3), 215–38.
- Kaihovirta, M. 2015. ‘Oroliga inför framtiden: En studie av folkligt politiskt agerande bland bruksarbetarna i Billnäs ca 1900–1920’, Ph.D. thesis, Åbo Akademi. Retrieved 6 January 2016 from <https://www.doria.fi/handle/10024/113083>
- Karlsson, L. 2009. *Arbetarrörelsen, Folkets Hus och offentligheten i Bromölla 1905–1960*, Växjö: Växjö University Press.
- Karlsson, S.O. 1998. *När industriarbetaren blev historia: studier i svensk arbetarhistoria 1965–1995*, Lund: Studentlitteratur.
- Kirby, D. 1974. ‘Stockholm-Petrograd-Berlin: International Social Democracy and Finnish Independence, 1917’, *Slavonic and East European Review* 52(126), 63–84.
- . 1986. ‘“The Workers’ Cause”: Rank-and-File Attitudes and Opinions in the Finnish Social Democratic Party 1905–1918’, *Past and Present* 111, 130–64.
- . 1986. *War, Peace and Revolution: International Socialism at the Crossroads 1914–1918*, Aldershot: Gower.
- . 1988. ‘New Wine in Old Vessels? The Finnish Socialist Workers’ Party, 1919–1923’, *The Slavonic and East European Review* 66(3), 426–45.
- . ‘Finland’, in M. van der Linden and J. Rojahn (eds), *The Formation of Labour Movements 1870–1914: An International Perspective*, Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1990, 523–40.
- . ‘What Was “Nordic” about the Labour Movement in Europe’s Northernmost Regions?’, in P. Kettunen, (ed.), *Lokalt och internationellt: Dimensioner i den*

- nordiska arbetarrörelsen och arbetarkulturen*, Tammerfors: Sällskapet för forskning i arbetarhistoria i Finland, 2002, 13–32.
- . 2006. *A Concise History of Finland*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kjartansson, H.S. 1980. ‘Emigrant Fares and Emigration from Iceland to North America, 1874–1893’, *Scandinavian Economic History Review* 28(1), 53–71.
- Kjeldstadli, K. 1994. *Et splittet samfunn, 1905–1935*, Oslo: Aschehoug.
- . ‘Noen vitenskapsteoretiske spørsmål slik de framtrer i Edvard Bull: “Arbeiderbevægelsens stilling i de nordiske land 1914–1920”’, in *Historie, kritikk og politikk. Festskrift til Per Maurseth på 70-års dagen 7. juni 2002*, Trondheim: Skrifter fra Historisk tidsskrift i Trondheim, 2002, 321–36.
- . ‘En ny arbeidslivets historie?’, in *Myndighet og medborgarskap. Festskrift til Gro Hagemann på 70-årsdagen 3. september 2015*, Oslo: Novus Forlag, 2015, 281–92.
- . ‘Folkesosialisme. Stykke i tre satser’, in R. Glenthøj (ed.), *Mellem brødre: Dansk-norsk samliv i 600 år*, København og Oslo: Gads forlag & SAP, 2016, 192–203.
- Kjellberg, A. 2001. *Fackliga organisationer och medlemmar i dagens Sverige*, Lund: Arkiv.
- Kristjánsdóttir, R. 2008. *Nýtt fólk: Þjóðerni og íslensk vinstri stjórnmál 1901–1944*, Reykjavík: Háskólaútgáfan, 31–61.
- . 2012. ‘For Equality or Against Foreign Oppression? The Politics of the Left in Iceland Leading up to the Cold War’, *Moving the Social* 48, 11–28.
- Lähtenmäki, M. 1987. ‘Orientering mot Norden 1919–1939’, *Arbetarhistoria* 42(2), 23–24.
- Lahtinen, E. 1987. ‘Finländarna i det nordiska samarbetet 1880–1918’, *Arbetarhistoria* 42(2), 20–23.
- . 1988. ‘Finnish Participation in Co-operation within the Nordic Labour Movement, 1880–1918’, *Scandinavian Journal of History* 13(1), 23–28.
- . 1992–93. ‘Från förråd till arkiv i Helsingfors’, *Arbetarhistoria* 63–65, 63–65.
- Lange, E. (ed.). 2006. *Organisert kjøpekraft: Forbrukersamvirkets historie i Norge*, Oslo: Pax forlag.
- Laubjerg, A. 2006. *Den store bølge: erindringsbilleder fra storkøbenhavnse industriarbejdspladser*, Rødovre: Forlaget Sohn.
- Lavery, J. 2006. *The History of Finland*, Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Press.
- Leonard, C. and J. Ljungberg. ‘Population and Living Standards, 1870–1914’, in S. Broadberry and K.H. O’Rourke (eds), *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Europe*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 108–129.
- Linderborg, Å. 2001. *Socialdemokraterna skriver historia: Historieskrivning som ideologisk maktresurs 1892–2000*, Stockholm: Atlas.
- Lindqvist, S. 1978. *Gräv där du står: Hur man utforskar ett job*, Stockholm: Bonniers.
- . 1980. ‘Dig Where You Stand’, *Meddelande från Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek* 16, 42–47.
- . 1989. *Grabe wo du stehst: Handbuch zur Erforschung der eigenen Geschichte*, trans. M. Dammeyer, Bonn: Dietz.
- Lindqvist, S., et al. 1978. *Grav hvor du står: Håndbog i at udforske et arbejde*, København: Forlaget SOC.

- Lindström, U. 1985. *Fascism in Scandinavia 1920–1940*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Linna, V. 2001–2003. *Under the North Star; The Uprising; Reconciliation*, trans. R. Impola, Beaverton: Aspasia Books.
- Lorenz, E. 1992. *Exil in Norwegen: Lebensbedingungen und Arbeit deutschsprachiger Flüchtlinge 1933–1943*, Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Lorenz, E., K. Misgeld, H. Müssener and H.U. Petersen (eds). 1998. *Ein sehr trübes Kapitel?: Hitlerflüchtlinge im nordeuropäischen Exil 1933 bis 1950*, Hamburg: Ergebnisse-Verl.
- Lorenzen-Schmidt, K.-J. and H.U. Petersen. 1991. *Hitlerflüchtlinge im Norden: Asyl und politisches Exil: 1933–1945*, Kiel: Neuer Malik-Vlg.
- Louhikko, E.K. 1946. *Vi gjorde revolution*, Helsingfors: Söderström.
- Lundberg, U. 2003. *Juwelen i kronan: Socialdemokraterna och den allmänna pensionen*, Stockholm: Hjalmarson & Högberg.
- Lundh, C. 2002. *Spelets regler: institutioner och lönebildning på den svenska arbetsmarknaden 1850–2000*, Stockholm: SNS förlag.
- Lundkvist, S. 1977. *Folkrörelserna i det svenska sambället 1850–1920*, Uppsala: Uppsala universitet.
- Magnusson, L. 2000. *An Economic History of Sweden*, London: Routledge.
- Majander, M. 1997. ‘Tillbaka till den nordiska gemenskapen. De finska socialdemokraterna och Norden 1944–1948’, *Historisk Tidskrift för Finland* 82(1), 45–76.
- Manns, U. ‘Historico-Political Strategies of Scandinavian Feminist Movements: Preliminary Perspectives of a Research Project’, in J. Mittag, B. Unfried and E. Himmelstoss (eds), *Arbeiter- und soziale Bewegungen in der öffentlichen Erinnerung: Eine globale Perspektive*, Berlin: Akademische Verlagsanstalt, 2011, 219–30.
- Marjomaa, U. (ed.). 2000. *100 Faces from Finland: A Biographical Kaleidoscope*, Helsinki: Finnish Literature Society.
- Markkola, P. ‘The Nordic and Gendering Dimensions of Labour History in Finland’, in H. Haggrén, J. Rainio-Niemi and J. Vauhkonen (eds), *Multi-Layered Historicity of the Present: Approaches to Social Science History*, Helsinki: Department of Political and Economic Studies, 2013, 33–46.
- Markkola, P. and A.-C. Östman. 2012. ‘Torparfrågan tillspetsas: Frigörelse, oberoende och arbete – 1918 års torparlagstiftning ur mansperspektiv’, *Historisk Tidskrift för Finland* 97(1), 17–41.
- Meinander, H. 2012. *Republiken Finland: igår och idag*, Helsingfors: Schildts & Söderströms.
- Meyer, F. 2001. *“Dansken, svensken og nordmannen”: skandinaviske habitusforskjeller sett i lys av kulturmøtet med tyske flyktninger: en komparativ studie*, Oslo: Unipub.
- Mikkelsen, F. 1988. ‘Fra proletarisering til klassesamfund: Industrialisering, urbanisering og fremvæksten af en arbejderklasse og arbejderbevægelse i Skandinavien ca 1750–1900’, *Arbejderhistorie* 30, 2–20.
- Misgeld, K. 1976. *Die “Internationale Gruppe demokratischer Sozialisten” in Stockholm 1942–1945: Zur sozialistischen Friedensdiskussion während des Zweiten Weltkrieges*, Uppsala: Studia historica upsaliensia.

- . 1984. *Sozialdemokratie und Aussenpolitik in Schweden. Sozialistische Internationale, Europapolitik und die Deutschlandfrage 1945–1955*, Frankfurt/Main: Campus.
- . 1985. 'Arbetarrörelsens samarbete i Norden 1931–1945', *Historisk Tidskrift* 84(4), 474–81.
- Misgeld, K. and K. Åmark (eds). 1991. *Arbetsliv och arbetarrörelse: Modern historisk forskning i Sverige*, Stockholm: Uppsatser utgivna av Arbetarrörelsens arkiv och bibliotek.
- Morell, M. 'Agriculture in Industrial Society 1870–1945', in J. Myrdal and M. Morell (eds), *The Agrarian History of Sweden from 4000 BC to AD 2000*, Lund: Nordic Academic Press, 2011, 165–213.
- Müssener, H. 1974. *Exil in Schweden: Politische und kulturelle Emigration nach 1933*, München: C Hanser.
- Myrdal, A. and G. Myrdal. 1934. *Kris i befolkningsfrågan*, Stockholm: Bonnier.
- Nelles, D. 'Der Widerstand der Internationalen Transportarbeiter Föderation (ITF) gegen Nationalsozialismus und Faschismus in Deutschland und Schweden', in A. Graf (ed.), *Anarchisten gegen Hitler: Anarchisten, Anarcho-Syndikalist, Rätekommunisten in Widerstand und Exil*, Berlin: Lukas, 2001, 114–55.
- Neunsinger, S. 2001. *Die Arbeit der Frauen - die Krise der Männer: Die Erwerbstätigkeit verheirateter Frauen in Deutschland und Schweden 1919–1939*, Uppsala: Studia historica Upsaliensia, 198.
- . 'From Servitude to Domestic Service: The Role of International Bodies, States and Elites for Changing Conditions in Domestic Work Between the 19th and 20th Centuries. An Introduction', in D. Hoerder, E. van Nederveen Meerkerk and S. Neunsinger (eds), *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*, Leiden: Brill, 2015, 389–99.
- Newby, A. 2014. "Neither Do These Tenants or Their Children Emigrate": Famine and Transatlantic Emigration from Finland in the Nineteenth Century', *Atlantic Studies* 11(3), 383–402.
- Nielsen, V.O. 'SFAHs historie – baggrund, start og udvikling', in N.O.H. Jensen et al., *Fremad, ad nye veje: bidrag til diskussionen om arbejderhistorien i 1990'erne*, København: SFAH, 1990, 215–30.
- Nilsson, T. 2014. 'Fiktion, hierarki och havets hårda arbete: Skönlitteratur som källa till svensk maritim historia', *Historisk Tidskrift* 134(3), 462–98.
- Nordic Centre of Excellence NordWel: 'The Nordic Welfare States: Historical Foundations and Future Challenges', 2007–2012. Retrieved 13 January 2016 from <http://blogs.helsinki.fi/nord-wel/>
- Nyström, D. 2015. *Innan forskningen blev radikal: en historiografisk studie av arbetarhistoria och kvinnohistoria*, Malmö: Universus Academic Press.
- Nyzell, S. 2010. 'Striden ägde rum i Malmö'. *Möllevångskravallerna 1926: En studie av politiskt våld i mellankrigstidens Sverige*, Malmö: Malmö högskola.
- O'Hara, G. 2008. 'Applied Socialism of a Fairly Moderate Kind', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(1), 1–25.
- Olsen, G.M. 1992. *The Struggle for Economic Democracy in Sweden*, Aldershot: Avebury.

- Olsson, L. 1980. *Då barn var lönsamma: om arbetsdelning, barnarbete och teknologiska förändringar i några svenska industrier under 1800- och början av 1900-talet*, Stockholm: Tiden.
- . 1986. *Gamla typer och nya produktionsförhållanden: om rationalisering och medbestämmande, åldrande och solidaritet bland typografer i Sverige från slutet av 1800-talet till omkring 1960*, Lund: Lucifer.
- Olstad, F. 2009. *Med knyttet neve: LO:s historie 1899–1935*, Oslo: Pax.
- Östberg, K. 1997. *Efter rösträtten: kvinnors utrymme efter det demokratiska genombrottet*, Eslöv: B Östlings bokförl. Symposion.
- . 2008. 'Sweden and the Long "1968": Break or Continuity?', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 33(4), 339–52.
- Palm, A. 1901. *Ögonblicksbilder från en resa till Amerika*, Stockholm: Författarnas Förlag.
- Persson, K.E. and C. Högmark. 1995. *Nordiska beklädnadsarbetareunioner 1897–1993*, Stockholm: Nordiska industriarbetarefederationen.
- Persson, L.K. 1984. *Arbete, politik, arbetarrörelse: En studie av stenindustrins Bohuslän 1860–1910*, Göteborg: Meddelanden från Historiska Institutionen i Göteborg.
- Pinto, A.B., M. Ericsson and S. Nyzell. 2015. 'Contentious Politics Studies: Forskningsfältet social och politisk confrontation på frammarsch i Skandinavien', *Scandia* 81(1), 93–110.
- Pred, A. 1998. 'Memory and the Cultural Reworking of Crisis: Racisms and the Current Moment of Danger in Sweden, or Wanting It Like Before', *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 16, 635–64.
- Qvist, G. 1974. *Statistik och politik: Landsorganisationen och kvinnorna på arbetsmarknaden*, Stockholm: Prisma.
- Redvaldsen, D. 2011. *The Labour Party in Britain and Norway: Elections and the Pursuit of Power between the World Wars*, London: I.B. Tauris.
- Rostgaard, M. and A.E. Hansen. 1992. 'Signalement af forskningen i arbejdslivets historie i Danmark', *Årbog for arbejderbevægelsens historie* 22, 15–33.
- SAMAK. 'Sørmarka Declaration of the Nordic Workers' Congress, 11–12 November 2014, "We Build the Nordics"'. Retrieved 6 January 2016 from <http://samak-nordicmodel.org/>
- Sassoon, D. 1996. *One Hundred Years of Socialism: The West European Left in the Twentieth Century*, New York: The New Press.
- Schiller, B. 1967. *Storstrejken 1909: förhistoria och orsaker*, Göteborg: Elander.
- . 1987. 'Den skandinaviska arbetarrörelsens internationalism 1870–1914', *Arbetarhistoria* 42(2), 3–4.
- Schmitz, E. 2011. "'Kan de strejka i Norge kan väl vi också". ASAB-städerskornas strejker under 1974 och 1975', *Arbetarhistoria* 138–39, 18–26.
- Schüllerqvist, B. 1992. *Från kosackval till kohandel: SAP:s väg till makten 1928–33*, Stockholm: Tiden.
- Schön, L. 2000. *En modern svensk ekonomisk historia: Tillväxt och omvandling under två sekel*, Stockholm: SNS Förlag.
- Scott, A. 2006. 'Social Democracy in Northern Europe: Its Relevance for Australia', *Australian Review of Public Affairs* 7(1), 1–17.

- Sejersted, F. 2005. *Socialdemokratins tidsålder: Sverige och Norge under 1900-talet*, Nora: Bokförlaget Nya Doxa.
- . 2011. *The Age of Social Democracy: Norway and Sweden in the Twentieth Century*, trans. R. Daly, edited M.B. Adams, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Siltala, J. 2015. 'Dissolution and Reintegration in Finland, 1914–1932: How Did a Disarmed Country Become Absorbed into Brutalization?', *Journal of Baltic Studies* 46(1), 11–33.
- Sjögren, H. 'Welfare Capitalism: The Swedish Economy, 1850–2005', in S. Fellman et al. (eds), *Creating Nordic Capitalism: The Business History of a Competitive Periphery*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008, 22–74.
- Sogner, S. 'Tjenestejenter til Holland', in *Vår barndoms have: årbok Vest-Agder fylkesmuseum*, Kristiansand: Vest-Agder fylkesmuseum, 1998, 22–35.
- Sogner, S. and K. Telste. 2005. *Ut og søkje teneste: historia om tenestejentene*, Oslo: Det Norske Samlaget.
- Soikkanen, H. 1961. 'Sosialismin tulo Suomeen: Ensimmäisiin yksikamarisen eduskunnan vaaleihin asti', Ph.D. dissertation, Turku: Turun yliopisto.
- . 1978. 'Revisionism, Reformism and the Finnish Labour Movement before the First World War', *Scandinavian Journal of History* 3, 347–60.
- . 1987. *Kohti kansanvaltaa 2: 1937–1944*, Joensuu: Suomen Sosialidemokraattinen Puolue.
- Soikkanen, T. 1984. *Kansallinen eheytymien – myytti vai todellisuus? Ulko- ja sisäpolitiikan linjat ja vuorovaikutus Suomessa vuosina 1933–1939*, Porvoo: WSOY.
- Sommestad, L. 1994. 'Privat eller offentlig välfärd? Ett genusperspektiv på välfärdssatens historiska formering', *Historisk Tidskrift* 114(4), 611–29.
- Sørensen, Ø. and B. Stråth (eds). 1997. *The Cultural Construction of Norden*, Oslo: Scandinavian Academic Press.
- Sparring, Å. (ed.). 1966. *Kommunismen i Norden*, Stockholm: Albert Bonniers.
- Ståhl, M. 1999. *Vår fana röd till färgen: fanor som medium för visuell kommunikation under arbetarrörelsens genombrottstid i Sverige fram till 1890*, Linköping: Tema, Univ.
- . 2005. *Möten och människor i Folkets hus och Folkets park*, Stockholm: Atlas.
- . 2008. *Vår röda fana!*, Stockholm: IF Metall.
- Stenius, H. 1987. *Frivilligt, jämlikt, samfällt. Föreningsväsendets utveckling i Finland fram till 1900-talets början med speciell hänsyn till massorganisationsprincipens genombrott*, Helsingfors: Skrifter utgivna av Svenska Litteratursällskapet i Finland.
- . 'Nordic Associational Life in a European and an Inter-Nordic Perspective', in R. Alapuro and H. Stenius (eds), *Nordic Associations in a European Perspective*, Baden-Baden: Nomos Verlag, 2010, 29–86.
- . 'Paradoxes of the Finnish Political Culture', in J.P. Árnason and B. Wittrock (eds), *Nordic Paths to Modernity*, New York: Berghahn Books, 2012, 207–28.
- Stråth, B. 2005. *Union och demokrati: De förenade rikena Sverige-Norge 1814–1905*, Nora: Nya Doxa Förlag.
- Sundholm, J. "'The Unknown Soldier": Film as a Founding Trauma and National Monument', in C. Mithander, J. Sundholm and M. Holmgren Troy (eds),

- Collective Traumas: Memories of War and Conflict in 20th-Century Europe*, Brussels: Peter Lang, 2007, 111–41.
- Svanberg, I. and M. Tydén. 1992. *Tusen år av invandring: en svensk kulturhistoria*, Stockholm: Gidlunds.
- Terjesen, E.A. 2012. 'Partsforholdet i arbeidslivet: Kompromiss, allianse, samarbeid eller kamp?', *Arbeiderhistorie*, 11–37.
- Thompson, P. 1978. 'Life Histories in Poland and Scandinavia', *History Workshop Journal* 6, 208–10.
- Thörnquist, A. 2013. *False (Bogus) Self-Employment in East-West Labour Migration: Recent Trends in the Swedish Construction and Road Haulage Industries*, Norrköping: Remeso.
- . 2015. *East-West Labour Migration and the Swedish Cleaning Industry: A Matter of Immigrant Competition?*, Norrköping: REMESO.
- Thörnquist, A. and Å.-K. Engstrand (eds). 2011. *Precarious Employment in Perspective: Old and New Challenges to Working Conditions in Sweden*, Bruxelles and New York: P.I.E. Peter Lang.
- Timonen, V. 2003. *Restructuring the Welfare State: Globalization and Social Policy Reform in Finland and Sweden*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Tingsten, H. 1941. *Den svenska socialdemokratins idéutveckling*, Stockholm: Tidens förlag.
- Tjørnehøj, H. 1998. *Fremad og atter fremad ... LO:s historie 1871–1960*, København: LO.
- Työväen Arkisto. 'Työväen Arkisto: Eilistä ja nykypäivää'. Retrieved 13 January 2016 from <http://www.tyark.fi/meolemma.htm>.
- Uhlén, A. 1957. *Skandinaviska transportarbetarefederationen 1907–1957: Historik*, Helsingborg: Skandinaviska transportarbetarefederationen.
- Upton, A.F. 1973. *The Communist Parties of Scandinavia and Finland*, London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- . 1981. *The Finnish Revolution 1917–1918*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Valkonen, M. 1987. *Yhdessä elämä turvalliseksi: Suomen Ammattiyhdistysten Keskusliitto 1930–1947*, Helsinki: SAK.
- van der Linden, M. 2008. *Workers of the World: Essays Toward a Global Labor History*, Leiden: Brill.
- van der Linden, M. and J. Lucassen. 1999. *Prolegomena for a Global Labour History*, Amsterdam: International Institute of Social History.
- van Goethem, G. 2006. *The Amsterdam International: The World of the International Federation of Trade Unions (IFTU), 1913–1945*, Aldershot: Ashgate.
- van Nederveen Meerkerk, E., S. Neunsinger and D. Hoerder. 'Domestic Workers of the World: Histories of Domestic Work as Global Labor History', in D. Hoerder, E. van Nederveen Meerkerk and S. Neunsinger (eds), *Towards a Global History of Domestic and Caregiving Workers*, Leiden: Brill, 2015, 1–24.
- Viktorov, I. 2006. *Fordismens kris och löntagarfonder i Sverige*, Stockholm: Acta Universitatis Stockholmiensis.
- von Schoultz, J. 1924. *Bidrag till belysande av Finlands socialdemokratiska partis historia*, Helsingfors: Söderström.

- Waldemarson, Y. 1998. *Mjukt till formen - hårt till innehållet: LOs kvinnoråd 1947–1967*, Stockholm: Atlas.
- Wikander, U. 1988. *Kvinnors och mäns arbeten: Gustavsberg 1880–1980: genusarbetsdelning och arbetets degradering vid en porslinsfabrik*, Lund: Arkiv.
- . 1989. 'Periodisering av kapitalismen – med kvinnor', *Arbetshistoria* 51, 7–11.
- . 1999. *Kvinnoarbete i Europa 1789–1950: genus, makt och arbetsdelning*, Stockholm: Atlas.
- . 2006. *Feminism, familj och medborgarskap: debatter på internationella kongresser om nattarbetsförbud för kvinnor 1889–1919*, Göteborg: Makadam.